

Mechanisms of aromatization of dilute ethylene on HZSM-5 and on Zn/HZSM-5 catalysts

Aurélien Bonnin, Jean-Dominique Comparot, Yannick Pouilloux, Vincent

Coupard, Denis Uzio, Ludovic Pinard

▶ To cite this version:

Aurélien Bonnin, Jean-Dominique Comparot, Yannick Pouilloux, Vincent Coupard, Denis Uzio, et al.. Mechanisms of aromatization of dilute ethylene on HZSM-5 and on Zn/HZSM-5 catalysts. Applied Catalysis A : General, 2021, 611, pp.117974. 10.1016/j.apcata.2020.117974 . hal-03159920

HAL Id: hal-03159920 https://ifp.hal.science/hal-03159920

Submitted on 3 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Mechanisms of aromatization of dilute ethylene on HZSM-5 and on
2	Zn/HZSM-5 catalysts
3	Aurélien Bonnin ¹ , Jean-Dominique Comparot ¹ , Yannick Pouilloux ¹ , Vincent Coupard ² ,
4	Denis Uzio ² , Ludovic Pinard ^{*1}
5	¹ Institut de Chimie des Milieux et Matériaux de Poitiers (ICM2P), UMR 7285 CNRS, 4 Rue
6	Michel Brunet, Bâtiment B27, 86073 Poitiers Cedex – France.
7	² IFP Energies nouvelles, Etablissement de Lyon, Rond-point de l'échangeur de Solaize - BP
8	3, 69360 Solaize – France
9	*Corresponding author ludovic.pinard@univ-poitiers.fr
10	Abstract
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Catalysts with a Zn/H^+ molar ratio ranging from 0 (H-ZSM5) to 1.8 (Zn/H-ZSM5), were prepared by wet impregnation from three commercial zeolites with Si/Al ratio of 15, 40 and 75. The texture, acidity and reactivity of the bifunctional catalysts were characterized by: N ₂ , IR followed by adsorption of pyridine and NH ₃ -TPD, and tested at 500 °C with low partial pressure of ethylene (P _{C2H4} = 0.005 MPa) and a high GHSV (ca 13300 h ⁻¹). The intrinsic activity of protonic acid sites in ethylene transformation depends on their density or rather on the concentration of next nearest neighbours pairs of Al atoms. Brønsted acid pair sites converts ethylene into aromatics while isolated protonic site are almost inactive. Two apparent primary products were distinguished: iso-butene and propene, resulting from the oligomerization-cracking catalytic pool, followed at high conversion by the concomitant formation of alkanes and aromatics through hydrogen transfer. The deposition of Zn was achieved by wet impregnation of the nitrate precursor. The thermal decomposition of the ZnOH ⁺ cation by calcination is a function of the fraction of Al atoms in the nearest neighbours. Three main species of Zn could be identified: i) oxo- binuclear Zn ²⁺ cations (O ⁻ -Zn ²⁺ -O ⁻ Zn ²⁺ -O ⁻), ii) mononuclear Zn ²⁺ cations (O ⁻ -Zn ²⁺ -O ⁻ and iii) ZnO particles located on the external surface. These species were quantified from the residual Brønsted acid sites probed by pyridine. A correlation has been established: one neutralized protonic site generates one Zn Lewis acid sites which coordinates two pyridine molecules. The ethylene conversion is proportional to the concentration of oxo-binuclear Zn cations and mononuclear Zn cations only correlate with hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis of olefins, while bulk ZnO is inactive. A metallacyclic mechanism of ethylene aromatization involving cyclo-butyl-zinc species has been proposed accordingly.
34	Key words: Zn/H-ZSM-5, dilute ethylene, reaction mechanisms, aromatization, active sites.
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	

40

41 Introduction

42 Pervasive in our daily lives as plastics, packaging, clothing, digital devices, medical 43 equipment, tyres etc., petrochemicals provide substantial benefits to society, including a 44 growing number of applications in various cutting-edge, clean technologies critical for 45 sustainable energy economy. They are set to account for more than a third of the growth in oil 46 demand to 2030, and nearly half in 2050. [1] Meanwhile, oil demand for the production of 47 transportation fuel is expected to decrease due to the combined effects of improved fuel economy, alternatives fuels and electrification. As a result, the landscape for the 48 49 petrochemical, oil and gas industries is shifting toward "High-Value Chemicals" (HVCs) such 50 as light olefins (ethylene and propylene) and aromatics (benzene, toluene and mixed xylenes, 51 (BTX) which are the building blocks for many value-added chains.

52 Some "by-products" from oil refining are now considered more like "co-products" or 53 even "primary products". For instance, the FCC dry gas containing ethylene (5 to 50% by 54 weight) and ethane is currently used as refinery fuel. [2] Since 50,000 barrels per day for a 55 FCC unit burn approximately 200 tons of dry gas per day, 10-100 tons of ethylene are burned 56 each day. A diligent option would be to transform ethylene into value-added products such as 57 propylene or aromatics. Over the past decade, several companies developed technologies for the oligomerization and aromatization of concentrated ethylene from dry gas: $3 C_2 H_4 \rightarrow C_6 H_6$ 58 59 + 3H₂. The Beijing Huiersanji Green Chem DTL process licensed by Invista Performance 60 Technologies is the most mature, with 12 operating units in China in 2018. [3] Wood and 61 Siluria Technologies launched Modus [™], the first treatment technology to convert FCC gases 62 into refinery products, and UOP LLC developed a process that converts more than 40% of 63 ethylene into fuels in a single pass.

64 Zn-loaded H-ZSM-5 is a promising catalyst for the aromatization of ethylene due to
65 the specific dehydrogenation/hydrogenation performances of the metal cation [4-6] and the

high selectivity towards aromatics related to the size and shape of the zeolite micropores. However, the role of Zn metallic site is still unclear. The oxidation states of Zn species are limited to 0, +1 and +2. Considering that the total reduction of $(ZnO)_n$ is hardly achieved even by hydrogen treatment at 600 °C [7] and Zn (+1) has never been observed in zeolites, the formal oxidation state of Zn in zeolite is expected to be +2.

71 Ion exchange and wetness impregnation are typical preparation methods. The hexaaquo Zn 72 complex ion acts as an acid by giving a hydrogen ion to water molecule in the aqueous solution $([Zn(H_20)_6]^{2+} + H_20 \rightleftharpoons [ZnOH(H_20)_5]^{+} + H_3O^{+})$. The monovalent cation can be 73 74 exchanged with all the zeolite protons. Water from the aqua complex is stepwise removed 75 during calcination resuling in the formation of $[ZnOH]O_z$ species (species I) [8], which was 76 described as the active site for the formation of aromatics from ethylene [9], *n*-pentane [10], 77 and methanol [11-13]. Bernt et al. identified this species using a temperature-programmed 78 surface reaction with CO (TPSR / CO). [14] Since the CO reaction on protonic ZSM-5 79 (Si/Al=23) zeolite gives equimolar amounts of CO₂ and H₂, the authors proposed the 80 following reaction equation:



CO inserts in the OH group of Zn species, giving a formate species that reacts with a
neighbouring H⁺ and decomposes into CO₂ and H₂. Yet, Biscardi et al. showed by X-ray
adsorption experiments that the [ZnOH]O_z species was not thermally stable. [15] Their
decomposition paths depends on the Al atom fraction in the next nearest neighbours (NNN)
position (Scheme 1).



88 Scheme 1: Different possible species of Zn cations on zeolite H-ZSM-5 after ion exchange
89 and wet impregnation followed by calcination.

87

Rice et al. [16] calculated by stochastic simulations the probability in ZSM-5 zeolite of NNN 90 pairs of Al atoms able to stabilize a divalent cation $(M^{2+}, Species II)$ and form an oxo-91 binuclear cation, i.e. two M^{2+} bridged by an oxygen atom (-M-O-M-)²⁺ (Species III). The 92 assessment of the maximum probability to form bridged M^{2+} cations is 0.12 for Si/Al =12 and 93 94 decreases to 0.07 with half of the framework aluminium (Si/Al =24) (Figure SI.1). Relative 95 to the binuclear oxo metal cation, the probabilities are higher: 0.30 and 0.19 for Si/Al =12 and 96 24, respectively. The distribution of the Zn cation species therefore depends on the zeolite 97 Si/Al ratio (Figure SI.1). It is worth mentioning that the divalent cation can also be stabilized 98 by being linked by an Al centred tetrahedron and an internal silanol group in its vicinity 99 (Species IV). [17]

100 In the case of the catalyst prepared through ion exchange or wetness impregnation, the 101 exchange is commonly 60%. [18] Thus, the isolated cationic (ZnOH)⁺ species cannot be 102 stabilized during calcination and decomposes into ZnO nanoclusters (**Species VI**) 103 regenerating the initial Brønsted acid site.

104 Complete substitution of framework aluminium is only possible through the chemical 105 deposition method [17,19]. This preparation method also allows an unusual location of Zn^{2+} 106 cations [18,20], which is stabilized at an ionic site of the zeolite with a distantly located 107 second charge-compensating (AlO₂⁻) framework unit (**Species V, Scheme 2**).



108

Scheme 2: Zn cation species (Species V) with alternating positive and negative electric
 charges.

