



# Copper at the crossroads: Assessment of the interactions between low-carbon energy transition and supply limitations

Gondia Sokhna Seck, Emmanuel Hache, Clement Bonnet, Marine Simoën, Samuel Carcanague

## ► To cite this version:

Gondia Sokhna Seck, Emmanuel Hache, Clement Bonnet, Marine Simoën, Samuel Carcanague. Copper at the crossroads: Assessment of the interactions between low-carbon energy transition and supply limitations. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2020, 163, pp.105072. 10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105072 . hal-03118509

**HAL Id: hal-03118509**

**<https://ifp.hal.science/hal-03118509>**

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Copper at the crossroads: Assessment of the interactions between low-carbon energy transition and supply limitations<sup>☆</sup>

Dr. Gondia Sokhna Seck<sup>a,\*</sup>, Dr. Emmanuel Hache<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Dr. Clément Bonnet<sup>a</sup>,  
 Marine Simoën<sup>a</sup>, Samuel Carcanague<sup>b</sup>

July, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

## Abstract

*This article aims to assess the impact of copper availability on the energy transition and to determine whether copper could become critical due to the high copper content of low-carbon technologies compared to conventional technologies. In order to assess copper availability through to 2050, we rely on our linear programming world energy-transport model, TIAM-IFPEN. We examine two climate scenarios (2°C and 4°C) with two mobility shape, implemented with a recycling chain. The penetration of low-carbon technologies in the transport and energy sectors (electric vehicles and low-carbon power generation technologies) is likely to significantly increase copper demand by 2050. In order to investigate how tension over copper resources can be reduced in the energy transition context, we consider two public policy drivers: sustainable mobility and recycling practices. Results show that in the most stringent scenario, the cumulative primary copper demand between 2010 and 2050 is found to be 89.4% of the copper resources known in 2010. They also pinpoint the importance of China and Chile in the future evolution of the copper market.*

**Keywords:** Copper; Bottom-up modelling; Energy transition; Transport sector; Power sector; Recycling

**JEL Classification:** Q42, R40, C61

---

<sup>☆</sup>This article received the financial support of the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the GENERATE project. Any remaining errors are of course ours. The views expressed herein are strictly those of the authors and are not to be construed as representing those of IFP Énergies Nouvelles.

a. IFP Énergies Nouvelles, 1–4 av. de Bois Préau, F-92852 Rueil-Malmaison, France.

b. The French Institute for International and Strategic Affairs, (IRIS), France.

c. EconomiX-CNRS, University of Paris Nanterre, France.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 1 47 52 67 49.

E-mail address: [gondia-sokhna.seck@ifpen.fr](mailto:gondia-sokhna.seck@ifpen.fr)

## 1. Introduction

The issue of mineral resource dependency is a relevant illustration of the challenges the world is likely to face in the energy transition process. Many studies [1][2][3] underline the need to take these constraints into account in the dynamics of the global energy transition, and especially the location of resources, the organization of industrial markets, and actors' strategies. As Kolotzek et al. [4] pointed out, criticality assessments are intended to cover many dimensions of interest, which are usually vulnerability and supply risk based on economic concerns [5][6], geopolitical concerns (the case of the rare earth elements (REE) production concentrated in China is a perfect illustration), geological or technical concerns [7][8] sometimes extended to cope with environmental impacts [9][10] or social implications [11][12]. This can be even more complex as it can also depend on the scale of the analysis (i.e. the micro level (industrial sector or enterprise), the macro level (countries) or the global level), on the chosen geographical scale (lithium is considered critical in the US but not in the European Commission's list of critical materials), on the time scale i.e. how long or short-term the assessment is made over (chromium was critical for the European Commission in 2014 but not in 2017) [13].

Whereas economic literature generally focuses on lithium [14][15][16][17], cobalt [18] and rare earths [19][20][21] to illustrate the systemic impacts of the energy transition on raw materials, this shift will also potentially impact the major non-ferrous metal markets (copper, nickel, zinc, etc.), as well as steel, cement, aggregates and water sectors [22]. Several economists and geologists have focused on copper, as evidenced by articles and responses by Tilton [23][24], Gordon et al. [25], Tilton and Lagos [26], Gordon et al. [27] and more recently Vidal [28] on the subject of copper criticality. According to the International Copper Association [29] around 35% of copper has been used for electrical power & power utility in 2017 and this share could increase with the

deployment of renewable energy technologies. In the context of the energy transition, and because copper is used in many applications in the transport and power sectors, this raw material appears to be an interesting case study on criticality issues. Therefore, it is necessary to integrate raw material supply chain in long-term energy models in order to take into account the supply limitations on resources for low-carbon technologies needed to shape future energy transition.

The current dearth of diversity in the raw material supply chain along with the rapid deployment of all decarbonisation and digital innovations required in the short to medium term, to meet more stringent environmental constraints and economic growth, raises concerns about the feasibility of meeting short-term roll-out targets [30]. Since many important countries (such as China) are less and less interested in exporting strategic raw material and more and more constrained by their internal consumption [31], many importing countries have considered resource supply limitations as a priority by formulating raw material strategies (Europe is a perfect illustration [32][33][34][35]. Raw materials supply risk and criticality have been widely discussed over the last two decades as said Hache et al.[17], although the concept of “raw material criticality” has been firstly introduced in 1939 by the US government for common defence, industrial demands, and military commitments within a geopolitical context to analyse uncertainty on relevant materials availability [36]. They found more than 2000 articles published on the issue of material criticality with nearly 80% of which since 2010. Moreover, an extensive part of the first literature was devoted to rare-earth elements (REEs) criticality, although in recent years an increasing number of metals have been studied.

With the multiple alternative pathways which are under studies to achieve the objectives of the Paris agreement, it is also necessary and valuable to assess the growing need for raw material in low-carbon technologies, as well as their impacts on the environment. Therefore, long-term

energy analyses may not be relevant or may have to be re-evaluated if possible future constraints on material supply are not taken into account by energy modellers and policymakers.

According to the review literature, the existing approaches or methods related to the analysis of raw material demand could be gathered into two categories.

#### 1.1. The first category of existing approaches or methods based on past analysis of raw material flows

On the one hand, the first category relies on the detailed analysis of past raw material flows to identify historical patterns or snapshot analysis within material flow analysis (MFA) or life cycle analysis (LCA) to quantify the environmental sustainability. Material flow analysis (MFA) is a common analytical tool to characterize material stocks and flows within national, regional, and global boundaries. At a city scale, Sörme et al. [37] and Zhang et al. [38] described and estimated the copper-in-use stocks in 1995 in Stockholm and in 2009 in Nanjing, respectively, while van Beers and Graedel [39] conducted the same approach at Inner Sydney, Sydney Metro, all Australian states/territories, and Australia itself at the beginning of the century. At the contrary of a "snapshot" of a system in time as in previous articles, many authors have described the behaviour of a system over a time interval using the dynamic material flow analysis (D-MFA). It has been also used to estimate the amount of copper in-use stocks or scrap generation at different spatial scales. This approach has been conducted at a national and regional scales e.g. China [40][41][42], North America [43][44][45][46], Europe [47][48], or at a global scale [49]. A time-series Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) approach to examine the historical environmental impacts associated with copper mining and smelting in the five largest Australian copper mines from 1940 to 2008 has been done by Memary et al. [50]. They explored the usefulness of LCA for assessing the impacts of the mining and minerals processing industry over 70 years by incorporating changes in ore grade and differences in technologies and regional energy sources.

Song et al. [51] and Jingjing et al. [52] have conducted comparative analysis on copper based on LCA approach in Norway and China. The former assessed the environmental impacts of a Norwegian copper ore mine and identify significant environmental hotspots at the plant level, and then to compare the impacts of alternative energy and tailings management options in relation to the overall environmental performance of the mine. These studies have provided valuable information for scientific literature in exploring the historical patterns of the copper in-use stocks which is useful for extrapolating future stocks. They have been helpful to better understand copper supply chain and prepare long-term criticality mitigation strategies.

#### 1.2. The second category of existing approaches or methods based on prospective analyses of raw material demand

On the other hand, the second category relies on prospective analyses of raw material demand i.e. the assessment of possible future growth of raw material along with energy transition. It could be subdivided into four main sub-categories.

The approach of the first sub-category is based on the analysis of possible future evolution considering past trends or assuming expected growth rates based on experts' opinion. Few decades ago, there have been studies on the long-term demand for copper, such as Fisher et al. [53], Rohatgi and Weiss [54] to estimate the future demand of copper in 1980 and 1990 using an econometric model. Schipper et al. [55] employed and compared two methods to estimate future copper demand by 2100: a regression-based method where the future trend is extrapolated from the estimated relationship on the basis of empirical data from the past, and a stock-dynamics-based method which starts from the stock of applications, and calculates demand as a derivative. In the same vein, Halada et al. [56] also developed a model of per capita correlations of the state of decoupling of various metals (e.g. copper) to estimated growth of so-called BRICs (Brazil, Russia, India, China) and the original G6 countries (Japan, USA, UK, France, Germany, Italy) to

estimate the consumption of these metals until the year 2050. Elshkaki et al. [57] also analysed the demand, supply, and energy implications related to copper production and use over the period 2010–2050 from the analysis of the historical demand for copper from 1980 to 2010 using regression analysis with per capita GDP, the level of urbanization, and time as explanatory variables. After modelling the dynamics of copper production with a prey-predator approach linking the evolution of reserves to that of industrial wealth, Vidal et al. [58] simulated the future demand by 2200 based on assumed evolutions of world population and gross domestic product per capita. Through a dynamic material flow analysis, Zhang et al. [59][60] extrapolated future stock and demand of China by 2050 based on past patterns of the copper in-use stocks. Habib et al. [61] analysed the geopolitical supply risk and the geographical transition trend of global primary production of REE in 86 different countries from 1994 to 2013, and further projected this transition in future i.e., 2050 by using the global distribution of current known geological reserves (2014) of metals as representative of their global primary production share by countries in 2050. On the contrary, in order to identify vulnerabilities for REE-consumers and to propose ways to address the environmental impacts of REE mining, Dutta et al. [62] assessed the future of REE supply chains up to 2020 reflecting an anticipated growth in demand of 5%. Their assumption followed Roskill's estimates of global trends in supply and demand for rare earths found in Rollat et al. [63], who also assumed a similar trend in forecasting demand for rare earths in Europe by 2020.

The second sub-category gathers studies which use prediction models for exhaustible resource production such as bell-shaped curve-fitting models. Among them, the Hubbert model and the generalized Weng model are the most influential, as they have been widely used in the field of exhaustible resource peak production prediction [64]. Wang et al. [64] and Wang et al. [65] used the Hubbert model and the generalized Weng model, respectively, to predict long-term rare earth

production, although they only focused on China. Roper [66] used empirical Hubbert-like functions for assessing copper, zinc and lead mining rates and estimated when the production would peak as also done by Laherrere [67] for copper. On the other hand, Wang et al. [68] analyse the global rare earth production in the long term using the Richards model which has similarities with the two previous models.

The two last sub-categories, in contrast, rely more on the assessment of future raw material demand using long-term energy models. In other words, the interaction can be seen as a multi-model, where a group of models co-evolve and interact with each another in a dynamic environment [69]. Indeed, the third sub-category is based on using the outputs from a long-term energy model or specific road mapping process as inputs into dynamic raw material demand assessment. Several studies have been done using this approach in line with the prospective scenario generated by energy system models or government's goals. Kuipers et al. [70] conducted a Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA) methodology for assessing potential environmental implications related to global copper demand scenarios by combining a life cycle approach with metal demand scenarios for the period 2010–2050. They considered the International Energy Agency (IEA) World Energy Outlook (2012) energy scenarios and incorporated them into the LCA model to account for developments in the background energy supply mix from 2010 to 2050. Hertwich et al. [71] developed an integrated hybrid LCA model to estimate the future copper requirements by 2050 of the wide-scale global deployment of different low-carbon electricity generation technologies as foreseen in the International Energy Agency's (IEA) BLUE Map scenarios (IEA, 2010). Likewise, McLellan et al. [72] estimated the potential future copper production and potential for both secondary (recycling) and primary production expansion by defining extrapolated expansion rates of clean energy technologies from 2010 to 2050 from the analyses of IEA data. Their study just focused on three clean energy technologies



(PV, wind and fuel cells), and not all available technologies. Kalvig and Machacek [73] assessed whether the global REE supply can keep pace with the REE demand for the expanding offshore wind energy sector through demand scenarios based on the estimates of GWEC up to 2030 while Imholte et al. [74] did the same work by assessing the U.S. REE availability for supporting the U.S. wind energy growth targets based on annual U.S. wind installation targets up to 2030 established by the US Department of Energy. Other articles were more focused on raw material demand driven by future transport electrification or residential buildings. Among them, Habib et al. [75] estimated the future global demand of copper for passenger vehicles regarding growth of transport electrification which have been taken from three different scenarios run through the Integrated Model to Assess the Greenhouse Effect (IMAGE) , while Deetman et al. [76] and Marinova et al. [77] focused on the in-use stock of raw material (Steel, Concrete, Wood, Copper, Aluminium, Glass) in residential and service buildings towards 2050 by translating material intensities per square meter into material stock using the total useful floor area specified for 26 world regions, as projected by the IMAGE model.

