

# Gasoline Oxidation Stability: deposits formation tendencies evaluated by PetroOxy and Autoclave Methods and GDI / PFI Engine Tests

Maira Alves-Fortunato, Axelle Baroni, Livio Neocel, M. Chardin, Mickaël

Matrat, C. Boucaud, M. Mazarin

## ▶ To cite this version:

Maira Alves-Fortunato, Axelle Baroni, Livio Neocel, M. Chardin, Mickaël Matrat, et al.. Gasoline Oxidation Stability: deposits formation tendencies evaluated by PetroOxy and Autoclave Methods and GDI / PFI Engine Tests. Energy & Fuels, 2021, 35 (22), pp.18430-18440. 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c02466. hal-03552176

## HAL Id: hal-03552176 https://ifp.hal.science/hal-03552176v1

Submitted on 2 Feb 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Gasoline Oxidation Stability: deposits formation
2	tendencies evaluated by PetroOxy and Autoclave
3	methods and GDI / PFI engine tests
4 5	M. Alves-Fortunato <sup>‡1,*</sup> , A. Baroni <sup>1</sup> , L. Neocel <sup>1</sup> , M. Chardin <sup>1</sup> , M. Matrat <sup>1</sup> , C. Boucaud <sup>2</sup> , M. Mazarin <sup>‡ 2</sup>
6 7	<sup>1</sup> IFP Energies nouvelles, Institut Carnot IFPEN Transports Energie, 1 et 4 avenue de Bois-Préau, 92852 Rueil-Malmaison, France
8 9 10	<sup>2</sup> TOTAL Marketing & Services, Centre de Recherche de Solaize, Chemin du Canal, BP22, 69360 Solaize, France
11 12 13	*E-mail: <u>maira.fortunato@ifpen.fr</u>
14 15 16	<b>KEYWORDS:</b> Gasoline, Autoxidation, Stability, Deposits, GDI, PFI, PetroOxy, RSSOT, Autoclave, Manganese, Sulfur, DMDS, MMT, VWEA111, M102E, injectors, valves
17	ABSTRACT
18	Deposits formation from the gasoline autoxidation process has attracted more and more attention
19	since the emergency of new systems operating at higher pressure range and higher temperatures
20	imposing new fuel constraints, and so favoring the appearance of deposits on different engine parts
21	in contact with the fuel (e.g. injection systems, valves, pumps, piston). This study aims to evaluate

22 the oxidation stability of a non-additised standard European gasoline SP95 Euro 6 containing 23 10%v/v Ethanol (SP95E6E10) complying with the EN228 standard and the impact of additives 24 such as methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyle (MMT) and dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) 25 on deposits formation to mimic other world market fuels such as Africa or China. The stability of 26 these fuels was compared to a commercial Nigerian gasoline which has higher sulfur content (800 27 mg/kg) to evaluate the sulfur effect on deposits formation. The fuel degradation tendencies 28 (Induction Period, IP) obtained from PetroOxy apparatus and Autoclave reactor were compared to 29 the real engines tendencies to form deposits. The deposits targeted are those created on the injector 30 nozzle of a VW EA111 engine (direct injection), and on the valve using a M102E engine (indirect 31 injection). PetroOxy results show the negative impact of DMDS and MMT on the IP of the 32 gasoline, SP95 E10 ULG Euro 6: IP decrease of up to 30%. Comparison of the IP results in 33 PetroOxy and Autoclave with the results of the direct injection (VW EA111) and indirect injection 34 (M102E) engine tests suggests that the PetroOxy results follow the trend of the mass of deposits 35 formed on the valves of the indirect injection, M102E, engine tests, with the IP decreasing as the 36 valve deposits mass increases. On the contrary, the Autoclave results seem to follow the trend of 37 the results of the direct injection, VW EA111 engine tests: IP decreases when the injection time 38 increases. These features could allow us to identify fuel tendencies to form deposits on specific 39 spare parts based only on laboratory scale methods, helping to optimize and to target the 40 maintenance operation and preventing failures or damages on real engine systems.