Hence, Zn/H-ZSM-5 catalyst prepared using conventional techniques leads to
(ZnOH)⁺ which decomposes into a bridging Zn²⁺ cation by two framework aluminium
(Species II), or by a framework aluminium (FAL) and an internal silanol (Species IV), a
binuclear oxo Zn cation (Species III), and nanoclusters of zinc oxide (Species IV).

115 UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy can distinguish subnanometric ZnO clusters 116 within the zeolite micropores (275 nm, [21-22]) from large (ZnO)_n particles on the external 117 surface (375 nm, [23-24] Species VII). Temperature programmed reduction allows the detection of zinc oxide, since its reduction starts around 580 °C. In contrast, Zn²⁺ is stable 118 119 even at 880 °C. [15] Large (ZnO)_n crystals can be considered as spectator species, due to their 120 inactivity in the aromatization of ethane [25], propane [15] and CO activation [14]. 121 Nanocrystals (ZnO)_n catalyse dehydrogenation reaction but impede the diffusion of products, 122 thus deteriorating the stability of the catalyst. [11]

123 Zn cation species are involved in the dehydrogenation step but mainly catalyze the 124 recombination-desorption of H atoms into H₂, which is considered to be the rate limiting step 125 in alkane aromatization. [26-28] The mechanism here is bifunctional because the Brønsted 126 acid sites allows the di/trimerization of the olefins, which are then cyclized: $2C_3H_8$

 $\stackrel{Zn}{\rightarrow} 2 C_3 H_6 + 2H_2 \stackrel{H^+}{\rightarrow} C_6 H_{12} \stackrel{Zn}{\rightarrow} C_6 H_6 + 3H_2$. This bifunctional mechanism of olefins to 127 128 aromatics is commonly accepted by the scientific community. [29] However, Lobo et al 129 suggested that Zn in the form of Lewis acid sites can lead to the oligomerizion of ethylene. [25] Indeed, Stepanov et al. [30-31] have shown by ¹³C MAS NMR and FTIR spectroscopic 130 131 studies that Zn cation species on ZSM-5 zeolite oligomerize propylene. The stages of the 132 proposed mechanism follow a Cosse-Arlman mechanism and can be summarized as follows (Scheme 3): i) propylene adsorption on a Zn^{2+} site ii) dissociation of propylene resulting in a σ 133 134 allylzinc species and a Si-O(H)-Al group, iii) adsorption of a second propylene molecule on the Zn sites forming a new π -complex, iv) recombination of the π -complex and of the 135 136 allylzinc species, and v) desorption of C_6H_{12} and regeneration of active site.



137

138 Scheme 3: Mechanism of propylene oligomerization on Zn²⁺/ H-ZSM-5 cation, adapted from
139 [30].

140

Hence, the possibility that oligomerization of olefins may occur on Zn cation species raises the question of the bifunctional mechanism of ethylene aromatization on Zn/ZSM-5 zeolite. The goal of this study is to identify the mechanisms and the active sites of the ethylene aromatization over H-ZSM-5 and Zn/H- ZSM-5 catalysts. We will demonstrate that

145 the intrinsic activity of the protonic acid sites depends on the concentration of the nest nearest 146 neighbours Al atom pairs and that on the bifunctional catalyst, ethylene aromatization occurs by monofunctional catalysis via a metallacyclic mechanism on oxo-binuclear Zn^{2+} cations. 147 148 For this purpose, more than 15 catalysts with a molar balance between the two functions 149 (Zn/Al) ranging from 0 (H-ZSM5) to 1.8 (Zn/H-ZSM5), were prepared, characterised by 150 different probe molecules (N₂, pyridine and NH₃), and tested with dilute ethylene at 500 °C. 151 This paper focuses only on the initial conversion and does not take into account the 152 deactivation that occurs during the ethylene aromatization, this point is discussed in another 153 publication.

154

Experimental

Materials: Three series of Zn-containing catalysts were prepared from three commercial
zeolites (Zeolyst) with global Si/Al molar ratios of 15 (CBV 3042E), 40 (CBV 8014) and 75
(CBV 1502) zeolites. The CBV 3042E and CBV 8014 under ammonium form were calcined
in air at 500 °C. Another commercial protonic zeolite with a Si/Al molar ratio of 25 (CBV
5020) was also used.

160 Zn-containing zeolites were prepared by wet impregnation by stirring protonic zeolite 161 with an aqueous solution of $Zn(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$ at 60 °C for 30 minutes, in the proportion of 1 g 162 of zeolite per 10 mL of solution. Then, the samples were dried at 120 °C for 12 h and calcined 163 at 500 °C under air (60 mL min⁻¹) for 12 h.

164 $0.8Zn40^{IE}$ was prepared by ion exchange by stirring HZ40 under ammonium form in an 165 aqueous solution of $Zn(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$ (m/V= 0.02 g l⁻¹) at 80 °C for 2h. In contrats to wet 166 impregnation, the sample is rinsed with water. Ion exchange was carried out successively 167 three times before drying and calcination. $0.8Zn40^{DI}$ was prepared by dry impregnation, with 168 a volume of the metal solution equivalent to the micropore volume of the zeolite i.e. 0.18 cm^3 169 g⁻¹_{zeolite}. All zeolites under protonic form and bifunctional catalysts are calcined at 500 °C
170 before catalytic test.

171 Characterizations: The elemental composition of the bifunctional catalysts (Si, Al,
172 Zn) was determined using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP173 AES) on an Optima 2000 DV (Perkin-Elmer). The samples (30-40 mg) were mineralized by
174 three successive acid attacks by HNO₃ (69 vol. %), HCl (37 vol. %) and HF (40 vol. %) in the

proportion of 4/2/2 mL, respectively. Mineralization was carried out in sealed vessels at 180
°C under an autogenous pressure of 2.0 MPa for 45 min using a microwave oven (Anton-Parr
Multiwave Pro). Finally, 12 mL of a saturated solution of H₃BO₄ was added to the sample.

Samples were characterized by transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) using a
JEOL 2100 microscope equipped with a LaB₆ filament operating at 200 kV. The samples
were first prepared by ultrasonic dispersion in ethanol and then dropped onto a copper grid,
previously covered with a carbon film.

Nitrogen adsorption and desorption measurements were carried out at -196 °C on a Micromeritics ASAP 2420 apparatus. Before analysis, the samples were pre-treated at 350 °C under vacuum for 15h. The micropore volumes (V_{micro}) were calculated according to the *t*-plot method and the total pore volume was calculated at p/p₀ = 0.98.

²⁷Al magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (²⁷Al MAS NMR) spectra were
recorded at 104.28 MHz on a Bruker advance II 400 MHz spectrometer using a spinning rate
of 12 kHz, a pulse length of 0.42 μs and a recycle time of 0.5 s.

The nature, concentration of acidity were studied using adsorption of pyridine followed by infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) with a Nicolet 5700 apparatus with 2 cm⁻¹ optical resolution. Prior to analysis, the catalyst was pressed (0.5 ton) into a self-supporting wafer (2 cm²) and pretreated from 20 °C to 500 °C under nitrogen flow (100 cm³min⁻¹). The sample was then degassed (10⁻⁵ bar) during 1h at 200 °C, after which an IR spectrum was taken. The

8

194 sample was then cooled to 150 °C and exposed to a pyridine pressure of 1.5 mbar for 5 min and then degassed (10^{-5} bar) to remove the physisorbed pyridine. The Beer-Lambert-Bouguer 195 law was applied in the form $[PyH^+]or [PyL] = \left(\frac{s}{m}\right)\frac{A}{s}$ where $[PyH^+]and [PyL]$ is the 196 concentration of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites (µmol g⁻¹), A denotes the integrated 197 absorbance of the corresponding IR bands (1545 and 1455 cm⁻¹), which is normalized to 198 199 zeolite wafer density (ratio of the wafer weight (mg) to wafer surface (cm²), and ε is the integrated molar adsorption coefficient: $\varepsilon_{1545} = 1.13$ and $\varepsilon_{1454} = 1.28$ cm mol⁻¹. [32] The 200 201 calibration curves were obtained on a MFI (Si/Al=27) and a γ -Al₂O₃ by adding to the IR cell 202 a known amount of pyridine vapour from gas inlet compartment (0.9122 cm³) (Figure. SI.2). All the reported FTIR spectra are normalized to a wafer density (mg cm $^{-2}$). 203

The temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia (NH₃-TPD) profiles were measured using a flow-through micro-reactor system connected to a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The samples (0.4 g) were activated under helium at 500 °C for 1 hour then cooled to 100 °C, prior to adsorption of ammonia (5 vol. % in He) for 45 minutes. The physisorbed ammonia was removed by purging with helium at 100 °C for 3 hours. Ammonia desorption was achieved in the temperature range of 100 to 550°C with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ under He.