For the last and fourth sub-category where the raw material supply chain and LCI metrics have been integrated as inputs and constraints into prospective energy models, it has been noticed that fewer studies have been found in scientific literature. Some recent studies considered this approach; however, they were in majority covering rare-earth elements and their environmental implications, and few on other raw materials. Schandl et al. [78] analysed whether well-designed policies can reduce global material and energy use, and carbon emissions, with only minimal impacts on improvements in living standards. Their analysis are based on a novel modelling architecture employing a soft-link between three models as one: a top-down integrated climate and economy model (GIAM ) [79], a global, multi-regional technology-based physical stocks and flows model (MEFISTO) [80], and a global multi-regional input–output model (Eora) [81].

Alonso et al. [82] presented a top-down analysis of rare earth flows in order to identify the conditions where REEs may experience unprecedented demand growth, and assess the implications of co-mining on rare earth availability under rapid demand growth in specific industries. In particular, resource requirements for electric vehicles (EVs)<sup>1</sup> and wind turbines were estimated from performance specifications and vehicle sales or turbine deployment projections. Still, at a country level, Wang et al. [83] construct a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model to investigate the market impacts of environmental regulation on the production of rare earths in China. Likewise, Ge et al. [84] constructed a dynamic computable general equilibrium (DCGE) model to forecast the production, domestic supply, and export of China's rare earths in 2025. These studies considered this approach, notwithstanding they were only top-down models. This type of models describes the interactions between different agents (e.g., households, producers, and the government), representing flows of goods and services in a market-based economy [85]. The use of production functions and no explicit representation of the different technologies limit the detailed technological study. Moreover, technical progress is isolated from innovations in the energy sector. However, we can also pinpoint the work done by Northey et al. [86] where they modelled mined copper production using the 'Geologic Resources Supply – Demand Model' (GeRS-DeMo) [87]. By listing all mines, including their ultimate recoverable resource and production and applying supply-demand parameters, GeRS-DeMo models the cumulative production over time [88]. Nevertheless, the authors pinpointed one of the limitations in applying this kind of supply focused model like the geRS-DeMo is their myopic insights into the future available options to meet the Cu demand, and thus the need for coupling

---

<sup>1</sup> Electric vehicles include battery electric vehicles (BEVs), plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) and fuel-cell electric vehicles (FCEVs). In this article, our transport module covers passenger light duty vehicles (PLDVs), Light, Medium and Heavy Commercial Vehicles (LCVs, MCVs and HCVs), Buses, Minibuses and two- and three-wheelers.

with material flow analysis. Currently to the best of our knowledge, no paper has been found in future raw material demand with an approach using a bottom-up long-term energy system optimization models. In this context, this article contributes filling the gap identified in the scientific literature on energy system optimization models by implementing raw material supply chain and material intensities of technologies in the different sectors of the economy in a bottom-up integrated assessment model. In this paper, we have developed the first bottom-up long-term energy model TIAM-IFPEN (TIMES Integrated Assessment Model) with an endogenous copper supply chain from the resources to the end-use sectors, the availability constraints on resources and the trade balances. Given the recent attention given to concepts such as urban mining and recycling, this model could be helpful to provide unique insights in this area.

We present in this paper the main findings related to an endogenous representation of the copper supply chain in order to assess its dynamic criticality, along with technological changes through to 2050. It is crucial to understand whether the limited availability of copper can hinder the deployment of low-carbon technologies or conventional ones in the economy as a whole. This would be valuable for any energy analyses to examine the geological risk, geopolitical risk, production risk, etc. related to raw material supply availabilities and how they could impede the energy transition. In order to assess copper availability through to 2050, two climate scenarios (2°C and 4°C) have been analysed with two different mobility scenarios each. Recycling has been also implemented into all these scenarios. The rest of the article is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the methodology, the overall structure of the TIAM-IFPEN model, and the specific features and assumptions considered for a detailed analysis of copper criticality. Section 3 presents our main results and related analyses on the copper supply chain at global and regional levels, copper resource availability and the implications of regionalized recycling policies and more sustainable road transport mobility. Finally, Section 4 summarizes the main conclusions.

## 2. Methodology

We have developed the first global bottom-up energy system optimization model with an endogenous representation of raw material supply chains in the TIAM-IFPEN (TIMES<sup>2</sup> Integrated Assessment Model) model using a MARKAL<sup>3</sup>-TIMES framework [89][90][91] . TIAM-IFPEN is able to assess a dynamic raw material's criticality in a global energy prospective exercise subject to different climate and sectorial constraints through to 2050. However, contrary to our previous article [17] based on assessing future risks related solely to the lithium supply chain with fast roll-out of electric vehicles<sup>4</sup> in the coming years; we add a complete copper supply chain as an additional raw material constraint to the energy transition. The model will therefore allow analysing the dynamic criticality of copper through to 2050 based on current known resources, urban mining and resource availability.

### 2.1. Overview of the TIAM-IFPEN model

TIAM-IFPEN is a multiregional and intertemporal partial equilibrium model of the entire world energy system, based on the TIMES model generator [92]. It is a version of the TIAM-WORLD developed, maintained and used by the Kanlo team and is the global incarnation of the TIMES generator. A complete description of the TIMES equations appears in the ETSAP<sup>5</sup> documentation. It is a bottom-up techno-economic model that estimates energy dynamics by minimizing the total discounted cost of the system over the selected multi-period time horizon through powerful linear programming optimizers. The components of the system cost are expressed on an annual basis while the constraints and variables are linked to a period. Special

---

<sup>2</sup> The Integrated MARKAL-EFOM System.

<sup>3</sup> MARKet Allocation model.

<sup>4</sup> Electric vehicles (EVs) include battery electric vehicles (BEVs), plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) and fuel-cell electric vehicles (FCEVs).

<sup>5</sup> Energy Technology Systems Analysis Program. Created in 1976, it is one of the longest running Technology collaboration Programme of the International Energy Agency (IEA). <https://iea-etsap.org/index.php/documentation>

care is taken to precisely track cash flows related to process investments and dismantling in each year of the horizon. Different investment tracking cases have been considered in the model in order to help guarantee a smooth trajectory and a more realistic representation [92]. The total cost is an aggregation of the total net present value of the stream of annual costs for each of the model's regions. It constitutes the objective function (Eq. 1) to be minimized by the model in its equilibrium computation. A detailed description of the objective function equations is provided in Part II, section 6.2 of the TIMES documentation [91]. We limit our description to giving general indications on the annual cost elements contained in the objective function:

- Investment costs incurred for processes;
- Fixed and variable annual costs,
- Costs incurred for exogenous imports and revenues from exogenous exports; however, in a global TIMES incarnation such as TIAM, exogenous imports and exogenous exports are not relevant;
- Delivery costs for required commodities consumed by processes;
- Taxes and subsidies associated with commodity flows and process activities or investments;

TIAM-IPEN represents the global energy system divided into 16 regions<sup>6</sup> (See Table 10 in Appendix C). It is set up to explore the development of the world energy system from 2005 through to 2050 and is calibrated to the 2005 data provided by energy statistics of the International Energy Agency:

$$NPV = \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{y \in YEARS} (1 + d_{r,y})^{REFYR-y} * ANNCOST(r,y) \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

***NPV*** is the net present value of the total cost for all regions (the TIMES objective function);

***ANNCOST(r,y)*** is the total annual cost in region *r* and year *y* (more details in section 6.2 of PART II (Loulou et al., 2016))

***d<sub>r,y</sub>*** is the general discount rate;

---

<sup>6</sup> The regions represent either individual countries, such as the People's Republic of China (China) or India, or aggregates of several countries, such as the Central and South America countries (CSA).



The model is driven by a set of demands for energy services in all sectors: agriculture, residential, commercial, industry and transport. Each element in the network (Fig. 1) is characterized by several input parameters. The construction of the exogenous demands for energy services has been done via general equilibrium models such as the global General Equilibrium model GEM-E3<sup>7</sup> or GEMINI-E3<sup>8</sup>, which provide a set of coherent drivers for each region and for the World as a whole, such as population, households, GDP, sectors outputs, and technical progress. These drivers provided the growth rates for each demands for energy services through to 2050 following (Eq. 2):

$$D_t = D_{t-1} * (1 + \left( \frac{DRIVER_t}{DRIVER_{t-1}} - 1 \right) * decoupling\_factor) \quad (Eq.2)$$

The decoupling factors account for phenomena such as saturation (factor is then less than 1) and suppressed markets (factor is then larger than 1), and are in part empirically based. Most demands have economic growth as their driver.

**Table 1: Energy service demands and their drivers/input sources**

DEMAND	DRIVER	Via other model outputs
<b>Transportation</b>	<b>All regions</b>	<b>All regions</b>
PLDV		IEA MoMo model <sup>9</sup>
Bus and Minibus		IEA MoMo model
Commercial vehicle travel		IEA MoMo model
2 & 3 wheelers		IEA MoMo model
Rail passenger travel	POP	

<sup>7</sup> GEM-E3 (General Equilibrium Model for Economy-Energy-Environment interactions) is a recursive dynamic computable general equilibrium model that covers the interactions between the economy, the energy system and the environment. (please refer to the GEM-E3 documentation for more details <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/publication/euro-scientific-and-technical-research-reports/gem-e3-model-documentation>)

<sup>8</sup> GEMINI-E3 (General Equilibrium Model of International -National Interactions between Economy , Energy and the Environment) is a multi-country, multi-sector, recursive computable general equilibrium model

<sup>9</sup> The MoMo model is a techno-economic database spreadsheet and simulation model that enables detailed projections of transport activity based on user-defined policy scenarios through to 2100. The model covers 29 countries and regions with an urban/non-urban split, offering the potential for municipal-level policies to reduce transport energy use ([93], <https://www.iea.org/etp/etpmodel/transport/>).

Domestic aviation travel	GDP		
International Aviation travel	GDP		
Fret rail	GDP		
Domestic Navigation	GDP		
Bunkers	GDP		
<b>Residential</b>	<b>All regions after 2050 + Non-OECD before 2050</b>	<b>OECD regions before 2050</b>	
Space heating	HOU	HOU	
Space Cooling	HOU	GDPP	
Water Heating	POP	POP	
Lighting	GDPP	GDPP	
Cooking	POP	POP	
Regrigeration and Freezing	HOU	GDPP	
Washers	HOU	GDPP	
Dryers	HOU	GDPP	
Dish washers	HOU	GDPP	
Other appliances	GDPP	GDPP	
Other	HOU	GDPP	
<b>Commercial</b>	<b>All regions</b>		
Space heating	SPROD-Services		
Space Cooling	SPROD-Services		
Water Heating	SPROD-Services		
Lighting	SPROD-Services		
Cooking	SPROD-Services		
Refrigeration and Freezing	SPROD-Services		
Other electric demands	SPROD-Services		
Other	SPROD-Services		
<b>Agriculture</b>	<b>SPROD-Agriculture</b>		
<b>Industry</b>	<b>SPROD-X</b>		

Source: [94]

PLDV: Passenger Light-duty vehicle; CV: Commercial vehicle; HOU: Households; POP: Population; GDP: Gross domestic product; GDDP: GDP per capita; SPROD-X: Industrial outputs of sector X

Technologies are described by means of technical data (e.g., capacity, efficiency), environmental emission coefficients (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O), and economic values (e.g., capital cost, date of commercialization). Possible future developments of the system are driven by reference demands



for energy services (e.g. commercial lighting, residential space heating, air conditioning, mobility and many others), the supply curves of the resources (e.g., amount available at each price level), along with environmental or other constraints (e.g. greenhouse gas emission constraints, efficiency standards, energy portfolio, etc.), which are provided as exogenous inputs to the model [93].

TIAM-IFPEN also includes a climate module which per se is directly inspired by the Nordhaus-Boyer model [95]. It consists of three sets of equations, calculating the atmospheric concentrations of the three gases CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O, the atmospheric radiative forcing of these three gases and of Kyoto GHG's that are not explicitly modelled in TIAM-WORLD (i.e. CFC's, HFC's, SF<sub>6</sub>), and finally the yearly change in mean global temperature. It includes two recursive formulas that calculate temperature changes in two layers (upper ocean + atmosphere layer, and lower ocean layer), as in Nordhaus and Boyer [95], recalibrated for TIAM-IFPEN and adapted to periods with variable length.