41

#### 42 INTRODUCTION

Fuel stability refers to the ability of a hydrocarbon to preserve its physical and chemical properties
over time. Different fuel degradation mechanisms can be distinguished: autoxidation [1]; cracking

45 or pyrolysis [2]; hydrolysis [3]; and microbiological contamination [4]. More specifically, 46 autoxidation stability of fuels refers to the tendency of a fuel to degrade from the oxidation of its 47 compounds. Fuel degradation by autoxidation can lead to the formation of insoluble products and 48 deposits of various origins and compositions in the tank, combustion systems and injection systems 49 [1]. Deposits formed as a result of the autoxidation process are generally obtained at  $T < 300^{\circ}$ C. 50 Therefore, deposits related to autoxidation process indicates the degradation of organic compounds 51 at low temperatures in the presence of oxygen. Several authors have studied the physical and 52 chemical characteristics of gasoline with and without additives and its impact on several 53 combustion process such as auto-ignition [5,6], heat release [7], soot precursors and soot formation 54 [8], among others. All these features can lead to deposits formation at different parts of gasoline 55 engine such as injector nozzle [9–11], spark plug [12,13], intake valves [11,14,15], and combustion 56 chamber walls [11]. Ganeau et al. [16] have studied the formation of deposits in pistons using 57 standard gasoline in an optical engine. They studied the mechanisms leading to gasoline deposits 58 formation on pistons and identified mainly two pathways: liquid-film path or the soot path [17]. 59 For the liquid-film path, the work showed that the formation of the deposit by the liquid film was 60 conditioned by the evaporation rate of the liquid film, the surface roughness and material does not 61 have an impact on the formation of the deposit via the liquid-film path. For the soot path, 62 thermophoresis and inertial deposition seems to be the main mechanisms involved in deposition 63 process. The results showed that an increase in the surface temperature reduced the deposition of 64 soot [17]. However, Ganeau's work did not establish the chemical process involved during liquidfilm deposits formation and the analytical methods used, Infrared absorption spectroscopy (FTIR-65 66 ATR) and Raman Spectroscopy, were not able to identify the chemical trends. Kinoshita et al [18] 67 studied the process of deposit build-up on injector nozzles and also showed that surface

68 temperature plays an important role in the nature of the deposit formed. Indeed, they propose that 69 the residual fuel film on the nose surface after injection and after combustion can form more or 70 less adherent deposits depending on the temperature, i.e. for a Tsurface greater than T90 of the 71 fuels, thicker and more adherent deposits are formed, whereas Tsurface<T90 the deposits are 72 "washed off" during the next injection and do not adhere to the surface. However, this hypothesis 73 has not been confirmed by other studies and the mechanism of deposit formation remains a subject 74 of study. Nevertheless, the residual fuel remaining in contact with the hot metal surface of the 75 injector nozzle and valves certainly leads to the degradation of its compounds by the autoxidation 76 process, especially during the engine shutdown (soaking) phases [19]. This feature has been 77 observed during studies on the injection bench, and so without the influence of combustion 78 products on deposits, for diesel and biodiesel fuels [19,20] as well as kerosene [21]. Nevertheless, 79 there is a lack in the literature about gasoline autoxidation in liquid phase at low temperature and 80 the link of this fuel degradation mechanism to the deposits that can be observed in different parts 81 of the real engines. Also, there is a need to identify analytical methods that would be able to predict 82 the gasoline deposits formation tendencies by using lab scale tests instead of using engine tests 83 that are expensive and time consuming.

Gasoline is mainly composed of paraffins, olefins, naphtenes, aromatics and oxygenated compounds. In other fuels autoxidation studies, it has been shown that the presence of unsaturations in the alkyl chain (e.g. olefins) as well as the presence of heteroatoms, such as S, N or O, are parameters favoring the free radical mechanism in the propagation phase [1,22,23]. Nalkanes, iso-alkanes, cycloalkanes, and aromatics are also sensitive to oxidation depending on the temperature and pressure conditions [24–26]. In addition to fuel base compounds, impurities such as the presence of metals, even in very small quantities, can also have an impact. In this context, 91 the experimental methods employed to evaluate diesel, biodiesel and kerosene can be adapted and
92 used to study gasoline autoxidation process.

93 Several methods have been identified in the literature for the study of gasoline stability [27–31]. 94 In this study, two liquid-phase oxidation methods were selected: a standard method, ASTM 95 D7525, which describes the Rapid Small Scale Oxidation Test (RSSOT) known as the PetroOxy 96 test, and the "Autoclave" method developed at IFPEN. The induction period (IP) of the fuels 97 obtained from both methods can be compared to the tendencies observed to deposits formation in 98 real engines to correlate the autoxidation process and deposits formation.