211 **Catalytic test**: A typical catalytic test for the ethylene conversion (Air Liquid, > 99%) 212 was carried out at atmospheric pressure in a fixed-bed continuous flow quartz reactor at 500 213 °C with a N₂/reactant molar ratio of 19, which correspond to an ethylene partial pressure of 214 0.005 MPa. Under standard conditions, the weight gas hourly space velocity WHSV (g of injected C₂H₄ per hour and per g of catalyst) was 2 h⁻¹, and the gas hourly space velocity was 215 13 300 h^{-1} which corresponds to a short contact time of 7.5 x 10⁻⁵ h. For the kinetic study, the 216 217 GHSV varied from 13 300 to 213 400 h⁻¹. Prior to testing, catalysts were compacted, crushed 218 and sieved to obtain homogeneous particles (0.2-0.4 mm). Samples were pre-treated at 500 °C

under nitrogen flow (100 mL min⁻¹) for 1 h. The gaseous products and hydrogen were 219 220 analysed on-line by a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph equipped with a FID detector 221 connected to a fused silica J&W GS-Gaspro capillary column (60 m x 0.32 mm x 4 µm) and 222 a TCD connected to a J&W PoraPLOT O-HT capillary column (25 m x 0.53 mm x 20 µm).

223

The catalytic results in this study relate only to the conversions and yields measured 224 after 10 minutes of reaction.

225

Results and discussion

226 1. Textural and acidic properties of the four commercial H-ZSM-5 zeolites.

227 The protonic zeolites are named HZ followed by their Si/Al molar ratio. The textural 228 properties of commercial zeolites are given in the supporting information (Table SI.1). Microporous volumes between 0.15-0.18 $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ were inferred for these samples, which is a 229 typical value for ZSM-5 zeolites. [33] In addition, mesopore volumes between 0.11-0.14 cm³ 230 g^{-1} were deduced. Mesopores on HZ15 result from the aggregation of the small crystals as 231 232 indicated by the strong nitrogen uptake at high relative pressure (Figure. SI.3 and Figure 233 SI.4), while on HZ40 and HZ75 the mesopore volume is rather intraparticular (Figure SI.4) 234 as shown by the presence of a hysteresis loop of type IV (Figure SI.3). The intraparticular 235 mesopores are probably generated during the steaming process applied during the preparation 236 of the sample by the supplier.

237 Figure 1a compares the infrared spectra in the OH stretching region of the three zeolites. HZ75 has two IR bands: an intense band at 3610 cm⁻¹ (\pm 2) attributed to the bridged hydroxyl 238 groups (Si-O(H)-Al) and an asymmetric band at 3740 cm⁻¹ ascribed to the terminal silanol 239 groups on the external surface. On HZ40, the shoulder at 3726 cm⁻¹ corresponds to Si-OH 240 241 located on the intraparticular defects and is correlated with the broad band centred at 3470 cm⁻ 242 ¹, which is assigned to the hydroxyl nests. These internal defects are microcavities saturated with neighbouring OH groups close enough to interact through hydrogen bonds. [34] ²⁷Al 243

244 MAS NMR on HZ40, confirms that almost all of the Al (\geq 95%) are of tetrahedral 245 coordinated and thus within the zeolite framework (**Figure SI.5**).

HZ25 and HZ15 exhibit an intense band at 3665 cm⁻¹ which corresponds to OH linked to 246 247 extraframework aluminium species (e.g. AlOH⁺). Chemisorption of pyridine at 150 °C leads 248 to a total disappearance of the bridged hydroxyl groups on the four zeolites, while the 249 intensity of the silanol bands remains unchanged. The concentrations of the Brønsted acid 250 sites able to retain pyridine at this temperature on H-ZSM-5 zeolites with Si/Al of 15, 25, 40, and 75 are of 515, 307, 286, and 148 µmol g⁻¹, respectively (**Table 1**). The number of 251 252 bridging acid sites in typical commercial MFI zeolites is significantly lower with respect to their Al content (i.e. 1041, 640, 386 and 219 µmol g⁻¹, respectively). However, the 253 254 quantification of the BAS is in agreement with these of White et al. obtained from ¹H MAS 255 NMR spin counting (4.2 ppm peak). [35] For instance, on Si/Al = 15 they found a concentration of BAS of 560 \pm 18 µmol g⁻¹, which is about the same as that measured by 256 pyridine adsorption followed by FTIR, i.e. 515 µmol g⁻¹. This means that 60-70% of the 257 258 theoretical protons exists as BAS, with the other distributed among the other distorted 259 framework sites or other nonframework sites. [35] Gabrienko et al. also found that on the commercial zeolite with Si/Al of 40 (CBV-8014), the concentration of BAS measured by ¹H 260 MAS NMR is $312 \pm 28 \mu mol g^{-1}$, which is close to the concentration measured in this study, 261 i.e. 286 μ mol g⁻¹. [36] 262

In addition, on HZ15 and HZ25 zeolites, one part of EFAL species chemisorbs pyridine (Figure SI.6) resulting in a slight increase in the concentration of Lewis acid sites (ca 15 μ mol g⁻¹). The presence of the iminium ion, indicated by the band centred at 1462 cm⁻¹ [37] (Figure 1b), suggests a close proximity of the bridged OH groups with the EFAL species (Figure SI.7).

268

269

270

2. Textural and acidic properties of the three series of bifunctional catalyst: 15, 40 and 75.

Zn-based catalysts are referred to as xZny where x is the zinc content and y the Si/Al
molar ratio. Three series of bifunctional catalysts were prepared by wetness impregnation
from HZ15, HZ40 and HZ75 zeolites with a Zn loading ranging from 0.3 to 8.1 wt. % (Table
I). Zn loading on HZ40 was also prepared by ion exchange and dry impregnation: 0.8Zn40^{IE}
and 0.8Zn40^{DI}

276 2.1 Zn40 and 3.6 Zn40 exibit similar characteristic of MFI structure, as shown in Fig.
277 SI.7, suggesting that the introduction of Zn species by wet impregnation has no impact in the
278 zeolite framework. Only very weak diffraction peaks of ZnO at 36.3° and 31.8° are observed.

Figure 2 shows the micropore volume (V_{micro}) as a function of the Zn content. For the HZ15 and HZ75 catalyst series, the micropore volume is only slightly affected by the high Zn loading, probably due to the deposition of a small amount of subnanometric (ZnO)_n particles (**Species IV**) in the micropores. On the other hand, for the series HZ40, V_{micro} decreases with increasing Zn content, suggesting that on this zeolite, which has internal defects, the Zn oxide species may be located in the microcavities formed by the hydroxyl nests.

The TPR study on 2.1Zn40 shows no reduction. Indeed, Biscardi and al have shown that Zn²⁺ cations in ion-exchanged 1.07Z14.5 do no reduce even at 877 °C and ZnO crystals begin to reduce to Zn metal and sublime around 480 °C in flowing hydrogen. [15] Moreover, the absence of metal Zn is confirmed by the fact that after 5 hours of reaction, the Zn content remains stable on all catalysts. It should be noted that a pretreatment of 2.1Zn40 under H₂ at 500 °C has no impact on activity and selectivity, suggesting that the oxidation state of Zn is +2. (**Table SI.1**)

The intensities of the bridged OH-band of the three catalysts series (**Figure 3**) decrease partially, even with a Zn content in solution two to three times higher than their exchange 294 capacity, which is calculated assuming a Zn/Al molar ratio of 0.5 (1/2), i.e. 0.7, 1.4 and 3.4 295 wt. % on HZ75, HZ40 and HZ15, respectively (Table 1). Therefore, only a limited fraction of 296 the proton can be substituted by Zn^{2+} cations. Most theoretical research has shown that the 297 exchange of the cationic position corresponds to two aluminium atoms in the same four or six-membered ring in which Zn^{2+} coordinates four zeolite oxygens. [38-40] Yet the fraction of 298 299 aluminum at the sites in the next-nearest position is rather limited. For the high-silica zeolite 300 (HZ75), the consumption of bridged OH groups is limited due to the low probability of 301 finding two Al ions in the same ring. [16] It is worth mentioning that complete substitution of 302 proton by zinc ions even with a high-silica zeolite, can be achieved by chemical reaction with 303 zinc vapour. [18,20]

304 Regardless of the Zn loading mode (wet and dry impregnation, and ion exchange), the IR 305 spectra of OH region are similar. (Figure SI.8). For all three zeolites, the consumption of Si-O(H)-Al groups is concomitant with the increase of the band at 3665 cm⁻¹. This latter is not 306 307 related to EFAL species since the ²⁷Al NMR spectra of 1.8Zn40 and 5.6Zn40 show only one 308 peak centred at 50 ppm characteristic of framework aluminium (Figure SI.5). Some authors attribute the band at 3665 cm⁻¹ on the Zn based catalyst to the cationic species ZnOH⁺ 309 310 (Species I) [41], but this latter is not stable and undergoes dehydration during calcination 311 [15]. This band cannot be ascribed to hydroxylated zinc oxide, because the adsorption of hydrogen on $(ZnO)_n$ gives an intense band at 3483 cm⁻¹ [42]. The intensity of external silanols 312 313 remains almost unchanged with the Zn loading, in contrast to those located in silanol nests (3470 cm^{-1}) , which disappear completely. This suggests that Zn^{2+} cations are stabilized by 314 315 their interaction with hydroxyl in silanol nests (species IV), which is consistent with the 316 previously observed decrease in micropore volume (Figure 2). The incorporation of the metal 317 into the framework of HZ40 is confirmed by UV-vis adsorption spectroscopy (Figure SI.9), 318 which shows an adsorption band at about 265 nm. This was attributed by Zhang et al. to Zn in the zeolite framework [43] (**Species IV**). An adsorption band at about 370 nm which corresponds to the band gap width of macrocrystalline ZnO (**Species VII**) [8] appears when the Zn loading exceeds 1.4 wt.%. Incorporation of Zn into the MFI framework can be done by isomorphic substitution by healing silanol nests. [44-45] The formation of **species IV** is directly related to the presence of internal defects in the zeolite crystal, therefore the incorporation of Zn into the zeolite framework is only possible in the 40 series.