Although various recent studies have been already conducted using the TIAM model, such as the effects of global GHG reduction on bioenergy sector expansion [96] and carbon capture and storage in power supply [97] using TIAM-FR<sup>10</sup>, long-term investigation for large-scale low-GHG energy technology diffusion in Africa using TIAM-ECN<sup>11</sup> [98], and the decarbonisation of road transport using TIAM-UCL<sup>12</sup> [99], none has yet examined raw material supply chains in a TIAM model within energy transition analysis as far as we know.

## 2.2. Copper supply and demand modelling in TIAM-IFPEN

---

<sup>10</sup> TIAM-FR is a version of TIAM adapted at the Mines ParisTech Centre of Applied Mathematics in Sophia-Antipolis (France).


<sup>11</sup> TIAM-ECN is the version of TIAM developed at the Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands (ECN).

<sup>12</sup> This version of TIAM was developed at University College London (UCL) through the UK Energy Research Centre (UKERC).

### 2.2.1. The copper supply chain

As explained by Gordon et al. [27], copper is not uniformly distributed in the Earth's crust, as observed in the geographical distribution of copper ore reserves and resources (Fig. 2).


**Fig. 2: Geographical distribution of copper resources and reserves worldwide**



Source: USGS, [61], [100]

Nearly half of the world's reserves and resources are located in the CSA region (Central and South America), mainly in Chile and Peru. The copper supply chain has been implemented into the model from ore deposits to its end-use sectors via various transformation processes and trade flows (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 3: Detailed description of the copper supply chain in each TIAM region**



353

Regionalized mining CAPEX and OPEX have been done using weighted averages of real projects around the world ([101], [102], companies' reports) (See Appendix B Table 11).

355

Two processes used for refining copper ores, pyrometallurgy and hydrometallurgy, have been implemented in the model. They concern ore concentrates and leached ores respectively. The regional disaggregation of these two refining processes is made using production weights since 1990, as displayed in Table 2. The shares of concentrates and leached ores have been rather stable over time within a country between 2005 and 2015 due to the geological characteristics of the deposit.

361

**Table 2: Average share of ore concentrates (pyrometallurgy) in cumulative mine production (in %) over the period 2005-2015 in the main producer countries**

362

	AFR	AUS	CAC	CAN	CHI	CSA	EUR	IND	JAP	MEA	MEX	ODA	OEE	RUS	SKO	USA
Pyro	65	96	95	100	98	71	92	100	100	95	73	89	94	100	100	59

363

Source: USGS


364

As displayed in Fig. 4, copper is used in many sectors, such as the construction industry (plumbing, roofing, shipbuilding and cladding), the power sector (power plants and electrical

365

infrastructures), the industry sector, the transport sector and in the final goods sector (the main component of coins for many countries, home accessories, water heaters, etc.).

**Fig. 4: End-use consumption of copper worldwide in 2018**



Source: [29]

“Electrical Networks”, which include power distribution, lighting and earthing connection, are, alongside the “consumer sector”<sup>13</sup>, by far the largest copper users as they consume respectively 34% and 31% of semi-finished copper products in 2017. These two sectors illustrate a general fact about copper: it is widely used in long-lived applications with useful life-spans that can last several decades. It is estimated that two thirds of the copper produced since 1900 was still in use in 2010 [103]. Moreover, it appears that the “consumer” sector, pertaining to the manufacturing of final goods that are not related to transport, is the most dissipative end-use sector. A major barrier to the reuse of copper is therefore the long period during which it may be trapped in products that are still in use.

#### 2.2.2. The technology copper content

<sup>13</sup> This includes consumer and general products (appliances, instruments, tools and other), cooling (air conditioning and refrigeration), electronics (industrial/commercial electronics and PCs) and miscellaneous items (ammunition, clothing, coins, etc.).

In a future driven by more stringent environmental constraints and economic growth, the increasing copper content of all decarbonisation innovations, particularly in the transport and power sectors, could, due to limited copper resource availability, hinder the diffusion of these technologies. The variability of technologies and their rapid evolution make it difficult to quantify the full requirements for energy raw materials (Table 3).

**Table 3: Variation of the copper content for power generation technologies in the literature**


Copper content (kt/GW)	Literature data	
	Min.	Max.
Gas	0.5	1.5
Nuclear	0.06	1.5
Oil	1.5	1.5
Coal	0.3	2
Wind onshore	1.6	20.1
Wind offshore	0.9	11.7
Solar PV (Rooftop)	0.02	11.4
Solar PV (Ground)	3	7.5
CSP	0.4	12.4
Hydro.	0.1	11.4
Run-of-river	3.5	4
Geothermal	0	0.5
Biomass	0	0

Source: [2], [104]

For some technologies such as nuclear, coal, gas and oil plants, the average copper content are almost identical between for example Ecoinvent and literature data ([71], [104]). However, large discrepancies are observed for the RETs. According to Ecoinvent, copper content per unit capacity is ten times higher in a solar PV rooftop compared to a gas plant for example, while it triples in an onshore wind turbine compared to a nuclear power plant (Fig. 5). On the other hand, Vidal [104] assumed a copper content up to six times higher for an onshore wind compared to nuclear, while solar PV rooftop is only four times higher compared to a gas plant. In addition, concentrated solar plant (CSP) is for example not referenced in Ecoinvent while it could have different values for the same authors according to the year of publication. Vidal considered a

copper content for CSP of 2.3 kt/GW in 2013 [105] while it almost doubled in 2018 to reach 4 kt/GW [104]. There are relatively few validated studies that give an exhaustive account of material intensities (in tonnes per unit of capacity), and most often they are limited to production infrastructure isolated from other equipment (transformers, connections, foundations, etc.) [104]. In this article, we have considered the copper contents which have been reported in Ecoinvent and we fill the missing technologies such as CSP with literature data (Fig. 5).

**Fig. 5: Copper content of different means of energy production (kg/MW) considered in the model TIAM-IFPEN**




Source: Ecoinvent.

CC: Combined Cycle; LWR: Light Water reactor which encompasses the PWR (pressurized water reactor), the boiling water reactor (BWR), PHWR: Pressurized heavy Water Reactor; PV: Photovoltaic; CSP: Concentrated Solar Plant

The same trend is observed in road transport vehicles. Compared to their inherent conventional vehicles, electric vehicles contain two to more than five times more copper (Fig. 6 and Table 4). The copper content has been accounted for in each technology segment (Table 4).

**Fig. 6: Copper content in passenger light duty vehicles per size**



Source: [106], See Table 4 in Appendix C for more details

Typically, between 96% and 100% of the copper is in the vehicle (for wiring), while in electric vehicles, the copper in the latter falls to 45% due to the much heavier battery, which contains 55% of the copper [104]. More emphasis will therefore be placed on the need to increase the recycling efficiency of copper from scrap in all end-use economic sectors.

**Table 4: Copper content in road transport according to the weight (kg/vehicle)**

		ICE	HEV	PHEV	BEV	FCEV
Passenger light duty vehicles	Small	25.9	46.2	52.8	84.9	52.8
	Medium	26.4	60.6	71.3	121.3	67.6
	Large	33.5	83.0	98.5	172.0	97.9
Bus		90.5	224.0	265.8	369.0	210.1
Minibus		54.9	136.0	161.4	224.0	127.5
Commercial vehicles	Light	39.1	96.8	114.9	200.6	114.2
	Medium	57.2	141.5	167.9	233.1	132.7
	Heavy	190.5	471.6	559.7	776.8	442.2
2-wheelers		0.6			2.9	
3-wheelers		3.9			20.1	

Source: [106], ECI/CA<sup>14</sup>, Authors

Copper is traded in three forms worldwide. The first is the copper concentrate which have been processed by pyrometallurgy techniques. The second is refined copper cathodes, sold by copper refineries. This is the purest form of copper and is used to produce wires, sheets and strips, for example. The last traded product is blister copper. Custom refineries process blister copper to

<sup>14</sup> European Copper Institute/Copper Alliance, <https://copperalliance.eu/e-mobility-european-copper-market/>


produce cathode; however, this represents a small share of the global copper trade. So, for simplification's sake, we consider two types of trade in the model. In Fig. 3, the first is trade in raw copper, encompassing all trade in copper ores and concentrates, copper mattes, copper anodes and unrefined copper. The second is refined copper. Taking into account trade capabilities enables analysis of future international copper trade and strategies based on each region's needs and growth. This use of the model would be very relevant, as historical trade analysis pinpoints changes in regions' strategies in response to environmental and economic constraints between 2005 and 2015 (see the example of China in Appendix A). Trade data were extracted from the [resourcetrade.earth](http://resourcetrade.earth) website, which uses the UN Comtrade database as a primary source and corrects missing points.

#### 2.2.3. The recovery and recycling of copper in the TIAM-IFPEN

Strong growth in emerging economies over the past decade, together with the rapid deployment of innovative copper-intensive technologies, has led to a sharp increase in copper demand. The recovery and recycling of copper helps to satisfy this demand and to build a sustainable future. Glöser et al. [107] discussed several commonly used indicators to measure it at the global level. In this paper, we implement the End-of-Life Recycling Rate (EoL-RR) indicator in our TIAM-IFPEN model in order to take into account the efficiency of scrap recycling. This indicator is determined as the fraction of metal contained in end-of-life products that is collected, pre-treated and ultimately recycled back in the anthropogenic cycle (Eurométaux and Eurofer 2012 cited in [108]).




**Fig. 7: End-of-life recycling rate (EoL-RR) by end-use sector in the TIAM-IFPEN model**



Source: [107]

There is a lack of data on recycling activity in copper-consuming sectors, but Glöser et al. [107] provided global estimates for the 2000-2010 period. The EoL-RR value for the eight copper-consuming sectors considered in the model is presented in Fig. 7. The average value for all sectors combined is around 45%. In each end-use sector, different lifetime distributions have been implemented in order to take into account their end-of-life. Estimated mean average lifetime values have been extracted from Glöser et al. [107] and are shown in Fig. 8, for the lifetimes of power plants and road transport, we relied on the IEA-ETSAP and IFPEN databases.

453 **Fig. 8: Mean average lifetimes by end-use sector in the TIAM-IPFEN model**



454 Source: [107], Authors


455 For the copper-consuming sectors not represented in detail technologically, i.e. the sectors in pink  
456 boxes shown before in Fig. 3, the estimated  $EoL-RR_1$  will allow calculating exogenously the  
457 maximum discarded flows which could be recycled according to the historical data and the mean  
458 average lifetimes considered in the model. For the road transport and power sectors in yellow in  
459 Fig. 3 which have a detailed technological representation, the  $EoL-RR_2$  value is provided and the  
460 model will calculate endogenously the maximum discarded flows associated with their inherent  
461 technology decommissioning. Given the lack of scalable  $EoL-RR$  data, we make a conservative  
462 hypothesis, assuming they are kept constant in the model over our 2005-2050 time horizon  
463 periods. This is a pessimistic scenario considering that significant efforts would certainly be  
464 made to improve copper recycling, such as in the “consumer sector”, due to its dissipative  
465 characteristics and weight in copper consumption. In other words, the sectorial  $EoL-RR$   
466 implemented in the model provides the basis of our pessimistic view of recycling activity  
467 (probable minimum values).

468 2.3. Macro-economic assumptions and scenarios specifications


### 2.3.1. GDP and population scenarios

The GDP per capita evolution has been derived from the assumed evolutions of population and GDP (Fig. 9). The UN released a revision in 2019 of the foreseen growth of all countries in the world [109]. The world population has increased from 7.4 billion to around 9.7 billion inhabitants in 2050 in the median scenario. On the other hand, the IEA assumed in their IEA Mobility Model (MoMo Model) an increase of the world GDP (ppp) from around 67 912 billion US\$ 2005 in 2005 to 283 500 billion US\$ 2005 in 2050. Thus, the world GDP per capita (ppp) is assumed to increase from 10 430 US\$ 2005 in 2005 to around 29 200 US\$2005 in 2050.