99 Two standardized gasoline engine bench tests have been used for the study of the deposits100 formation tendency:

For indirect injection spark ignition engines, the Mercedes Benz M102E method
 (standardized test method CEC F-05-93) gives access to the measurement of the intake
 valve deposits (IVD)

For direct injection spark ignition (DISI) engines, the new injector fouling test in the
 process of being proposed to CEC (standardized test method TDG-F-113), by using a
 widespread used version of the EA111 engine developed by Volkswagen AG, gives
 information on a measured variable called the injection time (duration) linked to the
 injectors fouling.

The first part of this study was dedicated to the kinetic study of gasoline autoxidation to obtain kinetic parameters that will be later used on modeling gasoline degradation. The second part was to evaluate the oxidation stability of a standard European gasoline SP95 Euro 6 containing 10%v/v

112 Ethanol (SP95E6E10) and the impact of additives such as methylcyclopentadienyl manganese 113 tricarbonyl (MMT) and dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) on gasoline oxidation stability. The stability 114 of these fuels was compared to a commercial Nigerian gasoline which has higher sulfur content 115 (800mg/kg) to evaluate its impact on stability. The fuel degradation tendencies (Induction Period, 116 IP) obtained from PetroOxy apparatus and Autoclave reactor were compared to the real engines 117 tendencies to form deposits. The deposits targeted are those created on two different injection 118 system technologies: the injector nozzle of a VW EA111 engine (direct injection), and on the valve 119 of a M102E engine (indirect injection).

120

#### 121 EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

#### 122 Fuel Matrix

123 The fuel matrix is composed of two commercial gasolines: one from European market and one 124 from Nigerian market (See supplementary material for more details). The European gasoline 125 chosen was the SP95 ULG E10 Euro 6 (hereafter named as SP95E10) used as reference. This fuel 126 presents a low quantity of sulfur (<10 ppm) and 10%v/v of ethanol (EtOH). Two blends were 127 performed using the additives methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl (MMT) and the 128 dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) to obtain the fuels SP95+Mn (20 mg/kg of Manganese) et SP95+S 129 (500 mg/kg of Sulfur). These blends were used to mimic world market fuels other than Europe 130 such as Africa or China. By adding MMT and DMDS to the neat SP95 gasoline, the impact of 131 manganese and sulfur compounds can be more specifically appreciated respectively. It is true that 132 DMDS sulfur compound is different from those naturally present in a fuel from refining operations, 133 but it allows to appreciate the direct impact of added sulfur compared to neat SP95E10. The

Nigerian petrol contains 820mg/kg of sulfur and is representative of exiting fuels on the African market *(See supplementary material for details about sulfur speciation)*. The chemical composition of all fuels are listed in Table 1 below. Complementary chemical analysis of the fuels in presented on Figure 1. To note that Nigerian petrol has higher amount of naphthenes (cycloalkanes) and olefins whereas the SP95 presents notably higher content of aromatics and oxygenated compounds.

140

141

**Table 1.** Fuel Matrix: physical and chemical analysis of base fuels

Test Name	Method	Analyte	Unit	SP95E10Euro6 (reference fuel)	Nigerian gasoline
Density at 15°C	NF EN ISO 12185	Density at 15°C	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	747.9	734.3
Reid Vapor Pressure at 37.8°C	NF EN 13016-1	ASVP at 37.8°C	kPa	57.8	53.9
Distillated percentage at 70°C		% distillated at 70°C	% v/v	42	21.7
Distillated percentage at 100°C		% distillated at 100°C	% v/v	59	58.6
Distillated percentage at 150°C		% distillated at 150°C	% v/v	92	95
Sulfur content	NF EN ISO 20846	S content	mg/kg	<10	820
Gum content of light and	NE EN ISO	Before washing	mg/100mL	5	1
middle distillates fuels	6246	After washing	mg/100mL	<1	<1
Oxidation induction period of gasolines	NF EN ISO 7536	Oxidation induction Period	min	>360	341