325

326 TEM images (Figure SI.10) clearly show that some $(ZnO)_n$ particles cover the external 327 surface of the HZ40 zeolite. On the 3.6Zn40 catalyst, their size (9.1 nm, Table 1) is twelve 328 times larger than the diameter of the larger void volume within the MFI framework, i.e. 0.70 329 nm in the channel intersections. [32] It appears that Zn cations, which do not exchange with 330 zeolite protons, precipitates as (ZnO)_n species and grow on the outer surface during the 331 solvent evaporation step. Their size therefore depends in part on the exchange capacity of the 332 zeolite. The (ZnO)_n particles in 4.1Zn15 and 2.7Zn75 (Table 1) were measured 6.8 and 14.8 333 nm, respectively.

334 Figure 4 shows the NH₃-TPD profiles obtained for all catalyst series. It should be 335 noted that the shape and location of the peaks depend on the experimental conditions, i.e., 336 heating rate or gas flow rate, making this technique rather inadequate for quantifying acid 337 sites [46] However, under identical experimental conditions, the position of the peak provides 338 information on the relative acid strength and the width of the peak on the strength distribution. 339 The NH₃-TPD profiles of acidic ZSM-5 zeolite exhibit two distinct peaks at low (L.T.P.) and 340 high temperatures (H.T.P.), ca 215 °C \pm 15 and 460 °C \pm 25, respectively. The higher the 341 Si/Al ratio, the higher the desorption temperature and the higher the intensity ratio between 342 the high and low temperature peaks. The strength of the acid sites is higher on HZ15 due to 343 the presence of EFAL species. Regardless of the Si/Al ratio, the L.T.P intensities remain almost constant after Zn impregnation. On the other hand, H.T.P. decreases partially on
HZ75, even with a high Zn loading, which excludes pore-blocking by ZnO species. [12] On
HZ15 and HZ40 zeolites, the high temperature peaks disappear completely after addition of a
low Zn content. The disappearance of HTP is concomitant with the appearance at ca 280 °C
of shoulders to low temperature peak. Niu et al. attributed the shoulder peak to the medium
acid sites of Lewis type derived from the zinc species. [13]

350 Pyridine adsorbed on zeolites leads to characteristic bands at 1546 and 1454 cm⁻¹ 351 which reflect pyridine protonated at Brønsted acid (BAS) and ring stretching vibration of 352 pyridine coordinated to Lewis acid (LAS) sites, respectively. The intensity of these two bands 353 decreases and increases concomitantly with Zn impregnation (Table 1, Figure SI.11). This 354 indicates a neutralization of the protonic sites by the creation of Zn Lewis acid sites and that pyridine adsorbs in a similar way on Zn^{2+} as on Al^{3+} Lewis acid sites. [47] The concentration 355 356 of pyridinium ions neutralized increases initially with the addition of Zn and then very 357 slightly incorporation of additional metals (Figure 5a).

358 The disappearance of the bridging OH groups (Si-O(H)-Al) remains partial, 359 (comprised, between 40 to 70%), despite impregnation of a Zn content much higher than that 360 required for exchange capacity (Table 1, Figure 3). The decrease of the intensity of the 361 bridging OH band is proportional to the decrease of the concentration of Brønsted acid sites (Figure SI.12). Therefore, we can evaluate the integrated molar adsorption coefficient ε_{OH} for 362 363 IR band of the bridged Si-O(H)-Al through the ratio of the integrated intensity of this band to the concentration of BAS measured by pyridine. ε_{OH} for the band at 3610 cm⁻¹ is 2.7 cm 364 μ mol⁻¹, which is close to that determined by Gabrienko (3.06 cm μ mol⁻¹). [36] 365

The exchange capacity of zeolites with respect to a divalent cation depend not only on the concentration of aluminium in the zeolite framework, but also on their distribution, i.e. the fraction of Al in next nearest neighbours. In other words, during calcination, the NNN Al 369 exchange sites convert [ZnOH]O_z (Species I) to Lewis acid sites (Species II and/or III 370 and/or IV), while isolated Al exchange sites yield $(ZnO)_n$ (Species V and VII) which thus 371 regenerates the Brønsted acid sites. The concentration of the Zn-based Lewis acid sites 372 follows the same trend as the neutralization of the Brønsted acid sites (Figure 5b). The ratio 373 between the neutralized BAS and created LAS is two, regardless of the mode of Zn loading 374 (Figure 5c). Moreover, this is consistent with the results obtained on Zn-based catalysts 375 prepared by ion exchange (Figure 5c, [9]). Yet, it is inconsistent with the stoichiometry of 376 exchange between two protonic sites located in the same.0 4-5- and 6- membered rings and one Zn^{2+} cation (2/1). The formation of the **Species II** (O⁻-Zn²⁺-O⁻) should give a 377 $[LAS]_{created}$ / $[BAS]_{neutralized}$ ratio of 0.5. This excludes the presence of Zn^{2+} cation stabilized 378 by two vicinal lattice Al atoms. Assuming that the main species formed are oxo-binuclear 379 cations: $O^{-}Zn^{2+}-O^{-}Zn^{2+}+O^{-}$ (Species III) linked to NNN pairs of Al atoms, the ratio between 380 381 the neutralized BAS and created LAS is 2/2. The difference between the theoretical values 382 and measured values could simply be due to a problem of the quantification of the LAS. Indeed, the electronic configuration of the Zn^{2+} cation ([Ar] $3d^{10}$) allows the coordination of 383 384 two nitrogen-containing molecules (such as pyridine or ammonia). Berndt et al. concluded 385 that the exchange of zinc ions generates Lewis-acidic sites, adsorbing two ammonia 386 molecules. [48] The pyridine adsorption on Zn cation leads to the formation of bis(pyridine) 387 ZnII complex (Scheme 4).



388

389

Scheme 4: Bis(pyridine)ZnII complex.

390 The $[LAS]_{created}/[BAS]_{neutralized}$ ratio corresponds to the measured ratio of 2 (4/2). In all 391 the catalysts series, at least two main zinc species persist: oxo- binuclear cations (O⁻-Zn²⁺-O-

 $Zn^{2}+O^{-}$) and oxide. The Zn cation can be quantified by pyridine and the amount of $(ZnO)_{n}$ 392 393 from the metal balance. However, it should be noted that on series 40, a slightly higher 394 amount of Lewis acid sites were measured than would be expected in taking account the [LAS]_{created}/[BAS]_{neutralized} of 2 (Figure 5c). On HZ40, some Zn²⁺ cation can be stabilized 395 by internal silanols (Al-O- Zn^{2+} -O-Si,). The stoichiometry here is 1/1, i.e. the neutralization of 396 397 one Brønsted acid site yields one new Lewis acid site able to chemisorbed two pyridine 398 molecules (Species IV). The concentration of mononuclear Zn cation stabilized by internal 399 silanol is calculated from the difference between that of the oxo-binuclear cations drawn from 400 the neutralization of Brønsted acid sites and that of measured LAS. It should be noted that it is 401 more accurate to quantify the oxo-binuclear Zn cation (Species III) by the loss deficit of 402 Brønsted acid sites than by the creation of Lewis acid sites (Table 1). The latter may 403 underestimate their total amount due to (partially) hindered accessibility of the pyridine probe. 404 Indeed, a distortion appears for high Zn content (> 4 wt. % (Figure 5a and 5c).

405 The bar chart in Figure 6 shows, for all catalyst series, the evolution of the 406 distribution of the zinc species (III, IV and VI-VII) as a function of metal content. The 407 impregnation of Zn(NO₃)₂, followed by a calcination, begins with the formation of an oxo-408 binuclear Zn cation, and in presence of internal silanols mononuclear species also appear 409 (Species IV) appear. Their concentrations increase with the zinc content up to a plateau, 410 which is reached at the zeolite exchange capacity. The maximum content on species **III** is 2.8, 411 1.3 and 0.4 wt. % for series 15, 40 and 75, respectively, that for species IV is 0.17 wt.%. The 412 further addition of metal results in the deposition and accumulation of zinc oxide on the 413 zeolite external surface.