**Fig. 9: (a) Evolution of population, (b) Evolution of the GDP per capita**



**(b)**



Source: IEA MoMo 2019, [109]

### 2.3.2. Copper end-use sectors and the evolution of their demand

All end-use sectors have to be considered in the modelling exercise to consider future copper demand, as depicted in the copper supply chain in Fig. 3 in §2.2.1. Two methodologies have been assumed. Firstly, the copper demands for the sectors not represented in detail technologically, Electrical and Telecom networks, Consumer, Industry sector, Building and Other Road Transport sectors, are exogenous and calculated according to the GDP per capita (ppp<sup>15</sup>) projection via decoupling factors. The decoupling factor series represents the sensitivity of each end-use demand to one unit change in its driver – which here is GDP per capita (GDPP). They have been derived from the analysis of the sectorial copper demands along with GDP per capita between 1975 and 2018<sup>16</sup> (Fig. 10), taking into account changing trends in socio-economic growth (Table

<sup>15</sup> Purchasing Power Parity


<sup>16</sup> Annual data have been extracted and calculated from the International Copper Study Group (ICSG), Copper Council and Copper Alliance between 1975 and 2018, <https://www.icsg.org/index.php/component/jdownloads/viewdownload/170/2965>, <http://www.coppercouncil.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/End-Use-Summary.pdf>, <https://copperalliance.org/trends-and-innovations/data-set/>

5). As mentioned before, these decoupling factors account for phenomena such as saturation (factor is then less than 1) and suppressed markets (factor is then larger than 1). Copper end-use demand for future years is projected using the equation (Eq.3):

$$D_{i,t} = D_{i,t-1} * (1 + \left( \frac{GDPP_t}{GDPP_{t-1}} - 1 \right) * decoupling\_factor) \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

Where  $D_{i,t}$  is the copper demand for the end-use  $i$  at the year  $t$

**Fig. 10: Global copper demand by end-uses**



Source: ICSG, Copper Council, Copper Alliance

**Table 5: Estimated decoupling factors**

	Electrical Networks	Telecom Networks	Consumer sector	Industry sector	Building sector	Other transport sector
Estimated sensitivity to GDP per capita	1.21	1.21	1.65	0.95	1.67	1.17

Secondly, for copper demand in power plants and the road transport sector (See Appendix C for more details of their representation in the model); an endogenous technological evolution will be derived by the model while satisfying electricity needs and mobility demand respectively. TIAM-IFPEN will assess copper requirements based on new installed capacities of power plants and vehicle fleet evolution at any period.

### 2.3.3. Scenario specifications

Several scenarios have been defined in order to analyse the evolution of copper demand and assess its criticality in response to more stringent environmental constraints or sustainable behaviour requirements. We run four scenarios for copper, two climate scenarios with two different mobility shapes each:

- “Scen 4D”, which is consistent with limiting the 2100 expected global average temperature increase to 4°C above pre-industrial levels.
- “Scen 2D”, which is a more ambitious scenario, corresponding to the 2100 climate objectives of limiting global warming to 2°C.

In each climate scenario, two different future scenarios of mobility have been assumed and derived from the IEA Mobility Model (MoMo Model). In this paper, we incorporate outputs from the MoMo model as transport mobility inputs into our TIAM-IFPEN model. The two mobility scenarios for each climate scenario are (See Appendix C for more details of transport mobility evolution):

- A “BAU mobility” scenario equivalent to a continuous increase of the ownership rate and a higher car-dependency.
- A “Sustainable mobility” scenario where the idea of a sustainable mobility is assumed to underpin an integrated approach to urban land-use and transport planning and investment,

and gives priority to sustainable modes of mobility such as public and non-motorized transport.

Dealing with uncertainty in modelling is a complex endeavour that may be accomplished and in the case of TIMES-MARKAL family model, stochastic programming and parametric analysis (also known as sensitivity analysis) are two available features. By defining series of scenarios through the variation of the values of some important exogenous assumptions (sectorial demands...etc.), sensitivity analysis feature has been performed in this article. Within this approach, a sequence of instances is solved and each assuming different values of the uncertain parameters. For further research, the stochastic mode could be considered.

### 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1. Pathways to achieve the 2°C goal


##### 3.1.1. Outlook for power sector

The fast shift to the low carbon technologies, which are very copper-intensive (see Fig. 5 in §2.2.1), is observed in the power sector in order to achieve the climate objectives of limiting global warming to 2°C by 2100 (Fig. 11). The total installed capacity by 2050 in the 4°C scenario, which is 10.5 TW, will be multiplied by around 2.5 in the 2°C scenario. The Variable Renewable Energy sources (VREs) (wind and solar) represented around 35% by 2050 in 4°C while it is reaching more than 69% in the 2°C scenario in terms of installed capacity.


**Fig. 11: (a) Evolution of World power installed capacity with climate constraints (b) Evolution of the world power production with climate constraints**

(a)





(b)





Fossil-based plants (coal, gas and oil) remains the main source of power production in the world in the 4°C scenario with around 55% of overall production while it drop to 0.8% in the more stringent scenario (2°C scenario). In the other hand, the Variable Renewable Energy sources (VREs) (wind and solar) has the inverse trend, they represented around 21 % by 2050 in the 4°C while it is reaching more than 67% in the more stringent scenario, namely 2°C scenario. The total power production reached in the 4°C scenario is almost 147 EJ (around 40 800 TWh) while it is almost 246 EJ (or 68 330 TWh). As a comparison, in the WEO 2019 of the IEA [110], the global power generation is expected to be 38 713 TWh in the Sustainable Development scenario<sup>17</sup> (SDS) by 2040 while in our model it is expected to be around 127.243 EJ, i.e. 35 345 TWh by 2040 (Fig. 11 (b) and Table 6 for more details).

**Table 6: Comparison of our modeling results in electricity generation (in TWh) in 2040 with recent literature**

	Our results		IEA WEO 2019 <sup>18</sup> [110]		
	4°C Scenario	2°C Scenario	Current Policies scenario	Stated Policies scenario <sup>19</sup>	Sustainable Development scenario
2040	35 115	35 345	42 824	41 373	38 713

Achieving a high share of renewables, mainly dominated by VREs, would thus require substitute or additional plants to provide extra inertia to the system. These options might include other means of production or storage devices, or renewable energy technologies (RETs) capable of providing such inertia (see Fig. 11 (a)) [111]. With higher VREs penetration by the 2050 horizon,

<sup>17</sup> The Sustainable Development Scenario is constructed on the basis of limiting the temperature rise to below 1.8 °C with a 66% probability without the implied reliance on global net-negative CO2 emissions, or 1.65 °C with a 50% probability [110]

<sup>18</sup> The Current Policies scenario shows what happens if the world continues along its present path, without any additional changes in policy, The Stated Policies Scenario previously named New Policies scenario, by contrast, incorporates today's policy intentions and targets. And the Sustainable Development Scenario maps out a way to meet sustainable energy goals in full, requiring rapid and widespread changes across all parts of the energy system.

<sup>19</sup> This scenario is consistent with limiting the temperature increase to below 2.7 °C above pre-industrial averages with a 50% probability (or below 3.2 °C with 66% probability).

nuclear energy combined with more biomass or hydro to ensure the reliability of the grid with a minimum share of dispatchable electricity in the grid. Wind is expected to be the dominant energy as observed in Fig. 11 (b). The system begins to introduce flexible options, such as storage devices from 2040 onwards in the 2°C scenario, despite the fact that this introduction of storage is quite low, which is more or less visible in the graphs.


### 3.1.2. Outlook for road transport sector

The high level of the transport electrification achieved in the 2°C scenario will have an impact on the copper demand (Fig. 12). Indeed, according to literature [104], electric vehicles contain two to more than five times more copper than the conventional vehicles according to their size (Fig. 6). 50% of the global fleet would be electric vehicles in the 2°C scenario while it is only 21% by 2050. We should pinpoint that around 1/3 of the world fleet are 2/3-wheelers (mostly in China and India) and around 50% of the electric fleet. Therefore, EV fleet is mostly located in Asian countries (China, India and Other developing countries in Asia) due to the large presence of these 2/3-wheelers.

With a sustainable mobility, the worldwide fleet achieves 3.5 and 3.4 billion vehicles in the 4°C and the 2°C scenarios by 2050, respectively, while it is more than 4.3 and 4.1 billion in a “Business-As-Usual” mobility. The impact of the mobility shift will allow a reduction of around 700-800 million vehicle due to the reduction of the mobility activity with the development of sustainable modes of mobility such as public and non-motorized transport.

The world fleet is dominated by the internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles between 2005-2050 (except in the scenario 2°C from 2040 onward) (Fig. 12).


Fig. 12: Evolution of the global vehicle stock between 2005-2050



578 ICE: Internal combustion engine; HEV: Full hybrid vehicle; PHEV: Plug-in hybrid vehicle; BEV: Battery-powered  
579 electric vehicle; FCEV: Fuel cell electric vehicle; EVs=BEVs+PHEVs+FCEVs  
580

581 According to Fig. 13 (a), the global fleet of EV (two and three-wheelers excluded) should reach  
582 between 450 and 500 million units by 2050 in the 4°C scenarios, while it could be as high as 785-  
583 900 million units in the 2° scenarios. When considering passenger light-duty vehicles (PLDVs:  
584 small, medium and large cars) alone, they should reach 390 and 435 million units in circulation  
585 by 2050 in the 4°C scenarios with the sustainable and BAU mobility, respectively, while it would  
586 be more than 675 and less than 800 million in Sustainable mobility and BAU hypothesis in the  
587 2°C scenario (Fig. 13 (b)).

**Fig. 13: (a) Evolution of the global electric vehicle fleet (b) Evolution of the electric vehicles in passenger light-duty vehicles**



Our model gives a global EV fleet (2/3-wheelers excluded) between 7.798 and 7.821 million vehicles in 2020. The existing EV worldwide fleet has been counted to be approximately 6.655 million vehicles worldwide in 2019 according to MarkLines. Therefore, it could be considered that our results are in fair agreement if considering that the estimated new EV sales would certainly decrease after a 4% decline in sales in 2019, following the slowdown in global economic growth and the implementation of new regulations in Europe and China due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Analysing these graphs, we could acknowledge that our forecasts in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios with the “Business-As-Usual” mobility, 110 and 180 million units by 2030, respectively, are similar in scope to the IEA’s forecasts in the Global EV Outlook (GEVO) 2019, which estimates the number of EV at between 130 and 250 million by 2030 in the New Policies Scenario<sup>20</sup> and EV30@30 scenario<sup>21</sup> (Table 7)[112].

<sup>20</sup> The New Policies Scenario has been renamed The Stated Policies Scenario, by contrast, incorporates today’s policy intentions and targets to underline that it considers only specific policy initiatives that have already been announced. The aim is to hold up a mirror to the plans of today’s policy makers and illustrate their consequences, not to guess how these policy preferences may change in the future. This trajectory is consistent with limiting the temperature increase to below 2.7 °C above pre-industrial averages with a 50% probability (or below 3.2 °C with 66% probability)[110].

**Table 7: Comparison of our modeling results in future global EV stock in 2030 with recent literature**

	Our results		IEA GEVO 2019 [112]	
	4°C Scenario	2°C Scenario	Stated Policies scenario	EV30@30 scenario
2030	110 million	180 million	130 million	250 million


### 3.2. The impact of the energy transition on global copper demand

We aim to quantify the impact of the energy transition on copper resources. We therefore compare the remaining copper resources in 2050 under the most stringent 4°C and 2°C climate scenarios, i.e. with the “Business-As-Usual” mobility. Both scenarios assume that resources are available for copper mining at current cost. This rather optimistic assumption does not impose any delay in the conversion of resources into reserves. This simplifies the modelling and allows us to avoid any arbitrary assumptions about the timing of future copper reserves development. In addition, assuming that the copper contained in resources is available, the total amount of copper required can be quantified based on our scenarios, as described above. Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 compare total cumulative copper extracted from the mines and the total cumulative copper consumed, respectively, between 2010 and 2050 with the global identified copper resources in 2010 [100], and the global identified copper resources in 2010 plus the estimated undiscovered resources in 2013 [113] under climate scenarios. According to the graphs, the two leftmost bars represent cumulative global extraction/or consumption of copper in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios, and its distribution among the producing/or consuming regions. The two rightmost bars depict the global identified copper resources in 2010, and the global identified copper resources plus the estimated undiscovered copper resources at 90% chance assessed in 2013. The global reserves in

<sup>21</sup> The EV30@30 Scenario takes into account the pledges of the Electric Vehicle Initiative’s EV30@30 Campaign to reach a 30% market share for EVs in all modes except two-wheelers by 2030 [112].

2019 according to the USGS are represented by the horizontal red line in both graphs. On the right axis, we have defined an indicator for a dynamic assessment of copper criticality either the copper extraction or the copper consumption as a reference. This indicator, which could be also called the safety margin, is calculated as the ratio of cumulative copper extracted/ or consumed to the resources.

**Fig. 14: Comparison between cumulative primary copper production through to 2050 under two climate scenarios and the identified and undiscovered copper worldwide in 2013**



Source: USGS, Authors' results

"90P" indicates a 90-percent chance of at least the amount shown, with other percentiles similarly defined

According to the Fig. 14, between 2010 and 2050, 78.3% and 89.4% of the global identified copper resources will be extracted in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios, respectively. These latter criticalities in copper mining will fall to 47% and 53.7%, respectively, if the undiscovered copper resources estimated at a 90% chance, as assumed by the USGS, are also available. In comparison, the current copper reserves in 2019, which is 870 Mt, would not be sufficient after 2035 or 2040

to satisfy the copper extraction evolution in average in the 2°C and 4°C scenarios, respectively.