144Figure 1. Chemical composition of Nigerian and SP95 gasolines

143

### 146 Oxidation Stability Tests

### 147 Rapid Small-Scale Oxidation Test (RSSOT), also known as PetroOxy test

148 For gasolines, the standard PetroOxy method (ASTM D7525) consists in placing 5mL of a 149 sample in a cell with a total volume of 25mL. Once the lid is closed, the sample is subjected to an 150 initial oxygen pressure of 500kPa and heated to 140°C. As the temperature rises, the pressure in 151 the cell increases until a maximum pressure (Pmax) is reached which varies with the fuel. Then, 152 the cell pressure decreases with the consumption of oxygen by the sample caused by the fuel 153 oxidation process. As soon as the pressure reaches a value of -10% in relation to Pmax, the test 154 shall stop. The time required to reach this pressure drop is noted as the Induction Period (IP) of 155 the fuel. According to this method, the IP therefore gives information on the fuel's resistance to 156 oxidation, i.e. the longer the IP, the more stable is the fuel. To carry out the kinetic study the tests 157 were done at three temperatures:100°C, 140°C and 160°C at 5 bar as well as 140°C at 7 bar. The 158 stability of the fuels was also evaluated under two atmospheres: Oxygen and Argon. Each fuel was 159 tested three times at the different conditions to obtain the standard deviation. To calculate the

Activation Energy (Ea) and the kinetic constants the method proposed by Bacha et al [2] was used. It uses the simplified global kinetic mechanism of fuel oxidation to calculate the global kinetic rate constant from the different oxidation steps. The rate of oxygen consumption in the PetroOxy gas phase is used to calculate the Ea following the Arrhenius equation:

164 
$$\frac{-d[O_2]}{dt} = -\frac{d[RH]}{dt} = A. e^{\frac{-Ea}{RT}}. [RH]$$
 Equation 1

where RH represents the fuel, A corresponds to the pre-exponential factor, Ea is the activation energy, R is the gas constant and T is the temperature. The variation of oxygen pressure into PetroOxy cell reactor can be obtained from the Pmax until IP according to Equation 2:

168 
$$\frac{[\Delta O_2]_{IP}}{IP} = A. e^{\frac{-Ea}{RT}}. ([RH]_{t0} - [RH]_{IP})$$
 Equation 2

169 where  $[RH]_{t0} = \frac{\rho}{M}$ , with  $\rho$  is the fuel's density and M the molar mass. Considering that the oxygen 170 is the main component in the gas phase, it is possible to calculate the oxygen consumption by 171 volume of fuel at IP by:

172 
$$\frac{[\Delta O_2]_{IP}}{IP} = \frac{P_{max} - P_{IP}}{RT} \cdot \frac{V_{TOT} - V_{liq}}{V_{liq}}$$
 Equation 3

173 Where  $V_{tot}$  is the total PetroOxy cell volume,  $V_{liq}$  is the sample volume,  $P_{IP}$  is the pressure in kPa 174 measured at IP. Therefore, we may calculate the following relationship (Equation 4) to obtain the 175 Ea and A:

176 
$$\ln \left[\frac{[\Delta O_2]_{IP}}{\tau.[RH]_{t0}.IP}\right] = -\frac{Ea}{RT} + \ln A$$
 Equation 4

177

178 Autoclave reactor

179 The IFPEN autoclave is a reactor in which a fuel oxidation method has been developed to meet 180 the need for the analysis of compounds produced during the oxidation of fuels under test, which is 181 very difficult and time consuming to achieve with standardized tests such as PetroOxy. The IFPEN 182 autoclave allows to carry out gas and liquid phase sampling during the test. Therefore, it is possible 183 to follow chemical kinetics of the reaction in both phases. To minimize equilibrium changes due 184 to the sampling, oxygen is added to compensate for the pressure loss which is typically close to 1 185 bar. Preliminary tests have demonstrated a negligible impact of this oxygen addition on the overall 186 kinetics considering the measurement uncertainties.[25,26,32]

187 The autoclave is an inert reactor designed to carry out chemical reactions at temperature and 188 pressure with operating limits close to 300 °C and 500 bar. In this study, a volume of 50 mL of 189 sample is placed in a cell with a total volume of 250 mL. The idea is to be in the same conditions 190 in terms of  $O_2$ /fuel ratio as the PetroOxy test. The fuel is first stirred with a rotation system 191 followed by pressurization using an inert gas, Argon. Then the temperature is raised to the desired 192 temperature. Once the test temperature has been reached, the inert gas is flushed by introducing 193 oxygen into the cell. The replacement of the gas is very fast and does not disturb the course of the 194 test. As soon as the system is stable, a few seconds after purging the inert gas with O<sub>2</sub>, the oxidation 195 kinetics are very similar to those obtained with PetroOxy. Oxygen is therefore consumed over time 196 by the oxidation of the fuel and the time to reach a 10% drop from Pmax and recorded as IP. The 197 main difference in comparison with PetroOxy is the possibility to take gas and liquid samples 198 during the test and, in this way, monitor the progress of fuel degradation. Here, only liquid 199 samplings are analyzed using Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) to achieve the 200 chemical composition variation during oxidation. More information about Autoclave procedure 201 can be found elsewhere.[3-5]