- 414

3. Catalytic performances

415 3.1. Catalytic performances of the acidic zeolites: HZ 15, 24, 40, and 75: 416 The transformation of ethylene is carried out at 500 °C under atmospheric pressure with a high GHSV (13 300 h⁻¹) and diluted ethylene (0.005 MPa). Figure 7a shows the performance 417 418 of the four protonic zeolites as a function of their concentration of Brønsted acid sites. On 419 HZ40 and HZ75, less than 2% of ethylene is converted, which is apparently in direct 420 contradiction with the results of many studies [Table SI.3, 9, 49-55]. Indeed, generally 421 accepted mechanism of ethylene oligomerization on H-zeolites involves the olefin molecules 422 protonation by BAS and further reactions through carbenium ions (conjuct polymerization, 423 protolytic cracking). The low activity can be ascribed to the severe operating conditions that 424 were employed. Indeed, the high temperature [5, 51], low olefin partial pressure [5, 51, 53-55] 425 and short contact times limit the oligomerization reaction. For instance, on ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 426 103) at 475 °C with dilute ethylene (0.013 MPa), the conversion is only to 2% [53], whereas 427 at 350 °C and P_{C2H4} of 0.1 MPa, over 83% conversion was reported. [50] The performance of 428 HZ25 is consistent with what has been reported by Rosynek et al. also over a commercial H-429 ZSM-5 zeolite (PQ coorporation) with the same Si/Al ratio.[50] They obtained a conversion 430 of 40% at 520 °C with diluted ethylene (0.003 MPa) and a higher contact time. However, 431 using a same contact time as used in this study (i.e., 0.27 s), the extrapolated is expected to be 432 only 7.7%, which is in agreement with our results.

433 The conversion of ethylene is not proportional to the concentration of BAS, but increases 434 exponentially as the Si/Al ratio decreases (Figure 7a). The increase only appears surprisingly from a large amount of BAS (~150 μ mol g⁻¹). This means that the turnover frequency of 435 436 protonic sites changes with the Si/Al ratio. The activity enhancement, in particular on HZ15, 437 could be due to an exlatation of the strength of acid sites by the extraframework aluminum 438 species (Figure 4), and/or be related to a higher local concentration of reactant that is 439 adsorbed on EFAL species with Lewis acidity. [56] But, all studied samples demonstrate the presence of FTIR signature at 3665 cm⁻¹ from EFAL (Figure 1 and Figure 3), but the 440

catalysts performance is different. HZ25 contains more Lewis sites than HZ15 (Table 1), but
its activity in oligomerization reaction is lower (Figure 7a). Note, the BAS concentration is
higher for HZ15 and its activity is higher too. Thus, the possible involvement of EFAL
species in the reaction seems unlikely.

445 The results show that the intrinsic activity of protonic acid sites is not only determined by 446 their strength but also by their density or rather on the concentration of next nearest 447 neighbours pairs of Al atoms. The bimolecularity of ethylene transformation (dimerization) 448 suggests that two protonic acid sites are implied in this catalysis. As the conversion is less 449 than 1% on HZ75 despite the large quantity of BAS, the activity of the isolated protonic acid 450 sites in the transformation of ethylene is almost negligible. Thus, the conversion of ethylene 451 has been plotted as a function of the concentration of NNN pairs of Al atoms calculated from 452 the Price simulation [16]: $[PyH^+] \times fraction of NNN Al.$ (Figure 7a). Catalyst activity is 453 proportional to the square of the concentration of NNN protonic sites. This proportionally was 454 found in various bimolecular reaction over zeolite catalysts: bimolecular butane isomerization 455 [57], alkane cracking through the carbenium ion chain mechanism [58], toluene 456 disproportionation [59], etc.

457 On HZ15, the ethylene conversion is proportional to the contact time (**Figure 7b**) even at 458 high conversion, indicating a pseudo-zero order reaction with a kinetic constant of $1.1 \ 10^6$ 459 mol h⁻¹. As a result, only a small fraction of reactant are in contact with active site in which 460 they are able to react, and this fraction is continually replenished.

461 Figure 8 compares the initial molar yields of H₂, CH₄, paraffins (P₂-P₄), olefins (O₃-O₄)
462 and aromatics (BTX) as a function of the ethylene conversion. Scheme 3 presents a reaction
463 scheme based on products selectivity obtained on HZ15 catalyst.

19



464

465

Scheme 5: Reactions of ethylene on H-ZSM-5 zeolite.

Propylene is the main product and *iso* and *n*-butene, are "apparent" primary products.
Linear and branched hexene and pentene are also produced, but in low yields (<1%). These</p>
olefins are obtained by an oligomerization-cracking mechanism (Scheme 5) which appears
instantaneously due to the higher reactivity of the sole primary product (i.e. *n*-butene) than for
the reactant.

471 Methane, benzene and toluene, appear simultaneously as secondary products from a 472 conversion of 7% (extrapolated value), indicating that dealkylation of toluene occurs at 500 473 °C. CH₄ could also be produced by α -scission of olefins. Yet, such monomolecular cracking, 474 which requires very strong acid sites [59], is expected to lead concomitantly to the formation 475 of methane and olefins, and hence CH₄ should appear as an apparent primary product. As this 476 is not the case, CH₄ is mainly produced by dealkylation of toluene (**Scheme 5**).

477 Xylenes are ternary products appearing at ca 50% conversion, probably produced by the 478 toluene disproportionation. This bimolecular reaction, which is catalysed by strong Brønsted 479 acid sites associated with extra-framework aluminium species, requires a relatively high 480 partial pressure of toluene. [60-61] It is noteworthy, that no particular para-selectivity is 481 observed, despite of the use of the ZSM-5 zeolite. The concomitant formation of aromatics, 482 hydrogen and paraffins indicates that involvement of two mechanisms: intra-molecular 483 dehydrogenation (DH) and inter-molecular hydrogen transfer (HT) (Scheme 5). Selectivity to 484 HT has been correlated with the fraction of pair Al sites estimated by a statistical calculation 485 [48], and is favoured by a high density acid sites. The dehydrogenation of cycloalkanes leads 486 to a molar ratio of H₂ to aromatics of 3: $C_6H_{12} \rightleftharpoons C_6H_6 + 3H_2$, and the intermolecular hydrogen transfer with olefins to a molar ratio of paraffins to aromatics of 3: C_6H_{12} + 487 $C_3H_6 \rightleftharpoons C_6H_6 + 3C_3H_{12}$. The proportion of the two mechanisms (molar ratio of paraffins to 488 hydrogen) depends on the yield into aromatics due to the molecularity of the hydrogen 489 490 transfer; HT and HD occur in the same proportion for a low BTX yield (3.4%), passing from 491 2.4 to double the yield.

Recently, Uslamin et al. are proposed, based on isotope labelling experiments, that mechanism of ethylene conversion on H-ZSM-5 shares similarties with the dual-cycle hydrocarbon pool mechanisms.[5] They shown that the intermediate species retained can contribute to the activation of ethylene *via* their alkylation, but in our case the data are analysed after only 10 min. of reaction and the quantity of coke retained is extremely low, below the detection limit (0.5wt%).

498

3.2. Catalytic performances of the bifunctional catalysts: series 15, 40 and 75

499 ZnO, HZ40 and HZ75 are inactive at 500 °C in the transformation of dilute ethylene. 500 However, the wet impregnation of zinc on zeolite results in an increase of the conversion 501 (Figure 9a). This suggests that under severe operating conditions of low ethylene partial 502 pressure, high temperature and high GHSV, the oxo-binuclear Zn cation species are active at 503 least in the dimerization of ethylene. Conversion increases with Zn content, despite the loss of 504 BAS concentration (Figure 9b), until a plateau is reached that depends on the acidity of the 505 protonic zeolite (Figure 9a). The higher the Si/Al, the lower the maximum conversion. But 506 for the HZ15 zeolite, already active in the transformation of ethylene, the zinc deposit causes a significant decrease in conversion, then increases with the Zn content until it reaches aplateau.

The gain in activity does not correlate with the concentration of Brønsted acid sites, but with that of the oxo-binuclear Zn species (**Species III**). A low content of Zn is sufficient to switch from the acid- catalyzed mechanism to the metal-catalyzed pathway. The conversion on series 15 and 75 is proportional to the concentration of **species II** and follows the same slope (**Figure 9c**). However, the conversion in series 40 is higher, meaning that the mononuclear Zn cations stabilized by the internal silanol (**Species IV**) are also active in the transformation of ethylene.

516 2.1Zn40 catalyst appears to be less active in the transformation of ethylene than HZ15 517 zeolite, for which the active site are the pair of Brønsted acid sites (**Figure 7c**). Hence, the 518 initial decline in activity of HZ15 after the addition of Zn is consistent with the neutralization 519 of the very active pair aluminium atoms. In addition, the apparent kinetic order is different on 520 the two catalysts (**Figure 7b**). Indeed, on 2.1Zn40 the plot of ln (1-X) as a function of contact 521 time gives a straight line through the origin, indicating a pseudo first-order reaction with a 522 kinetic constant of $5.7 \times 10^3 h^{-1}$ (**Figure SI.14**).

Regardless of the method used for Zn loading, its presence completely changes the selectivity of acid catalyst. C_4H_8 clearly is a primary product, while CH_4 , C_3H_6 , H_2 and aromatics composed of benzene (B), toluene (T) and also xylene (X) and ethylbenzene (EB), are secondary products. These products could be considered practically as primary products **(Figure 8)** because they are produced simultaneously from a very low conversion, ca 2% (extrapolated value).