However, it should be noted that our cumulative primary copper demand is around 1600-1900 Mt between 2015 and 2050 with a recycling rate of 45% while it is estimated around 800-1000 Mt in Schipper et al. [55] for the SSP1, SSP2 and SSP5 scenarios, with each 70% and 90% recycling rates. In addition, they reminded that recycling rates of 70 and 90% are extremely speculative, they are used to represent an ideal situation regarding recycling. Reaching 70–90% recycling rate of copper would require significant changes in the way products are designed, and would be difficulty to acquire in short term. Elshkaki et al. [56] have obtained cumulative primary copper production between 1500 and 1900 Mt depending on the scenario. These conclusions from this recent scientific literature are found to be in agreement with our primary copper evolution by 2050 in Fig. 14 (Table 8).

**Table 8: Comparison of our modeling results in cumulative primary copper demand between 2015 and 2050 with recent literature**

	Our results		Schipper et al. (2018) [55]	Elshkaki et al. (2016) [57]
	4°C scenario	2°C scenario	SSP1, SSP2 and SSP5 scenarios	EF, SF, PF and MF scenarios <sup>22</sup>
Cumulative primary copper demand	1600 Mt	1900 Mt	800 – 1000 Mt	1500 – 1900 Mt
Average recycling rate considered	45%		70% and 90% for each scenario	45%

<sup>22</sup> These are the four “storylines” of the UNEP GEO-4 foundational scenarios:


**Market First (MF).** A market-driven world in which demographic, economic, environmental, and technological trends unfold without major surprise relative to currently unfolding trends.

**Policy First (PF).** A world in which strong actions are undertaken by governments in an attempt to reach specific social and environmental goals, especially as pertains to renewable energy.

**Security First (SF).** A world of great disparities where inequality and conflict prevail, brought about by socio-economic and environmental stresses.

**Equitability First (EF).** A world in which a new development paradigm emerges in response to the challenge of sustainability, supported by new, more equitable values and institutions.

650 **Fig. 15: Comparison between cumulative copper consumption through to 2050 under two climate**  
651 **scenarios and the identified and undiscovered copper worldwide in 2014**




652 Source: USGS, Authors' results  
653 "90P" indicates a 90-percent chance of at least the amount shown, with other percentiles similarly defined

654 Considering copper consumption as a reference in the definition of criticality, the results in Fig.  
655 15, combined with the ones in Fig. 14, show the importance of the secondary production in the  
656 copper demand. Indeed, observing Fig. 15, it can be pinpointed that without any secondary  
657 production, the total cumulative copper consumption between 2010 and 2050 would have been  
658 119.2% and 130.7% of the world's known resources in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios, respectively.  
659 These two graphs also highlight the regions which are the most producing, consuming ones or  
660 both. China, Western Europe, Japan and United States of America represent almost 65% of the  
661 total cumulative copper consumption (averaging 31%, 14%, 13% and 6%, respectively) between  
662 2010 and 2050 in the 4°C or 2°C scenarios. While around 60 % of the total cumulative copper



mined between 2010 and 2050 was done in only four regions: Central and South America, China, Other developing Asian countries and Western Europe (averaging 20%, 18%, 13% and 9%, respectively) in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios.

**Fig. 16: Cumulative domestic extractions over the 2010-2050 period in the two climate scenarios and domestic copper resources (in cumulative kt)**




Source: USGS, Authors' results

China and Western Europe, as can be observed in Fig. 16, extract more than the totality of their identified domestic resources in both climate scenarios. Taking into account USGS estimates of undiscovered resources would increase China's copper resources by a factor of 4.48 from their 2010 level. Assuming these resources become exploitable by 2050, we can see that the projected growth of the Chinese economy and its copper needs are pushing the country to consume all its resources and to import part of the copper it uses.

Three other regions are also represented in Fig. 16: Africa, Central & South America and the United States of America (USA). They share the characteristic of having abundant copper

resources, allowing them to meet domestic demand in both climate scenarios while exporting primary copper. As expected, Central & South America will obtain an additional rent from resource extraction in the 4°C and 2°C scenario. This is the geographical area that shows the greatest difference in the two climate scenarios in terms of copper quantities extracted and domestic copper consumption (Fig. 14 & Fig. 15). However, the Latin America monopoly may face a competitive fringe of smaller copper producers. Indeed, according to our results, Africa, Central Asia & the Caucasus, Canada, Mexico, Russia, the USA and the Other Developing Asian countries are regions with enough copper resources to meet domestic demand and export to other regions in both climate scenarios.

**Fig. 17: (a) Evolution of the yearly copper consumption (b) Evolution of yearly copper consumption per capita**



The yearly copper consumption increases with the growing GDP per capita and will vary from around 27 Mt in 2015 to around 86 Mt and 102 Mt in 2050 in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios, respectively (Fig. 17 (a)). These values combined with the evolution of the world population given by the UN population, the average consumption of copper per inhabitant per year is calculated to increase from 3.6 kg/cap/yr in 2015 for a GDP per capita (ppp) of 13 102.7 US\$

2005 to 8.9 and 10.5 kg/cap/yr in 2050 for a GDP per capita of around 29 200 US\$2005 in the 4°C and 2°C scenarios, respectively. According to our results, our global copper demand in 2050 is therefore about double or more the value found by Vidal et al. [57] of 45 Mt and the same trend is observed on the copper intensity per capita in 2050. However, Halada et al.[56] estimated the copper consumption of only 10 countries (so-called BRICs (Brazil, Russia, India, China) and the original G6 countries of Japan, USA, UK, France, Germany, and Italy) and they found around 45 Mt by 2050. We found our global copper demand to be also in line with the values from top-down method (regressions) or bottom-up stock dynamics method in the three scenarios SSP1, SSP2 and SSP5 estimated by Schipper et al. [55] by 2050 (Table 9).

**Table 9: Comparison of our modeling results in global copper demand in 2050 with recent literature**

	Our results		Vidal et al. (2019) [58]	Schipper et al. (2018) [55]	Elshkaki et al. (2016) [57]	Halada et al. (2008) [56]
	4°C scenario	2°C scenario	Main scenario	SSP1, SSP2 and SSP5 scenarios	EF, SF, PF and MF scenarios	Scenario for only 10 countries (BRICS and G6)
Global copper demand in 2050	86 Mt	102 Mt	45 Mt	70 -125 Mt	40 – 70 Mt	45 Mt

These results pinpoint the fact that the demand for copper will exceed the current reserves as well as ruining almost the global identified resources by 2050. The development of the copper recycling sector would play an important role in the future. It may exist a risk that this geological criticality could hamper the diffusion of low-carbon technologies. To this extent, two policy options have been assessed in the next section.


### 3.3. The impact of public policies aimed at reducing world copper demand

#### 3.3.1. The regionalized impact of sectorial copper recycling capacities

Our results show that the energy transition will require the development of new copper reserves, highlighting the importance of recycling as a key lever for a more efficient use of copper resources. It is relevant to analyse the evolution of recycling over the coming decades, as it illustrates the mechanisms that can lead to secondary (recycled) copper decreasing as a proportion of total copper consumption over time. The growth rate of copper demand and its sectorial composition are two crucial elements in understanding the dynamics of recycling. They jointly determine both the composition of copper scrap, which, depending on its source, will be more or less costly to recycle in the future, and the rate at which copper is immobilized in applications with varying lifetimes. A country that experiences strong growth in copper demand to supply the consumption of dispersive uses therefore runs the risk of seeing the share of its copper demand covered through secondary production decrease over time.

This phenomenon has been quantified by our model and is represented in Fig. 18. It shows the evolution of copper consumption in the 2°C scenario over five decades for several major consumer regions. A distinction is made between the two sources of copper consumed: primary copper, and secondary copper from recycling. The share of recycled copper in consumption is expressed as a percentage on the data labels.

**Fig. 18: Evolution and distribution of domestic copper consumption for major consumers (2006-2050) (in kt copper)**




Although recycling practices are calibrated on historical data [107], the share of secondary copper in total copper demand does not remain stable over time because the dynamic speed of the copper demand and the available scrap copper stock used for recycling are different. This is particularly important for countries or regions where strong economic growth is expected, such as Africa, India, and to a lesser extent China. Secondary production accounts for the largest share of domestic consumption in these countries in 2020. Over time, the acceleration in consumption outweighs the rate of accumulation of copper scrap available for recycling and demand for copper is increasingly met through primary production. This result demonstrates the importance for countries experiencing strong economic growth of developing an efficient upstream copper recycling sector to reduce import dependency. Europe, Japan, USA and South Korea, due to their moderate expected economic growth, can maintain relatively stable secondary production share over time after 2030.

### 3.3.2. The regionalized development of a sustainable mobility in road transport sector

The road transport sector is crucial for the future evolution of copper consumption, as low-carbon vehicles are more copper-intensive than conventional ones. This sector is a major emitter of greenhouse gases. The evolution of sectorial consumption is depicted, all regions combined, in Fig. 19, in a 2°C scenario where road transport mobility is considered to evolve. In this graph, we represented the results of the 2°C scenario within a “Business-As-Usual” and “Sustainable” mobility.


**Fig. 19: Evolution of the copper consumption in the 2°C scenario: an assessment of the impact of the transport mobility shift**



The dotted line represents the evolution of total copper consumption under a sustainable transport mobility hypothesis, as detailed in §2.3.3. The area between this dotted line and the upper limit of the copper consumption of the road transport sector (red arrow) represents the copper consumption savings achieved through a more sustainable transport mobility. The results in Fig.

19 indicate that copper consumption will be driven mainly by three sectors: road transport (green arrow), consumer goods and networks. During the period 2040-2050, the impact of the power generation sector increases due to the fast roll-out of RETs to limit the global temperature rise to 2°C as already seen in Fig. 11. Energy transport and telecommunication networks enable the deployment of smart grids and make energy demand more flexible. The consumer goods sector is a highly diverse group of goods. Public policies and market forces will help regulate consumption more effectively than rationing, which obviously has practical and political limits. The road transport sector thus triggers copper savings: the implementation of sustainable mobility policies would reduce the ecological impacts of mobility while limiting use of copper resources. Here, we focus on the consequences of more sustainable mobility on copper consumption. It varies significantly across the model's regions. To account for these differences, the share of reduction in total copper consumption over the period 2010-2050 attributable to a sustainable transport mobility in a 2°C climate scenario is represented in Fig. 20.

**Fig. 20: Share of copper consumption avoided through a sustainable mobility scenario (in %), 2010-2050**



For most countries, these reduce cumulative copper consumption over the period 2010-2050 are between 2% to 20%. Countries and regions where sustainable mobility has only a small effect on copper consumption are Canada, Western Europe, South Korea, Australia-NZ, and Japan. This results from the combined effects of transport policies, socio-economic development and urban population density evolution. Indeed, most OECD worldwide have constantly adjusted their transport policies over time through an integrated approach to land use and transport planning, transport pricing (congestion pricing schemes, road tolls) and parking restrictions to challenge car attractiveness, while providing alternative modes of transport [17]. These measures, combined with these (OECD) countries' constant urban population density through to 2050, have helped reduce car dependency (including, among other indicators, a reduced vehicle ownership rate<sup>23</sup>), therefore keeping vehicle stocks constant or slightly down, through switches to more sustainable

<sup>23</sup> The number of vehicles per 1000 inhabitants.



modes of transport. The case of the United States of America and Canada should be noticed here due to their high car dependency. As expected in Canada, the development of modes of mobility such as public and non-motorized transport will be more difficult due to the country configuration while in the USA this transport shift will have higher impact than in other OECD countries. For other regions, mostly non-OECD, the contrary is observed in the current transport structure. In the case of a BAU mobility scenario, they are likely to evolve towards a very high dependence on cars due to a lack of efficient public transport infrastructure. This explains the high share of primary copper consumption saved in these countries under a sustainable mobility scenario. The case of China, India and Other Developing Asian countries (ODA) should be highlighted due to the predominance of 2/3-wheelers. In a sustainable mobility scenario, a slight switch from cars to this mode of transport will also be observed. In the case of China and India for example, due to their scale and combined with more public and non-motorized mobility, significant quantities of copper can be saved. At the global level, if all regions implement policies aimed at achieving more sustainable mobility, overall cumulative copper consumption could be reduced by 2.3%.

#### 4. Conclusion

We assess the criticality risk for copper in the context of the energy transition. For the first time, an energy system optimization model has been developed to integrate both a detailed representation of the copper supply chain and the copper content of the technologies available in two major sectors of the energy transition: power and transport.