204 VW EA111 test: This CEC test is being developed by Volkswagen. The proposed test addresses 205 injector deposits in direct injection spark ignited engines (DISI) and the deposit control ability of 206 gasoline, so that it can be used to evaluate the performance of neat or additivated gasolines. The 207 test engine is the VW EA111 1.4L TSI (CAVE) engine with 132kW, representing the Skoda 208 version of the EA111 engine family, developed by Volkswagen AG. The twin charged engine is 209 equipped with a supercharger and a turbo charger, including charge air cooler. The test procedure 210 is performed with new 6-hole injectors, type 03C906036E/F from Bosch or Magneti Marelli. The 211 injector run-in procedure is performed at high load for 4 hours. The test procedure is a steady state 212 test at an engine speed of 2000 rpm and a constant torque of 56 Nm (=5 bar mean effective 213 pressure). The thermostat is in serial condition. Nozzle coking is measured as change of injection 214 timing. Due to nozzle coking, the hole diameter of the injector holes is reduced, and the injection 215 time adjusted by the Engine Control Unit (ECU) accordingly. The injection time in milliseconds 216 is a direct readout from the ECU via ECU control software. The keep-clean procedure employed 217 lies on a phase of 48 hours deposit formation with the fuel to be tested, with a continuous 218 measurement of the increase of injection time. A linear trend calculation at start of test and end of 219 test defines the nozzle coking during 48 hours of keep clean test. The result is expressed as a 220 percent of increased injection time. The longer the injection time, the dirtier the injectors are.

M102E test: All engines progressively accumulate engine deposits as a result of the normal gasoline combustion process. Combustion chamber deposits (CCD) and intake valves deposits (IVD) are originated primarily from fuel and to some extent from the engine lubricating oil. The 224 standardized test method, referred as CEC F-05-93, allows the assessment of the deposits 225 formation tendency of the intake system of the indirect injection engine, in particular the intake 226 valves and the combustion chamber. The test engine is a Mercedes Benz M102E in-line, 4-227 cylinder, 4-stroke, 2.3L gasoline engine mounted on a computer controlled test-bed having 1 Hz 228 data logging capability and usual services such as exhaust extraction, fuel flow measurement, 229 temperature and pressure measurements, etc. The engine is operated for a period of 60 hours under 230 cyclic conditions, simulating stop and go operation, with the inlet valve pegged to prevent rotation. 231 The ability of a gasoline or a gasoline formulation to influence deposit formation on the inlet valves 232 is determined. The results are expressed by the weight of the deposits accumulated during the test 233 on the intake valves and in terms of merit rating. The lower the amount of deposits on the valve 234 (measured in mg/valve) at the end of the test, the better the gasoline fuel.

235

#### 236 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

PetroOxy at 100°C, 140°C and 160°C as a function of the temperature is presented in Figure 2 and 237 238 the associated kinetic data is shown on Table 2. The IP behavior follows the Arrhenius law with a 239 linear relationship of log(IP) vs 1/T which is valid for all fuels. The IP decreases with the 240 temperature increase. The activation energies are quite similar from 21-25 kcal/mol but the pre-241 exponential factor obtained for the Nigerian fuel is two orders of magnitude higher than the 242 standard SP95 and the S- and Mn-additivated samples. The Ea values of our samples are within 243 the Ea range of pure compounds such as isoalkanes that have Ea comprised in a range from 15-244 45kcal/mol depending on the level of hydrocarbon branching[5]. It is also similar to the Ea calculated for diesel and biodiesel samples (from 18-25 kcal/mol)[2] depending on the fuelfeedstock.