529 .Hydrogen resulting from the dehydrogenation of naphthenes or olefinic naphthenes is 530 also consumed at high conversion through the hydrogenation of olefins into light alkanes 531 (mainly ethylene into ethane). The hydrogenating activity of Zn-based catalysts was studied 532 by conducting experiments with an equimolar mixture of C_2H_4/H_2 (5.3 kPa/5.3 kPa) on 533 catalysts having the species: i) II and III (0.8 Zn40 and 2.0Zn15) and ii) II, III, VI and VII 534 (2.1Zn40 and 8.1 Zn15). (Figure 6). All catalysts are active in ethylene hydrogenation, 535 suggesting that the hydrogenating activity of ZnO is significantly lower than this of Zn cation. 536 Higher metallic/Lewis acid site sites results to higher hydrogenation rates (Figure SI. 13), 537 which is consistent with Mehdad et al. [25]. Functional density theory has shown that 538 recombinant hydrogen desorption is easier on the Zn site stabilized by two framework Al 539 atoms (species II) than on oxygen-bridged zinc sites (species III) [40], suggesting different 540 hydrogenating activity on these two sites

541 The presence of hydrogen in the feed gas causes both an increase of the ethane yield and 542 a decrease in aromatics formation (Figure SI.13). The hydrogenation of ethylene has a direct 543 impact on aromatics yield, which is limited or even decreased when a large portion of 544 ethylene is hydrogenated. The lower the partial pressure of the olefins, the lower the 545 aromatics yield. Therefore, hydrogen should be removed from the reactor, which might be 546 achieved through the use of catalytic membrane, in order to improve the performance of the 547 dilute dry gas aromatization process. Toluene (T) and benzene (B) are the main aromatics 548 products and are formed in the same proportions regardless of the conversion, contray to what 549 is observed with acid catalysts (Figure 8). The benzene formation is not the result of the 550 dealkylation of toluene, as the very "strong" acid sites necessary for this reaction have 551 disappeared after zinc impregnation (Figure 4). A T/B molar ratio of one has already been 552 obtained by Biscardi et al. in the conversion of propane on Zn/H-ZSM-5 at 500 °C. [28] The 553 authors assume that benzene and toluene are formed from a common pool of alkene 554 intermediates [27] and the T/B molar ratios was an accurate measure of the average size of the 555 alkenes in this pool. However, the toluene/benzene molar ratios are different on the acid 556 catalysts than on the bifunctional catalysts. This would imply that the oligomerization557 cracking acid mechanism is influenced by the presence of the Zn-LAS, or that the olefins 558 oligomerization occurred more rapidly on the metal cation. A further argument for the 559 oligomerization of olefins over Zn cations, is the concomitant formation of aromatics at 6, 7 560 and 8 carbon atoms (A_6 - A_8). The neutralization of the strongest acid sites by the Zn cation 561 combined with a low partial pressure of toluene (especially at low conversion) is a strong 562 argument that xylene do not result from the disproportionation of toluene. Xylenes are likely 563 to be produced by the isomerization of ethylbenzene (EB), which requires weaker acid sites 564 than the disproportionation [60-61], and is therefore rapid at 500 °C. Consequently, the yield 565 into EB is low (~0.4 mol. %). Styrene, present in lower amount, appears at a higher conversion (10%, Figure 8), and is produced by dehydrogenation of the ethyl group. There 566 567 are two potential pathways for the formation of EB, either by alkylation of benzene with ethyl 568 carbenium ions (unfavourable at high temperature), or by a cyclization-dehydrogenation of 569 octane/octadiene. The latter route suggests a new mode of olefin formation, different from the 570 conventional oligomerization-cracking mechanism. The greater the length of the carbon 571 chain, the higher the sensitivity to cracking by β -scission. The high reactivity of octene to 572 cracking does not therefore make it possible to obtain a relatively high yield into A_8 (Figure 573 8) by conventional bifunctional catalysis (oligo-cracking-cyclization on acid sites and 574 dehydrogenation on metal sites). As proposed by Lobo et al., Zn in the form of Lewis acid 575 sites can oligomerize ethylene successively via the Cossee-Arlman mechanism. [25] This 576 mechanism involves an intermediate coordination complex that contains both the growing 577 oligomer chain and ethylene. These ligands combine within the coordination sphere of the 578 metal to form a polymer chain which is elongated by two carbon atoms (Scheme SI.1). 579 Ethylene is also most likely oligomerized by a metallacyclic mechanism such as on a Philips 580 polymerization catalyst (Cr/SiO₂). [63] Indeed, two moelcules of ethylene are able to 581 coordinate to the vacant coordination sphere of the Zn active site and form a zincocyclopentane ring (**Scheme 6**). Two routes are possible, either via β-H transfer and release of but-1-ene, or through the coordination and insertion of another ethylene (or propylene which results from the hydrogenolysis of n-butene) to form a zinco-cycloheptane (or -cyclooctane) ring. Steric constraints lead to desorption of C_6H_{12} as well as, the regeneration of active site. A highly reactive olefin molecule diffuses to the BAS to cycle into naphthenes which dehydrogenate into aromatic molecules on a cationic Zn site.

588 The hydrogenation rate increases with the partial pressure of hydrogen, and seems to be 589 favoured by the presence of the monomolecular Zn-LAS species. Hydrogenation could also 590 be due the presence of nanoclusters of ZnO as proposed by Mehdad et al. [25]



591

592

Scheme 6: Metallacyclic mechanism for ethylene di and trimerization.

Figure 10 shows the molar yields of all products as a function of the content of oxobinuclear Zn cation (O^{-} -Zn²⁺- O^{-} , **Species III**). The formations of methane, ethane and hydrogen occur at a lower conversion on the series 40, while the yields of olefins (O_{3} - O_{4}) and aromatics (BTX, EB, S) are similar on all three catalyst series. Consequently, selectivity depends on the nature of the Zn Lewis acid site. The mononuclear Zn cations stabilized by the internal silanol (**species IV**) catalyse only hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation reactions and the 599 oxobinuclear Zn cations catalyze the dimerization of ethylene. The higher activity on the600 series 40 is only due to the presence of hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation reactions.

601 Conclusion

602 The aromatization mechanism of light alkanes on Zn/H-MFI is accepted by the 603 scientific community as bifunctional, which is initiated on the Zn species by dehydrogenation 604 reaction of the alkanes (rate limiting-step), followed by oligomerization-cyclization of the 605 desorbed olefin on acid sites, and terminated on the Zn species by dehydrogenation of the 606 naphthenes. This bifunctional mechanism seems possible using propane/propene, as 607 secondary intermediate carbenium ion are involved However, as far as ethylene is concerned, 608 the corresponding carbenium ions are primary and therefore the classical bifunctional 609 mechanism becomes unlikely, especially under harsh operating conditions. The intrinsic 610 activity of protonic acid sites in ethylene transformation depends on their density or rather on 611 the concentration of next nearest neighbours pairs of Al atoms. The isolated Al atoms can be 612 considered almost inactive in the dimerisation of ethylene. Butenes are rapidly converted on 613 Brønsted acid pairs sites, resulting in a well-balanced oligomerization-cracking pool. 614 Aromatics are obtained through a hydrogen transfer with olefins, or by dehydrogenation of 615 cycloalkanes made possible by the EFAL species.

616 A small amount of Zn Lewis acid sites is sufficient to completely alter the kinetic and 617 selectivity. The particular selectivity with the formation of styrene, ethylbenzene and toluene 618 and benzene with a molar ratio of 1, indicates that the transformation of ethylene takes place 619 by a new mechanism. The dimerization occurs via a metallacyclic mechanism by the 620 formation of cyclobutyl-zinc intermediate and the growth of the carbon chain occurs, through 621 the opening of cyclo-organozinc species. The desorbed products are olefins which cyclize 622 easily into naphthenes on Brønsted acid sites. But this dual sites can also absorb hydrogen, 623 leading to hydrogenolysis and mainly hydrogenation reactions. Therefore, the management of hydrogen production appears to be a crucial issue for the development of the process of thearomatization of dilute ethylene using Zn/H-ZSM-5 catalysts.

This structure-reactivity study allowed to identify the active sites responsible of the formation of observed products. Spectroscopic studies should be carried out to support the mechanism proposal and further studies also should focus on the optimisation of catalytic site and the understanting of the deactivation mechanism(s).

630 Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge IFPEN for the financial support. The authors
acknowledge financial support from the European Union (ERDF) and "Région Nouvelle
Aquitaine". Aurelien Bonnin thanks "Ministère de l'enseignement supérieur, de la recherche
et de l'innovation" for Ph.D. grant.

635

636 References

- 637 [1] The future of Petrochemicals, towards more sustainable plastics and fertilizers,
- 638 www.iea.org/t&c/
- 639 [2] C.P. Nicholas, Process for oligomerizing dilute ethylene Patent (2013) 8,748,682.
- 640 [3] C. Kothari, and H. Lukoma, Benzene/Toluene, P.E.R. Planning, Editor. 2015, Nexant.
 641 p. 64.
- 642 [4] H. Coqueblin, A. Richard, D. Uzio, L. Pinard, Y. Pouilloux, F. Epron, Catal. Today,
 643 289 (2017) 62-69.
- 644 [5] E. A. Uslamin, H. Saito, N. Kosinov, E. Pidko, Y. Sekine E. J. M. Hensen, Catal. Sci.
 645 Technol, 10 (2020) 2774-2785.
- 646 [6] Y. Xiang, H.Wang, J. Cheng, J. Matsubu, Catal. Sci. Technol., 8 (2018) 1500-1516.
- 647 [7] B. Wan, H. Chu, J. Chem Soc. Faraday Trans. 88 (1992) 2943-2947.
- 648 [8] A. Hagen, K. –H Hallmeier, C. Henning, R. Szargan, T. Inui, F. Roessner, Stud. Surf.
 649 Sci. Cat. 94 (1995) 94 195-202.