- The first interest of our modelling approach is to link the diffusion of low-carbon technologies to copper resources. The technological mix and its evolution therefore interact directly with the rate of copper-resource depletion. Our scenarios demonstrate that 78.3% of the copper resources known in 2010 will have to be extracted from the

ground between 2010 and 2050 in a 4°C scenario, and 89.4% in a 2°C scenario. China and Europe are set to become highly dependent on external sources, and Central and South America will provide a significant portion of copper production to meet the additional demand resulting from the energy transition although other regions such as Africa, Central Asia & the Caucasus, Canada, Mexico, Russia, the USA and the Other Developing Asian countries with enough copper resources to meet domestic demand and export to other regions in both climate scenarios. When considering the final copper consumption, the global identified resources will have not be sufficient if recycling would have not been considered. Indeed, the cumulative final copper consumption between 2010 and 2050 is found to be at around 119.2% and 130.7% of the global copper resources known in 2010 for the 4°C and 2°C scenarios, respectively. These results show that the rate of increase in world copper consumption is likely to put pressure on existing copper production capacity. In this context, there rapid increase in copper consumption should be followed by the development of copper recycling sectors or demand management policies in order to not hamper the energy transition process. Our results therefore underline the importance of policies to smooth future demand trends.

- Two public policy options have also been considered in these analyses in order to reduce the rate of growth of copper demand: strengthening recycling capacities and accelerating sustainable mobility policies.

✓ With regard to recycling, our results highlight the importance of strengthening copper recycling channels now, particularly in countries with strong growth prospects. The rate of deployment of copper-intensive and long-life technologies is the highest in these countries. This changes the sectorial composition of the copper scrap flow available for recycling each

834 year and, ultimately, can reduce the share of secondary copper in total  
835 copper consumption.

836 ✓ Another option could be to implement a sustainable transport mobility  
837 policy in order to reduce demand for low-carbon and copper-intensive  
838 vehicles. This could be supported by strong transport policies aimed at  
839 reducing car dependency and promoting the use of alternative modes of  
840 transport (walking, cycling, shared mobility, and public transport). While  
841 copper savings may seem minor at global level, this strategy may be  
842 important for some countries. Indeed, several of the model's regions,  
843 including Africa, Central Asia and the Caucasus, Russia, Other Eastern  
844 European countries, India, and Other Developing Asian countries could  
845 reduce their cumulative consumption of copper consumption by more than  
846 5% over the period 2010-2050.

847 • While our scenarios show the importance of the copper resources held by the region  
848 Central and South America (Chile and Peru). This region does not eliminate uncertainty  
849 about the ability and willingness of its producing countries to continue increasing copper  
850 production capacities, particularly due to environmental externalities (local pollution and  
851 water resource availability) caused by the exploitation of the ore produced.

852 Other perspectives of our global model for further research on copper, in particular, would be to  
853 analyse the impact of an increasing recycling rate, while also in general, it would be relevant to  
854 implement and analyse the impact of water resource availability on some major raw material  
855 production, therefore on the energy transition. The integration of other strategic materials such as  
856 cobalt, nickel and rare-earth metals (neodymium, terbium, lanthanum among others) would be  
857 very valuable with the increasing deployment of Electric Vehicles (EVs) and Renewable Energy

Technologies (RETs). This new global energy model could be very useful as a decision-making tool to better understand investments in low-carbon technologies based on future raw material resource constraints for better sectorial assessment.

## Acknowledgement

This study received the financial support of the French National Research Agency (ANR) and this article is part of the GENERATE (Renewable Energy Geopolitics and Future Studies on Energy Transition) project. The authors are very grateful to Amit Kanudia, who has contributed to the TIAM-IFPEN model on behalf of his company KANoRS through providing assistance. We are also very grateful to Nathalie Keller, François Kalaydjian and Jerome Sabathier for their insightful comments and suggestions.

## 5. References

- [1] Alliance Nationale de Coordination de la Recherche pour l'Energie (ANCRE), 2015, Rapport Ressources minérales et énergie, 75p. [https://www.allianceenergie.fr/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Ancre\\_Rapport\\_2015-Ressources\\_minerales\\_et\\_energie\\_0.pdf](https://www.allianceenergie.fr/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Ancre_Rapport_2015-Ressources_minerales_et_energie_0.pdf)
- [2] World Bank, 2017, The Growing Role of Minerals and Metals for a Low Carbon Future, Washington, <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/207371500386458722/pdf/117581-WP-P159838-PUBLIC-ClimateSmartMiningJuly.pdf>.
- [3] OECD, 2018, Global Material Resources Outlook to 2060. Economic drivers and environmental consequences, Paris.
- [4] Kolotzek C., Helbig C., Thorenz A., Reller A., Tuma A., 2018, A company-oriented model for the assessment of raw material supply risks, environmental impact and social implications, Journal of Cleaner Production 176, p566-580.
- [5] Baldi L., Peri M., Vandone D., 2014, Clean Energy Industries and Rare Earth Materials: Economic and financial issues, Energy Policy 66, p53–61.
- [6] Glöser, S., Tercero Espinoza, L., Gandenberger, C., Faulstich, M., 2015, Raw material criticality in the context of classical risk assessment. Resour. Pol. 44, p35-46.

- 885 [7] Harper E.M., Diao, Z., Panousi, S., Nuss, P., Eckelman, M.J., Graedel, T.E., 2015a. The  
886 criticality of four nuclear energy metals. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 95, p193-  
887 201
- 888 [8] Harper E.M., Kavlak, G., Burmeister, L., Eckelman, M.J., Erbis, S., Sebastian Espinoza,  
889 V., Nuss, P., Graedel, T.E., 2015b, Criticality of the geological zinc, tin, and lead family.  
890 *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 19, p628-644
- 891 [9] Graedel, T.E., Barr, R., Chandler, C., Chase, T., Choi, J., Christoffersen, L., Friedlander,  
892 E., Henly, C., Jun, C., Nassar, N.T., Schechner, D., Warren, S., Yang, M., Zhu, C., 2012,  
893 Methodology of metal criticality determination. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, p1063-1070.
- 894 [10] Nassar N.T., Du, X., Graedel, T.E., 2015, Criticality of the rare earth elements. *Journal of*  
895 *Industrial Ecology* 19, p1044-1054
- 896 [11] Bach, V., Berger, M., Henßler, M., Kirchner, M., Leiser, S., Mohr, L., Rother, E., Ruhland,  
897 K., Schneider, L., Tikana, L., Volkhausen, W., Walachowicz, F., Finkbeiner, M., 2016,  
898 Integrated method to assess resource efficiency – ESSENZ, *J. Clean. Prod.* 137, p118-130
- 899 [12] Bach V., Finogenova N., Berger M., Winter L., Finkbeiner M., 2017, Enhancing the  
900 assessment of critical resource use at the country level with the SCARCE method – Case  
901 study of Germany, *Resources Policy* 53, p283–299
- 902 [13] Bonnet, C., Carcanague, Hache, E., S., Seck, G., Simoen, M., 2019, Some geopolitical  
903 issues of the energy transition, Working Paper GENERATE n°4, 19p.
- 904 [14] Kushnir D., Sanden B.A., 2012, The time dimension and lithium resource constraints for  
905 electric vehicles, *Resources Policy* 37, pp93–103.
- 906 [15] Speirs J., Contestabile M., Houari Y., Gross R., 2014, The future of lithium availability for  
907 electric vehicle batteries, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 35, pp183-193.
- 908 [16] Hache, E., Seck, G., Simoen, M., 2018, Electrification du parc automobile mondial et  
909 criticité du lithium à l'horizon 2050", ADEME-IFPEN Report, 75p.  
910 [https://www.ademe.fr/electrification-parc-automobile-mondial-criticite-lithium-a-lhorizon-](https://www.ademe.fr/electrification-parc-automobile-mondial-criticite-lithium-a-lhorizon-2050)  
911 2050
- 912 [17] Hache E., Seck, G.S., Simoën, M., Bonnet, C., Carcanague, S., 2019a, Critical raw  
913 materials and transportation sector electrification: A detailed bottom-up analysis in road  
914 transport, *Applied Energy* 240, pp.6-25.
- 915 [18] Helbig, C., Bradshaw A. M., Wietschel L., Thorenz A., Tuma A., 2018, Supply risks  
916 associated with lithium-ion battery materials, *Journal of Cleaner Production* 172, pp274-  
917 286.

- 918 [19] Alonso E., Sherman A., Wallington T., Everson M., Field F., Roth R., Kirchain R., 2012,  
919 Evaluating rare earth element availability: a case with revolutionary demand from clean  
920 technologies. *Environ. Sci. Technol* 46, pp3406–3414.
- 921 [20] Baldi L., Peri M., Vandone D., 2014, Clean Energy Industries and Rare Earth Materials:  
922 Economic and financial issues, *Energy Policy* 66, pp.53–61.
- 923 [21] Nassar, N.T., Du, X., Graedel, T.E., 2015, Criticality of the rare earth elements. *Journal of*  
924 *Industrial Ecology* Volume 19, p1044-1054.
- 925 [22] Hache, E., Carcanague, S., Bonnet, C., Seck, G., Simoën, M., 2019b, Vers une géopolitique  
926 de l'énergie plus complexe ?, *Revue Internationale et Stratégique* n°113, Printemps 2019,  
927 pp.73-81.
- 928 [23] Tilton, J.E., 2003a, On Borrowed Time? Assessing the Threat of Mineral Depletion  
929 (Resources for the Future, Washington, DC).
- 930 [24] Tilton, J. E., 2003b, Assessing the threat of mineral depletion. *Minerals and Energy-Raw*  
931 *Materials Report*, 18(1), 33-42.
- 932 [25] Gordon, R.B., Bertram, M., Graedel, T.E., 2006, Metal stocks and sustainability. *Proc.*  
933 *Natl. Acad. Sci. États-Unis* 103, 1209–1214.
- 934 [26] Tilton, J.E., Lagos, G., 2007, Assessing the long-run availability of copper, *Resources*  
935 *Policy*, Volume 32, Issues 1–2, pp.19-23, ISSN 0301-4207,  
936 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2007.04.001>.
- 937 [27] Gordon, R.B., Bertram, M., Graedel, T.E., 2007, On the sustainability of metal supplies: A  
938 response to Tilton and Lagos, *Resources Policy* 32, pp. 24-28.
- 939 [28] Vidal O., 2018, *Matières premières et énergie: les enjeux de demain*. Collection Energie,  
940 ISTE Editions.
- 941 [29] International Wrought Copper Council/ International Copper Association, 2019, dataset,  
942 <https://copperalliance.org/trends-and-innovations/data-set/>
- 943 [30] Ballinger B., Schmeda-Lopez D., Kefford B., Parkinson B., Stringer M., Greig C., Smart  
944 S., 2020, The vulnerability of electric-vehicle and wind-turbine supply chains to the supply  
945 of rare-earth elements in a 2-degree scenario, *Sustainable Production and Consumption* doi:  
946 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.02.005>
- 947 [31] Wübbecke, J., 2013, Rare earth elements in China: Policies and narratives of reinventing an  
948 industry. *Resources Policy* 38, pp. 384–394.

- 949 [32] European Commission, 2008, The Raw Materials Initiative—Meeting our Critical Needs  
950 for Growth and Jobs in Europe, COM(2008)699 final. European Commission (EC),  
951 Brussels, Belgium.
- 952 [33] European Commission, 2011, Tackling the Challenges in Commodity Markets and on Raw  
953 Materials, COM (2011) 25 final. European Commission, Brussels, Belgium.
- 954 [34] European Commission, 2014, Report on Critical Raw Materials for the EU, Report of the  
955 Ad-hoc Working Group on Defining Critical Raw Materials. European Commission (EC),  
956 Brussels, Belgium.
- 957 [35] European Commission, 2017, Report on the 2017 list of Critical Raw Materials for the EU.  
958 European Commission (EC), Brussels, Belgium.
- 959 [36] U.S. Congress, 1939, Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, Act of June 7, 1939,  
960 ch. 190, 53 stat. 811 enacted by the 76th United States Congress
- 961 [37] Sörme, L., Bergbäck, B. & Lohm, U., 2001, Century Perspective of Heavy Metal Use in  
962 Urban Areas. A Case Study in Stockholm. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus 1, 197–211.  
963 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017564407076>
- 964 [38] Zhang L., Yuan Z., Bi J., 2011, Estimation of Copper In-use Stocks in Nanjing, China,  
965 Journal of Industrial Ecology, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00406.x>
- 966 [39] van Beers D., Graedel T.E., 2007, Spatial characterisation of multi-level in-use copper and  
967 zinc stocks in Australia, Journal of Cleaner Production 15, Issues 8–9, pp. 849-861
- 968 [40] Hao M., Wang P., Song L., Dai M., Ren Y., Chen W-Q., 2020, Spatial distribution of  
969 copper in-use stocks and flows in China: 1978–2016, Journal of Cleaner Production 261,  
970 121260
- 971 [41] Soulier M., Pfaff M., Goldmann D., Walz R., Geng Y., Zhang L., Tercero Espinoza L. A.,  
972 2018a, The Chinese copper cycle: Tracing copper through the economy with dynamic  
973 substance flow and input-output analysis, Journal of Cleaner Production 195 (2018)  
974 435e447
- 975 [42] Zhang L., Yang J., Cai Z., Yuan Z., 2014, Analysis of copper flows in China from 1975 to  
976 2010, Science of The Total Environment 478, 15 April 2014, Pages 80-89
- 977 [43] Gorman M., Dzombak D., 2020, Stocks and flows of copper in the U.S.: Analysis of  
978 circularity 1970–2015 and potential for increased recovery, Resources, Conservation and  
979 Recycling 153, February 2020, 104542