248 **Figure 2.** Induction Period variation as a function of 1000/T

249

247

250 It is noteworthy that fuels containing higher sulfur amount present lower stability. Indeed, at 160°C 251 the SP95+S has lower IP than SP95 and SP95+Mn but the variation is within the standard deviation 252 of the analysis. However, at 140°C the IP decrease is evident being ~60% lower than the fuel 253 without S, and at 100°C the IP of the S-additivated fuel is ~42% lower than the IP of the fuel 254 without S. The results of the Nigeria petrol stability are even lower: -83% at 160°C, -93% at 140°C 255 and -88% at 100°C. These results could be due to the higher amount of sulfur but also the higher 256 amount of olefins and naphtenes of the Nigerian gasoline in comparison to the standard SP95 fuel 257 and, on the other hand the SP95 has higher aromatics content. Based on the literature, the di-258 aromatics and mono-aromatics present much higher stability than naphtenes [21] which is in line 259 with our observations.

260

			Ea	Α
Fuel	T (°C)	IP (h)	(kcal/mol)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /(mol.s))
SP95	160	$0.6\pm0.02$	21.7	1.8E+06
	140	$3.1\pm0.11$		
	100	$49.4\pm2.2$		
SP95+Mn	160	$0.6\pm0.01$	22.2	3.7E+06
	140	$2.4\pm0.19$		
	100	$48.7\pm5.7$		
SP95+S	160	$0.4\pm0.02$	22.1	5.6E+06
	140	$1.2 \pm 0.13$		
	100	$28.2\pm1.1$		
Nigeria	160	$0.1\pm0.0$	25.0	5.9E+08
	140	$0.2 \pm 0.0$		
	100	$5.8 \pm 0.1$		

262 **Table 2.** Activation Energy in kcal/mol and pre-exponential factors of the different gasolines

264 By analyzing the PetroOxy and Autoclave results at 5 and 7 bar (Figure 3) the first trend that can 265 be distinguished is the impact of the pressure variation for fuel SP95, using both methods, the fuel 266 stability decrease with a higher pressure. Nevertheless, the effect seems to be mitigated in the 267 presence of additives. The mechanisms involved in this process is out of the focus of the present 268 study and need further investigation. The other feature that can be observed is the difference 269 between PetroOxy and Autoclave results. Even with the same O<sub>2</sub>/fuel ratio into the reactors, 270 Autoclave results are more severe than PetroOxy, the stability is around 50% lower when tested 271 within an autoclave. This indicates that the fuel stirring has an important impact on the results, 272 indeed the fuel oxidized into a PetroOxy cell is static whereas the fuel into the autoclave reactor is 273 in a turbulent flow. This is an important feature to help to identify the best method to represent the 274 deposits formation at different spare parts of the engine, i.e. the PetroOxy could better represent 275 the oxidation that is observed in an environment where the fuel is stored (e.g. tank) or remains 276 static in contact with a hot surface (e.g. injectors during soaking); and, on the other hand, the fuel 277 oxidized into an autoclave reactor could represent better the phenomena leading to deposits on 278 engine parts where there is fuel flowing.



Figure 3. Comparison of IPs from PetroOxy (filled columns) and Autoclave (groove columns) at
5 bar (blue) and 7 bar (orange)

283 It is important to highlight that the fuels containing large amount of sulfur compounds (SP95+S 284 and Nigerian gasoline) presented an unusual behavior in Autoclave reactor during oxidation at 140°C and 5 bar: the IP is not achieved even after more than 60h aging, i.e. there is no consumption 285 286 observed of the gas phase. In this case, two hypotheses can be made: (1) the fuels are not oxidized 287 or (2) the oxidation produces some highly volatile products, going to the gas phase and so biasing 288 the measurement. Since the fuels obtained after the test have all presented color changes indicating 289 that they suffered chemical changes, it is possible to conclude that the second hypothesis is 290 preferred, i.e. for fuels SP95+S and Nigeria oxidation products in the gas phase balance the oxygen 291 consumption into the liquid.

292

293 Chemical Analysis of the Liquid Phase

The infrared spectrum was recorded for the liquid samples taken during the Autoclave tests (Figure 4). Qualitatively, two zones of interest can be observed between the initial sample (P1) and the sample obtained at IP (P3 or P4) for the four fuels: the region between 1780 and 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the region between 3650-3120 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding, respectively, to functional groups containing carbonyls (e.g. carboxylic acid, aldehydes, ketones, esters) and to hydroxyl group (-OH) of alcohols and carboxylic acids functional groups.