- 650 [9] X. Chen, M. Dong, X. Niu, K. Wang, G. Chen, W. Fan, J. Wang, Z. Qin, Chin. J.
 651 Catal. 36 (2015) 880-888.
- 652 [10] S. Tamiyakul, T. Sooknoi, L. L. Lobban, S. Jongpatiwut, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 525
 653 (2016) 190-196.
- 654 [11] X. Niu, J. Gao, Q. Miao, M. Dong, G. Wang, W. Fan, A. Qin, Micro. Meso. Mat., 197
 655 (2014) 252-261.
- 656 [12] Y. Jia, J. Wang, K. Zhang, W. Feng, S. Liu, C. Ding, P. Liu, P., J. En. Chem. 26
 657 (2017), 540-548.
- 658 [13] X. Niu, J. Gao, K. Wang, Q. Miao, M. Dong, G. Wang, W.; Fan, Z. Qin, J. Wang, J.
 659 Fuel Process. Tech. 157 (2017) 99-107.
- 660 [14] H. Berndt, G. Lietz, J. Völter, J., Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 146 (1996) 365-379.
- 661 [15] J. A. Biscardi, G. D. Meitzner, E. Iglesia, J. Catal., 179 (1998), 192-202.
- 662 [16] M. J. Rice, A. K. Chakraborty, A. T. Bell, J. Catal. 186 (1999) 222-227.
- 663 [17] E. M. El-Malki, R. A. van Santen, W. M. H. Sachtler, J. Phys. Chem B 103 (1999),
 664 4611-4622.
- 665 [18] L. Lin, X. Zhang, N. He, J. Liu, Q. Xin, H. Guo, Catalysts 9 (2019) 100-115.
- 666 [19] V. B. Kasansky, A. I. Serykh, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 6 (2004) 3760-3764.
- 667 [20] V. B. Kasansky, A. I. Serykh, B. G. Anderson, R. A van Santen, R, Catal. Lett. 88
 668 (2003) 211-217.
- 669 [21] M. Haase, H. Welter, A. Henglein, J. Phys. Chem.92 (1988) 482-487.
- 670 [22] I. E. Brus, J. Phys Chem. 90 (1986) 2555-2560.
- 671 [23] J. Chen, Z. Feng, P. Ying, C. Li, J. Phys Chem. B. 108 (2004) 12669-12676.
- 672 [24] S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti, G. Ricchiardi, L. Regli, F. Bonino, A; Damin, K. P. Lillerud,
- 673 M. Bjorgen, A. Zecchina, Chem. Commun. 20 (2004) 2300-2301.
- 674 [25] A. Mehdad, R. F. Lobo, Catal. Sci. Technol.7 (2017) 3562-3572.

- 675 [26] E. Iglesia, J. E. Baumgartner, G. L. Price, J. Catal. 134 (1992) 549-571.
- 676 [27] J. A. Biscardi, E. Iglesia, Catal. Today, 31 (1996) 207-231.
- 677 [28] J. A. Biscardi, E. Iglesia, J. Catal. 182 (1999) 182, 117-128.
- 678 [29] Y. Ono, Catal. Rev.: Sci. Eng. 34 (1992) 179-226.
- 679 [30] A. A. Gabrienko, S. S. Arzumanov, A. V. Toktarev, D. Freude, J. Haase, A. G.
 680 Stepanov. J. Phys. Chem. C. 123 (2019) 27573-27583.
- 681 [31] S. Arzumanov, A. Gabrienko, A. Toktarev, D. Freude, J. Haase, A. G. Stepanov, J.
 682 Phys Chem. C 123 (2019) 3047-30485.
- 683 [32] C. Miranda, A. Ramírez, A. Sachse, P. Gaudin, Y. Pouilloux, L. Pinard, J. Catal. 307
 684 (2018) 249-260.
- 685 [33] I. Batonneau-Gener, A. Sachse, J. Phys. Chem. C 123 (2019) 4235-4224.
- 686 [34] A. Zecchina, S. Bordiga, G; Spoto, L. Marchese, G. Petrini, G. Leofanti, M.
 687 Padovan, J. Phys. Chem. 96 (1992) 498-4990.
- 688 [35] M. Abdolrahmani, K. Chen, J. L. White, J. Phys. Chem. C 122 (2018) 15520-15528.
- 689 [36] A. A. Gabrienko, I. G. Danilova, S. S. Arzumanov, L. V. Pirutko, D. Freude, A. G.
 690 Stepanov, J. Phys. Chem. C.122 (2018) 25386-25395.
- 691 [37] B. H. Chiche, F. Fajula, E. Garrone, J. Catal. 146 (1994) 460-467.
- 692 [38] M. V. Frash, R. A. van Santen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.2 (2000) 1085-1089.
- 693 [39] L. A. M. M. Barbosa, R.A. van Santen, J. Mol Catal. A: Chem. 166 (2001) 101-121.
- 694 [40] E. A., Pidko, R. A. van Santen, J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 2643-2655.
- [41] I. I. Ivanova, Y. G. Kolyagin, V. V. Ordomsky, E. V.; Asacheko, E. M. Pasynkova, Y.
- 696 A. Pirogov, J. Mol Catal. A: Chem.305 (2009) 47-53.
- 697 [42] R. Kokes, Acc. Chem. Res., 6 (1973) 226-233.
- 698 [43] Y. Zhang, Y. Zhou, L. Huang, S. Zhou, X. Sheng, Q. Wang, C. Zhang, Chem. Eng.
- **699** Jour. 270 (2015) 352-361.

- 700 [44] E. B. Clatworthy, S. V. Konnov, F. Dubray, N. Nesterenko, J-P. Gilson, S. Mintova.
 701 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59 (2020) 19414-19432.
- 702 [45] S. V. Konnov, F. Dubray, E. B. Clatworthy, C. Kouvatas, J-P. Gilson, J-P. Dath, D.
- Minoux, C. Aquino, V. Valtchev, S. Moldovan, S. Koneti, N. Nesterenko, S. Mintova.
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59 (2020) 19553-19560.
- 705 [46] L. Rodriguez-Gonzalez, F. Hermes, M. Bertmer, E. Rodriguez-Castello, A. Jimenez706 Lopez, U. Simon. Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 328 (2007) 174-182.
- 707 [47] J. Penzien, A. Abraham, J.A. van Bokhoven, A. Jentys, T. E. Müller, C. Siervers, J. A.
 708 Lercher, J. Phys. Chem. B. 108 (2004) 4116.-4126.
- 709 [48] H. Berndt, G. Lietz, B. Lücke, J. Völter, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 146 (1996) 351-363.
- 710 [49] K. Arishtirova, C; Dimitrov, K. Dyrek, K. –Hz Hallmeier, Z. Popova, S. Witowski, ,
 711 Appl. Catal. 81 (1992) 15-26.
- 712 [50] P. Qiu, J. H. Lunsford, M. P. Rosynek, Catal. Lett. 52 (1998) 37-42.
- 713 [51] L. A. Dufresne, R. Le Van Mao, Catal. Lett. 25 (1994) 371-383.
- 714 [52] R. Le van Mao, L. A. Dufresne, J. Yao, Y. Yu, Appl. Catal. A : Gen 164 (1997) 81715 89.
- 716 [53] J. Gao, C. Wei, M. Dong, G. Wang, Z. Li, Z. Qin, J. Wang, W. Fan, ChemCatChem
 717 11 (2019) 3892-3902.
- 718 [54] L. Ying, J. Zhu, Y. Cheng, L. Wang, X. Li, J. Ind. Eng. Chem.33 (2016) 80.-90.
- 719 [55] D. B. Lukyanov, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 122 (1999) 299-306.
- [56] J. A. Bokhoven, M. Tromp, D. C. Koningberger, J. T. Miller, J. A. Z. Pietrese, J. A.
 Lercher, B. A. Wiliams, H. H. Kung, J. Catal. 202 (2001) 129-140.
- 722 [57] C. Bearez, F. Chevalier, M. Guisnet, Kinetic study. React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.,22
 723 (1983) 405-409.
- 724 [58] G. Giannetto, M. Guisnet, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1986, 1302-1303.