- 980 [44] Wang M., Liang Y., Yuan M., Cui X., Yang Y., Li X., 2018, Dynamic analysis of copper  
981 consumption, in-use stocks and scrap generation in different sectors in the U.S. 1900–2016,  
982 Resources, Conservation and Recycling 139, December 2018, Pages 140-149
- 983 [45] Chen W., Wang M., Li X., 2016, Analysis of copper flows in the United States: 1975–  
984 2012, Resources, Conservation and Recycling 111, August 2016, Pages 67-76
- 985 [46] Spatari S., Bertram M., Gordon R.B., Henderson K., Graedel T.E., 2005, Twentieth century  
986 copper stocks and flows in North America: A dynamic analysis, Ecological Economics 54,  
987 Issue 1, pp. 37-51
- 988 [47] Soulier M., Glöser-Chahoud S., Goldmann D., Tercero Espinoza L.A., 2018b, Dynamic  
989 analysis of European copper flows, Resources, Conservation & Recycling 129 (2018) 143–  
990 152
- 991 [48] Bonnin M., Azzaro-Pantel C., Pibouleau L., Domenech S., Villeneuve J., 2013,  
992 Development and validation of a dynamic material flow analysis model for French copper  
993 cycle, chemical engineering research and design 91, pp. 1390–1402
- 994 [49] Glöser, S., Soulier, M., Tercero Espinoza, L.A., 2013, Dynamics analysis of global copper  
995 flows. Global stocks, postconsumer material flows, recycling indicators, and uncertainty  
996 evaluation, Environmental Science & Technology 47, pp. 6564-6572.
- 997 [50] Memary R., Giurco D., Mudd G., Mason L., 2012, Life cycle assessment: a time-series  
998 analysis of copper, Journal of Cleaner Production 33, pp. 97-108
- 999 [51] Song X., Pettersen J.B., Pedersen K.B., Røberg S., 2017, Comparative life cycle assessment  
1000 of tailings management and energy scenarios for a copper ore mine: A case study in  
1001 Northern Norway, Journal of Cleaner Production 164, pp. 892-904
- 1002 [52] Jingjing C., Zhaohui W., Yufeng W., Liquan L., Bin L., De'an P., Tieyong Z., 2019,  
1003 Environmental benefits of secondary copper from primary copper based on life cycle  
1004 assessment in China, Resources, Conservation and Recycling 146, pp. 35-44
- 1005 [53] Fisher F.M., Cootner P.H., Baily M.N., 1972, An econometric model of the world copper  
1006 industry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Stanford University, 50 memorial  
1007 drive Cambridge, mass. 02139
- 1008 [54] Rohatgi P.K., Weiss C., 1977, Technology Forecasting for Commodity Projections: A Case  
1009 Study on the Effect of Substitution by Aluminum on the Future Demand for Copper,  
1010 Technological Forecasting and Social Change 11, 2546
- 1011 [55] Schipper B.W., Lin H-C., Meloni M.A., Wansleeben K., Heijungs R., van der Voet E.,  
1012 2018, Estimating global copper demand until 2100 with regression and stock dynamics,  
1013 Resources, Conservation and Recycling 132, pp. 28-36



- 1014 [56] Halada K., Shimada M., Ijima K., 2008, Forecasting of the Consumption of Metals up to  
1015 2050, *Materials Transactions* 49, pp. 402-410
- 1016 [57] Elshkaki A., Graedel T.E., Ciacci L., Reck B.K., 2016, Copper demand, supply, and  
1017 associated energy use to 2050, *Global Environmental Change* 39, pp. 305-315
- 1018 [58] Vidal O., Rostom F.Z., François C., Giraud G., 2019, Prey–Predator Long-Term Modeling  
1019 of Copper Reserves, Production, Recycling, Price, and Cost of Production, *Environ. Sci.*  
1020 *Technol.* 53, pp. 11323–11336
- 1021 [59] Zhang L., Yang J., Cai Z., Yuan Z., 2015a, Understanding the Spatial and Temporal  
1022 Patterns of Copper In-Use Stocks in China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, pp. 6430–6437
- 1023 [60] Zhang L., Cai Z., Yang J., Yuan Z., Chen Y., 2015b, The future of copper in China—A  
1024 perspective based on analysis of copper flows and stocks, *Science of the Total Environment*  
1025 536, pp. 142–149
- 1026 [61] Habib K., Hamelin L., Wenzel H., 2016, A dynamic perspective of the geopolitical supply  
1027 risk of metals, *Journal of Cleaner Production* 133, pp. 850-858
- 1028 [62] Dutta T, Kim K-H., Uchimiya M., Kwon E. E., Jeon B-H., Deep A., Yun S-T., 2016,  
1029 Global demand for rare earth resources and strategies for green mining, *Environmental*  
1030 *Research* 150, pp. 182-190.
- 1031 [63] Rollat A., Guyonnet D., Planchon M., Tuduri J., 2016, Prospective analysis of the flows of  
1032 certain rare earths in Europe at the 2020 horizon, *Waste Management* 49, pp. 427-436
- 1033 [64] Wang X., Yao M., Li J., Zhang K., Zhu H., Zheng M., 2017a, China's Rare Earths  
1034 Production Forecasting and Sustainable Development Policy Implications, *Sustainability* 9,  
1035 1003
- 1036 [65] Wang X., Lei Y., Ge J., Wu S., 2015, Production forecast of China's rare earths based on  
1037 the Generalized Weng model and policy recommendations, *Resources Policy* 43, pp. 11-18
- 1038 [66] Roper L.D., 2009, World Mineral Reserves. Website With Hubbert's Type Variant of  
1039 Resource Assessment
- 1040 [67] Laherrere J., 2010, Copper Peak. Posted by de Sousa, L., 2010 The oil drum Europe April 1,  
1041 2010. 6307:1-27
- 1042 [68] Wang J., Guo M., Liu M., Wei X., 2020, Long-term outlook for global rare earth  
1043 production, *Resources Policy* 65, 101569
- 1044 [69] Pauliuk, S., Arvesen, A., Stadler, K, Hertwich, E. G., 2017, Industrial Ecology in Integrated  
1045 Assessment Models. *Nature Clim. Change* 7, 13-20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3148>

- 1046 [70] Kuipers K.J.J., van Oers L.F.C.M., Verboon M., van der Voet E., 2018, Assessing  
1047 environmental implications associated with global copper demand and supply scenarios  
1048 from 2010 to 2050, *Global Environmental Change* 49, pp. 106–115
- 1049 [71] Hertwich, E., Gibon, T., Bouman, E.A., Arvesen, A., Suh, S., Heath, G.A., Bergesen, J.D.,  
1050 Ramirez, A., Vega, M.I. Shi, L., 2015. Integrated life-cycle assessment of electricity-supply  
1051 scenarios confirms global environmental benefit of low-carbon technologies, *PNAS*,  
1052 Volume 112, Issue 12, pp. 6277-6282.
- 1053 [72] McLellan, B.C., Yamasue, E., Tezuka, T., Corder, G., Golev, A., Giurco, D., 2016, *Critical*  
1054 *Minerals and Energy—Impacts and Limitations of Moving to Unconventional Resources.*  
1055 *Resources* 5, 19.
- 1056 [73] Kalvig, P., Machaceg, E., 2018. Examining the rare-earth elements (REE) supply– demand  
1057 balance for future global wind power scenarios. *GEUS - Geological Survey of Denmark*  
1058 *and Greenland Bulletin* 41, pp. 87–90.
- 1059 [74] Imholte D.D., Nguyen R.T., Vedantam A., Brown M., Iyer A., Smith B.J., Collins J.W.,  
1060 Anderson C.G., O’Kelley B., 2018, An assessment of U.S. rare earth availability for  
1061 supporting U.S. wind energy growth targets, *Energy Policy* 113, pp. 294-305
- 1062 [75] Habib K., Hansdóttir S. T., Habib H., 2020, Critical metals for electromobility: Global  
1063 demand scenarios for passenger vehicles, 2015–2050, *Resources, Conservation and*  
1064 *Recycling* 154, 104603
- 1065 [76] Deetman S., Marinova S., van der Voet E., van Vuuren D., Edelenbosch O., Heijungs R.,  
1066 2020, Modelling Global Material Stocks and Flows for Residential and Commercial  
1067 Buildings towards 2050, *Journal of Cleaner Production* 245, 118658
- 1068 [77] Marinova S., Deetman S., Van der Voet E., 2020, Global construction materials database  
1069 and stock analysis of residential buildings between 1970-2050, *Journal of Cleaner*  
1070 *Production* 247, 119146
- 1071 [78] Schandl, H., Hatfield-Dodds, S., Wiedmann, T., Geschke, A., Cai, Y., West, J., Newth, D.,  
1072 Baynes, T., Lenzen, M., Owen, A., 2016, Decoupling Global Environmental Pressure and  
1073 Economic Growth: Scenarios for Energy Use, Materials Use and Carbon Emissions.  
1074 *Journal of Cleaner Production* 132, 45-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.06.100>
- 1075 [79] Gunasekara, D, Ford, M, Heyhoe, E, Ahammad, H, Phipps, S, Harman, I, Finnigan, J and  
1076 Brede, Markus, 2008, Global Integrated Assessment Model: A New Analytical Tool for  
1077 Assessing Climate Change Risks and Policies. *Australian Commodities* 15, pp. 195-216.
- 1078 [80] Baynes, T., West, J., Schandl, H., McFallan, S., 2014, MEFISTO: material and energy flows  
1079 integrated with stocks. In: *Gordon Research Conference Industrial Ecology*. Lucca, Italy.

- 1080 [81] Lenzen, M., Moran, D., Kanemoto, K., Geschke, A., 2013, Building Eora: a global multi-  
1081 region input-output database at high country and sector resolution. *Econ. Syst. Res.* 25, pp.  
1082 20-49.
- 1083 [82] Alonso, E., Sherman, A.M., Wallington, T.J., Everson, M.P., Field, F.R., Roth, R.,  
1084 Kirchain, R.E., 2012, Evaluating rare earth element availability: a case with revolutionary  
1085 demand from clean technologies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, pp. 3406-3414
- 1086 [83] Wang X., Ge J., Li J., Han A., 2017b, Market impacts of environmental regulations on the  
1087 production of rare earths: a computable general equilibrium analysis for China, *Journal of*  
1088 *Cleaner Production* 154, pp. 614-620
- 1089 [84] Ge J., Lei Y., Zhao L., 2016, China's Rare Earths Supply Forecast in 2025: A Dynamic  
1090 Computable General Equilibrium Analysis, *Minerals* 6, 95
- 1091 [85] Cao, Z.; Liu, G.; Zhong, S.; Dai, H.; Pauliuk, S., 2019, Integrating Dynamic Material Flow  
1092 Analysis and Computable General Equilibrium Models for Both Mass and Monetary  
1093 Balances in Prospective Modeling: A Case for the Chinese Building Sector. *Environmental*  
1094 *Science & Technology* 53 (1), pp. 224-233. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03633>
- 1095 [86] Northey S., Mohr S., Mudd G.M., Weng Z., Giurco D., 2014, Modelling future copper ore  
1096 grade decline based on a detailed assessment of copper resources and mining, *Resources,*  
1097 *Conservation and Recycling* 83, pp. 190-201
- 1098 [87] Mohr, S H, 2010, Projection of World Fossil Fuel Production With Supply and Demand  
1099 Interactions. PhD Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Newcastle,  
1100 Newcastle, NSW, 783 p.
- 1101 [88] Mudd, G.M., Weng, Z., Memary, R., Northey, S. A., Giurco, D., Mohr, S., and Mason, L.,  
1102 2012, Future greenhouse gas emissions from copper mining: assessing clean energy  
1103 scenarios. Prepared for CSIRO Minerals Down Under Flagship by Monash University and  
1104 Institute for Sustainable Futures, UTS. ISBN 978-1-922173-48-5
- 1105 [89] Fishbone, L. G., Giesen, G., Goldstein, G., Hymmen, H. A., Stocks, K.J., Vos, H., 1983,  
1106 User's Guide for MARKAL (BNL-51701), Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New  
1107 York.
- 1108 [90] Loulou, R., Goldstein, G., Noble, K., 2004, Documentation for the MARKAL family  
1109 models. ETSAP, <http://www.etsap.org>
- 1110 [91] Loulou, R., Remme, U., Kanudia, A., Lehtila, A., Goldstein, G., 2016, Documentation for  
1111 the TIMES model. ETSAP, <http://www.etsap.org>