725	[59]	N.S. (Gnep, M. Guisnet Appl. Catal.1 (1981) 329-342.			
726	[60]	M. Guisnet, L. Pinard, Catal. Rev. 60 (2018) 337-437.				
727	[61]	J.A. I	ercher, A. Jentys, A. Brait, Catalytic test reactions for probing the acidity and			
728		basici	ty of zeolites, In Mol Sieves; Karge, H. G., Weitkamp, J., Eds.; Springer, 2008;			
729		Vol. 6	5, pp 153–212.			
730	[62]	D. Ci	cmil, I.K. van Ravenhorst, J. Meeuwissen, A. Vantomme, B. M. Weckhuysen,			
731		Catal.	Sci. Technol. 6 (2016) 731-743.			
732						
733						
734			Table and figure Captions			
735						
736	Table	1:	Zn content and concentration of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites on the three			
737			catalyst series.			
738	Figure	e 1:	IR spectra of (a) OH region and (b) pyridine coordinated to Lewis acid sites on			
739			the protonic zeolites with molar Si/Al ratio of 15, 25, 40, and 75. In the			
740			presented spectra, the adsorbance was normalized to sample wafer density (g			
741			cm ⁻).			
742	Figure	e 2:	Micropore volume as a function of zinc content on catalyst series 15 (\Box), 40			
743 744			(Δ) and 75 (\circ). (Full and open symbols = HZ and Zn based catalysts, respectively)			
7/5	Figure	3.	FT-IR spectra of OH region as a function of zinc content on catalyst series 15			
746	Tiguit		11 in spectra of off region as a function of zine content on catalyst series 15, 40 and 75 (dashed line – HZ catalysts). In the presented spectra, the			
740			40 and 75 (dashed line – 112 catalysts). In the presented spectra, the adaptheneo was normalized to sample wafer density ($a \text{ cm}^{-2}$)			
141			ausorbance was normanzed to sample water density (g cm ⁻).			
748	Figure	e 4:	NH_3 -TPD profiles as a function of zinc content on catalyst series 15, 40 and 75			
749			(dashed line = HZ zeolites).			
750	Figure	e 5:	Concentrations of neutralized Brønsted acid sites (a) and formed Lewis acid			
751			sites (b) as a function of Zn content. (c) Stoichiometry of the transformation of			
752			BAS into LAS by Zn impregnation. (blue symbol: $.8Zn40^{IE}$ green symbol :			
753			0.8 Zn 40^{DI} , and full symbol data from [8]).			
754	Figure	e 6:	Bar chart of the molar distribution of the Zn species on catalyst series 15, 40			
755			and 75.			

756	Figure 7:	Light olefins conversion on protonic zeolites:
757		(a) Ethylene conversion as a function of concentration of BAS (black symbol)
758		and pair of BAS (red symbol).
759		(b) Ethylene conversion as a function of contact time on HZ15 (blue symbol)
760		and 2.1Zn40 (red symbol).
761	Figure 8:	Molar yields of H ₂ , CH ₄ , paraffins: C ₂ -C ₄ olefins: O ₃ -O ₄ and aromatics: BTX,
762		EB and styrene as a function of the conversion.
763	Figure 9:	Ethylene conversion as a function of impregnated zinc content (a),
764		concentration of Brønsted acid sites (b) and content of oxo-binuclear Zn cation.
765		(Operating condition: 500 °C, P= 1 atm, τ = 0.27 s).
766	Figure 10:	Molar yields of the products of ethylene transformation as a function of the
767		content of oxo-binuclear Zn cation.
768		
769		Supporting Information
770	Table SI.1:	Properties of commercial zeolites HZSM-5: 15, 25, 40 and 75.
771	Table SI.2:	Impact of pretreatment on conversion and products selectivity obtained at 500
772		°C on 2.1Zn40.
773	Table SI.3:	Operating conditions used in the open literature for ethene oligomerization on
774		HZSM-5 catalyst.
775	Figure SI.1:	Probability of NNN pairs of Al atoms able to stabilize a divalent cation, and to
776		form an oxo-binuclear cation drawn from stochastic simulation of Rice et al.
777		[14].
778	Figure SI. 2:	Integrated adsorbance determined for pyridine adsorption on MFI (Si/Al =27)
779		and α -Al ₂ O ₃ .
780	Figure SI.3:	Nitrogen sorption at -196 °C of the protonic zeolites with molar Si/Al ratio of
781		15, 25, 40, and 75.
782	Figure SI.4:	TEM images of commercial zeolites.
783	Figure SI.5:	²⁷ Al NMR spectrum of HZ40, 2.1Zn15 and 5.6Zn15 catalysts.
784	Figure SI.6:	FT-IR spectra of OH region HZ15 zeolite before (full line) and after (dashed
785		line) pyridine adsorption at 150 °C.
786	Figure SI.7:	Figure SI.7: XRD spectra of HZ40 (green), 2.1Zn40 (blue) and 3.6Zn40
787		(black).

788	Figure SI.8:	FT-IR spectra of OH region of Zn based catalysts prepared by wet (WI) and
789		dry impregnation (DI) and ion exchange (IE). In the presented spectra, the
790		adsorbance was normalized to sample wafer density (g cm ⁻²).
791	Figure SI. 9:	UV-visible DRS spectra of catalysts series 40.
792	Figure SI. 10:	TEM images of bifunctional catalysts with a lower and higher metal content
793		than the Zn exchange capacity.
794	Figure SI. 11:	FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorption on 15, 40 and 75 catalyst series. In the
795		presented spectra, the adsorbance was normalized to sample wafer density (g
796		cm
797	Figure SI.12:	Integrated intensity of Si-O(H)-Al band vs the concentration of Brønsted acid
798		sites probed by pyridine at 150 °C.
799	Figure SI.13:	Molar yields into (a) ethane and (b) aromatics as a function of the conversion,
800		and (c) molar yield into ethane as a function of the content of oxo-binuclear Zn
801		cation with (in red) and without (in black) of hydrogen (5 vol. %) in the feed
802		gas.
803	Figure SI.14:	Test for the first-order rate equation: $-ln(1 - X_A) = k\tau$ on 2.1Zn40.
004	G 1 G T 1	Departies ashere of the athribus transformation at 500 °C on hiturational 7n
804	Scheme SI.1:	Reaction scheme of the ethylene transformation at 500°C on bifunctional Zn-

Series	Catalyst ^a	Zn/Al ^a	$[PyH^+]^b$	$[PyL]^{c}$	ZnO ^d
	wt.%	mol/mol	µmol g ⁻¹		nm
	HZ15	-	515	44	
			(1041)		
15	0.7Zn15	0.3	364	346	
13	2.0Zn15	0.3	201	671	0.0
	3.4Zn15	0.5	144	792	
	4.1Zn15	0.6	121	688	6.8
	8.1Zn15	1.2	84	655	
25	HZ25	-	307	54	
			(640)		
	HZ40	-	286	28	
			(386)		
	0.5Zn40	0.2	229	176	
	0.8Zn40	0.3	217	253	
40	0.8 Zn 40^{IE}	0.2	198	189	
	0.8 Zn 40^{DI}	0.3	175	212	
	1.4Zn40	0.5	199	329	
	2.1Zn40	0.7	163	367	0.0
	3.6Zn40	1.3	77	399	9.1
	5.6Zn40	1.8	100	443	
	HZ75	-	148	21	
75			(219)		
13	0.3Zn75	0.2	111	67	0.0
	0.7Zn75	0.4	92	98	
	2.7Zn75	1.6	84	102	14.8

Table 1: Zn content and concentration of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites on the three catalyst series.

 $a^{a} =$ Zn content measured by ICP analysis, ^{b, c} = concentrations of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites probed by thermodesorption of pyridine at 150 °C. d^{d} = measured on MET images. In bracket theoretical acidity calculated from Al content.



Figure 1: IR spectra of (a) OH region and (b) pyridine coordinated to Lewis acid sites on the protonic zeolites with molar Si/Al ratio of 15, 25, 40, and 75. In the presented spectra, the adsorbance was normalized to sample wafer density (g cm⁻²).



Figure 2: Microporous volume as a function of zinc content on 15 (\Box), 40 (Δ) and 75 (\circ) catalyst series. (Full and open symbols = HZ and Zn based catalysts, respectively).



Figure 3: IR spectra of OH region as a function of zinc content on 15, 40 and 75 catalyst series (dashed line = HZ catalysts). In the presented spectra, the adsorbance was normalized to sample wafer density (g cm⁻²).



Figure 4: NH₃-TPD profiles as a function of zinc content on 15, 40 and 75 catalyst series (dashed line = HZ zeolites).



Figure 5: Concentrations of neutralized Brønsted acid sites (a) and formed Lewis acid sites (b) as a function of Zn content. (c) Stoichiometry of the transformation of BAS into LAS by Zn impregnation. (Blue symbol: .8Zn40^{IE} green symbol: 0.8Zn40^{DI}, and full symbol data from [8]).



Figure 6: Bar chart of the molar distribution of the Zn species on series 15, 40 and 75.



Figure 7: Light olefins conversion on protonic zeolites:
(a) Ethylene conversion as a function of concentration of BAS (black symbol) and pair of BAS (red symbol).
(b) Ethylene conversion as a function of contact time on HZ15 (blue symbol) and 2.1Zn40

(b) Ethylene conversion as a function of contact time on HZ15 (blue symbol) and 2.1Zn40 (red symbol).









Figure 8: Molar yields of H_2 , CH_4 , paraffins: C_2 - C_4 olefins: O_3 - O_4 and aromatics: BTX, EB and styrene as a function of the conversion.



Figure 9: Ethylene conversion as a function of impregnated zinc content (a), concentration of Brønsted acid sites (b) and content of oxo-binuclear Zn cation.

(Operating	condition:	500	°C,	P=	1	atm,	$\tau =$	0.27	s)
			10						



(full symbol = HZ catalyst ; open symbol = Zn catalyst)



(full symbol = HZ catalyst ; open symbol = Zn catalyst)

Figure 10: Molar yields of the products of ethylene transformation as a function of the content of oxobinuclear Zn cation.