- 1112 [92] Loulou, R., Labriet, M., 2008, ETSAP-TIAM: the TIMES integrated assessment model Part  
1113 I: Model structure, Computational Management Science, February, Volume 5, Issue 1–2,  
1114 pp. 7–40.
- 1115 [93] Fulton L., Cazzola P., Cuenot F., 2009, IEA Mobility Model (MoMo) and its use in the  
1116 ETP 2008, Energy Policy 37, p3758-3768.
- 1117 [94] KanORS-EMR, 2018, TIAM-World description, [https://www.kanors-emr.org/models/tiam-](https://www.kanors-emr.org/models/tiam-world)  
1118 world
- 1119 [95] Nordhaus, W. D., Boyer J., 1999, Roll the DICE Again: Economic Models of Global  
1120 Warming. Yale University, manuscript edition
- 1121 [96] Kang S., Selosse S., Maizi N., 2018, Contribution of global GHG reduction pledges to  
1122 bioenergy expansion, Biomass and Bioenergy 111, p142-153.
- 1123 [97] Selosse S, Ricci O., 2014, Achieving negative emissions with BECCS in the power sector:  
1124 new insights from the TIAM-FR model. Energy 7, pp.967–75.
- 1125 [98] Van der Zwaan B., Kober T., Longa F. D., van der Laan A., Kramer G. J., 2018, An  
1126 integrated assessment of pathways for low-carbon development in Africa, Energy Policy  
1127 117, p387-395.
- 1128 [99] Anandarajah G, McDowall W, Ekins P., 2013, Decarbonizing road transport with hydrogen  
1129 and electricity: long term global technology learning scenarios. International Journal  
1130 Hydrogen Energy 38, pp.3419–32.
- 1131 [100] Mudd, G. M., Weng, Z., Jowitt, S. M., 2013, A detailed assessment of global Cu resource  
1132 trends and endowments. Economic geology 108, pp. 1163-1183.
- 1133 [101] Davenport W.G., King M., Schlesinger M. Biswas A.K., 2002, Extractive Metallurgy of  
1134 Copper, Ed. Pergamon
- 1135 [102] Boulamanti, A., Moya, J. A., 2016, Production costs of the non-ferrous metals in the EU  
1136 and other countries : Copper and zinc. Resources policy 49, pp. 112-118.
- 1137 [103] Batker, D., Schmidt, R., 2015, Environmental and social benchmarking analysis of Nautilus  
1138 Mineras Inc. Solwara 1 project. Earth economics.
- 1139 [104] Vidal O., 2018, Mineral Resources and Energy, ISBN 978-1-78548-267-0, 170p.
- 1140 [105] Vidal O., Goffé B., Arndt N., 2013, Metals for a low-carbon society, Nature Geoscience 6,  
1141 pp. 894–896
- 1142 [106] Burnham, A., 2012, Updated Vehicle Specifications in the GREET Vehicle-Cycle Model,  
1143 Technical publication. Argonne National Laboratory

- [107] Glöser, S., Soulier, M., Tercero Espinoza, L.A., 2013, Dynamics analysis of global copper flows. Global stocks, postconsumer material flows, recycling indicators, and uncertainty evaluation, *Environmental Science & Technology* 47, pp. 6564-6572.
- [108] Tercero Espinoza, L.A., Soulier, M., 2018, Defining regional recycling indicators for metals. *Resources, conservation & recycling* 129, pp. 120-128.
- [109] United Nations (UN), Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2019, Probabilistic Population Projections Rev. 1 based on the World Population Prospects 2019 Rev. 1: <http://population.un.org/wpp/>
- [110] International Energy Agency (IEA), 2019, World Energy Outlook (WEO)
- [111] Seck G. S., Krakowski V., Assoumou E., Maizi N., Mazauric V., 2020, Embedding power system's reliability within a long-term Energy System Optimization Model: Linking high renewable energy integration and future grid stability for France by 2050, *Applied Energy* 257, 114037
- [112] International Energy Agency (IEA), 2019, Global EV Outlook (GEVO) 2019: Scaling-up the transition to electric mobility.
- [113] USGS, 2014, Estimate of Undiscovered Copper Resources of the World in 2013.
- [114] International Energy Agency, 2018, World Energy Outlook 2018.
- [115] International Renewable Energy Agency, 2018, Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2017.

1172 6. Supplementary materials

## 1173 **Appendix A: International trade in copper**

1174 The 16 regions defined in the TIAM-IFPEN model

1175 **Table 10 : Regions of the TIAM-IFPEN model**

<b>TIAM name</b>	<b>Region</b>
<b>AFR</b>	Africa
<b>AUS</b>	Australia and New Zealand
<b>CAN</b>	Canada
<b>CHI</b>	China
<b>CSA</b>	Central & South America (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Netherlands Antilles, Nicaragua, Other Latin America, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Trinidad-Tobago, Uruguay, Venezuela)
<b>IND</b>	India
<b>JAP</b>	Japan
<b>MEA</b>	Middle-East (Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Yemen)
<b>MEX</b>	Mexico
<b>ODA</b>	Other Developing Asia (Bangladesh, Brunei, Chinese Taipei, Indonesia, North Korea, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Oceania, Other Asia, Pakistan, Philippines, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Vietnam)
<b>SKO</b>	South Korea
<b>USA</b>	United States of America
<b>EUR</b>	Western Europe (Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Gibraltar, Greece, Greenland, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK)
<b>RUS</b>	Russia
<b>CAC</b>	Central Asia and The Caucasus (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan)
<b>OEE</b>	Other Eastern Europe (Albania, Belarus, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia, Ukraine, Moldova)

1176


1177 The evolution of the raw copper trade between 2005 and 2015 is depicted in Fig. 21. The

1178 increasing importance of China as a raw copper importer is clearly pinpointed while imports have

1179 decreased in other parts of the world. This illustrates China's strategy of specializing in copper

refining, as further supported by the evolution of the trade structure of refined copper discussed below. The exporting region CSA-Central and South America (Chile and Peru) dominates raw copper exports, reflecting its large reserves.

**Fig. 21: Distribution of raw copper imports and exports (in % of global monetary value) for the years 2005 and 2015**




Source: Resourcetrade.earth

The annual production of refined copper disaggregated by region over the 1990-2015 period is represented in Fig. 22. Consistent with its growing imports of raw copper, China has become the leader in refined copper production. This performance stands out, given that production of refined copper in other regions has stayed rather stable, suggesting that China has acquired leadership on the refined copper market while facing low competition from countries such as Japan, Russia and the USA.

1192

**Fig. 22: Production of refined copper (Mt/yr)**



1193

Source: USGS

1194

CSA copper production increased until 1999 before stabilizing. Further insights into the Chinese

1195

strategy can be deduced from the refined copper trade structure and its evolution, represented in

1196

Fig. 23. It seems that China has increased its refined copper production in order to feed its

1197

domestic production of copper-containing products. China is the leader in the production of

1198


refined copper, and also the second-largest importer of the material, accounting for around 30%

1199

of global copper monetary import value in 2015.



**Fig. 23: Distribution of refined copper imports and exports (in % of global monetary value) for the years 2005 and 2015**



Source: UN Comtrade

Comparing countries' shares in refined copper exports, we conclude that they are not dominated by the countries with the higher copper reserves. As such, the implementation of the copper trade module would give new geopolitical insight into the regions' future strategies in response to environmental and economic constraints.

## 1208 Appendix B: Regionalized mining CAPEX and OPEX

1209 Table 11: CAPEX and OPEX retrieved from companies' reports around the world

Project	Firm(s)	Deposit type	Country	Ore copper grade (%)	Average annual output (kt Cu)	Total capacity (Mt Cu)	Operating costs (US\$/ton Cu)	CAPEX (US\$/ton Cu)
Chuquimata underground mine	Codelco	Porphyry	Chile	0.7	363.8	12.5	2 905.7	343.1
El Teniente New mine project level	Codelco	Porphyry	Chile	0.9	434	17.4	2 905.7	195.7
Cerro Verde mine expansion	Freeport	Porphyry	Peru		272.2	8.2	2 777.8	563.4
Development of Oyu Tolgoi underground mine	Rio Tinto	Porphyry	Mongolia	1.7	560	8.3	2 093.6	639.8
El Arco mine expansion	Southern Copper Corp	Porphyry	Mexico	0.4	190	6.2	2 094.4	471.5
Galore Creek Project	Novagold & Teck	Porphyry	Canada	0.6	146.1	3.1	3 306.9	1 669.2
Wafi-Golpu mines	Harmony Gold & Newcrest	Porphyry	Papua New Guinea	1.3	161	4.5	1 785.7	1 190.7
Kakula	Ivanhoe Mines & Zijin mining group co & Government of Democratic Republic of Congo	Sediment hosted stratabound	Democratic Republic of Congo	5.5	215	5.2	2 711.7	299.6
Kamoa	Ivanhoe Mines & Zijin mining group co & Government of Democratic Republic of Congo	Sediment hosted stratabound	Democratic Republic of Congo	3.8	178	4.8	3 329.0	563.1
Carta Atalaya (project to reopen the old mine)	Rio Tinto	Porphyry	Spain	0.5	32.8	0.6	2 689.6	453.8
Neves Corvo Copper	Somincor (subsidiary of Lunding Mining)	Porphyry	Portugal	1.4	49.5	0.9	3 417.2	335.1
Cukaru Peki (scenario)	Nevsun Company	Porphyry	Serbia	5.7	71.4	0.9	1 543.2	480.1

DSO+Main mine)	LTD.							
Cukaru Peki (scenario DSO+extended mine)	Nevsun Company LTD.	Porphyry	Serbia	3.7	56.6	1.1	2 226.7	428.9
Xietongmen Copper deposit	Continental Minerals Corp of Canada	Porphyry	China	0.5	58.6	0.8	2 035.3	569.5
Jiama copper mine expansion project (phase 2; addition of a new flotation plant, expansion of the underground mine, development of two open pit mines)	China gold international resources	Porphyry	China	0.6	67	2.4	2 566.1	297.9
Tampakan Mine Project	Sagittarius Mines, Indophil and Xstrata	Porphyry	Philippines	0.6	62.2	1.4	888.9	1 600.0

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221


1222

1223

# Appendix C: Overview of the road transport and power sectors

The road transport sector has been disaggregated between passenger light-duty vehicles (PLDV) (small, medium and large size), buses, minibuses, commercial vehicles (CV) (light, heavy and medium trucks) and 2/3-wheelers (Fig. 24). Existing and future vehicles have been accounted for with their techno-economical parameters. For all technologies across the entire study period 2005-2050, we have taken into account efficiency (fuel consumption over short and long distances), average annual vehicle mileage, lifespan cost (purchase cost, O&M fixed and variable costs), etc. All these attributes have been derived from IEA transport data and the BEAVeR<sup>24</sup> model developed by IFP Énergies Nouvelles (IFPEN).


**Fig. 24: Overview of road transport technologies in the TIAM-IFPEN model**




<sup>24</sup> The BEAVeR (Economic and Life-Cycle Assessment of road vehicles) model is a TCO model for calculation and comparison of ownership and usage costs for various road vehicles, including private vehicles, utility vehicles, buses and heavy trucks.

The different mobility demands have been derived from the IEA MoMo model with a disaggregation by size and in short and long distance to take into account short distance (urban mobility) and long distance (extra-urban mobility) with the roll-out of the electric vehicles.

**Fig. 25 : Evolution of the two different shape of mobility (BAU and Sustainable) according to the travel mode (Urban and Extra-Urban)**






Source: IEA Mobility model 2019

In the power sector (Fig. 26) , a wide range of fossil and renewable sources have been considered, with the characterization of existing and future technologies (additionally categorized as centralized or decentralized related to their size) in detail (cost and technical parameters). or decentralized related to their size) in detail (cost and technical parameters).

**Fig. 26: Overview of the power sector in the TIAM-IFPEN model**



Source: [92]

The following power generation technologies are covered by the model: renewable energy technologies (RETs) (solar PV and CSP, onshore and offshore wind, hydro, biomass), fossil technologies (coal, natural gas, oil), and nuclear (For more details of their techno-economic assumptions, see [17]). The inventories of existing and future generation technologies were taken from the World Energy Outlook 2018 [114], IRENA [115] and the European Commission database. Electricity grids are not explicitly modelled and electricity is not traded between regions. This is probably not a major limitation as most electricity trade will be intra-region (between countries). However, it is important to consider this in further development of the model for some regions (e.g., the United States of America and Canada).