300 It is noteworthy that fuel SP95+S presents a different profile in comparison to both SP95 and SP95+Mn. There is no evident variation in the region from 3600-3120 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicating the absence 301 302 of alcohols or carboxylic acids whose vibrations were clearly observed for the SP95 and SP95+Mn samplings. In addition, the region from 1800-1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> for this fuel also present a different spectra 303 304 profile: for fuels SP95 and SP95+Mn there is an important vibrational band centered at 1707 cm<sup>-1</sup> with at least four shoulder peaks at 1774 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1738 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1716 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1695 cm<sup>-1</sup>, also the 305 306 intensity of these bands increases clearly from the beginning of the oxidation (P1) until the end; in the case of sulfur additivated fuel, there is a large vibrational range from 1750-1675 cm<sup>-1</sup> but it is 307 308 very similar for all samplings, and so, does not seem to correspond to an important increase of 309 oxidation products, which is in agreement with the observation of 3600-3120 cm<sup>-1</sup> region that does 310 not present any variation during samplings. This observation is in line with the one presented 311 previously for the IP results (Figure 3), if the oxidation products were mainly in the gas phase, the 312 liquid samplings would not present a significant spectra variation, it is exactly what is observed at 313 FTIR analysis of the liquid phase.



- **Figure 4.** FTIR spectra of oxidized fuels at Autoclave reactor, 140°C and 5 bar: (A) SP95, (B) SP95+Mn, (C) SP95+S and (D) Nigeria. (left) zoom at region 3600-3120cm<sup>-1</sup> and (right) zoom at region 1800-1650cm<sup>-1</sup>

## 320 Engine tests

## 321 Direct Injection, VWEA111

322 The direct injection VWEA111 engine tests were performed with the 4 different gasolines. Figure

323 5 shows the spray holes at the end of the 48-hours test.



- 324 **Figure 5.** Spray holes at end of test (48 hours)
- 325
- 326 The pictures clearly indicate a real coking of the injectors spray holes at the end of the 48 hours of
- 327 keep clean test whatever the gasoline employed. There is no evident difference between the fuels,
- 328 based only on the quantity or morphology of the deposits.



## 329 The graphs showing the injection time during the keep clean test are presented in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Injection time in milliseconds during Keep Clean test: (A) SP95, (B) SP95+Mn, (C)
SP95+S and (D) Nigeria

332

The SP95+Mn and SP95+S profiles show a more progressive fouling of the injector holes with a less steep slope in the injection time evolution. As explained previously, an increase of the injection time was calculated from these curves for each test. The values are reported in Table 3.

~~~	<b>T 1 1 A</b>	$\alpha$ 1 1 1	•	<b>••</b>	• . •	. •	•
227	Tabla 3	( 'alculated	Incrosco (	st 1m	action	tima	in norcont
.).)/	I ADIC J.	Calculated	increase (	л ш	ICCHOIL	unic	

	Calculated increase
Fuel	of injection time
SP95	15%
SP95+Mn	32%
SP95+S	49%
Nigeria	35%

339	The greatest calculated increases of injection time at the end of test were observed for the SP95+S
340	and Nigerian fuels. In the same trend as the data obtained in terms of stability on the PetroOxy
341	tests, These results could be due to the higher amount of sulfur but also the higher amount of
342	olefins and naphtenes of the Nigerian gasoline in comparison to the standard SP95 fuel. In this
343	VWEA111 engine test, the SP95+S fuel appears to be a fuel strongly favoring the nozzle coking
344	of the injectors. To a lesser extent, the SP95+Mn and Nigerian fuels generate a moderate nozzle
345	coking with a 32% and 35% calculated increase of injection time respectively.

346

347 Indirect Injection, M102E

The pictures of the inlet valves and the cylinder heads after running the M102E engine tests arepresented in Figure 7 and Figure 8.

350

351

352





- **Figure 7.** M102E inlet valves at end of test



362 Figure 8. M102E cylinder heads at end of test363

The Nigerian gasoline appears to be the fuel that generates more deposits. Clearly, the presence of a significant proportion of sulfur compounds, olefins and naphthenes generates more deposits as in the case of direct injection engine tests. It can be noted that the use of SP95+Mn induced a strength reddish-brown coloration of the combustion chamber as well as the exhaust valves (not shown here). This is a well-known phenomenon as the manganese oxides from combustion of

- 369 MMT-containing fuels contribute to reddish-brown deposits that form on engine and exhaust 370 components, including catalysts.[6]
- For a better comparison, the M102E results expressed as an amount of deposits per inlet valve are
- 372 presented in the Figure 9.



Figure 9. M102E intake valve deposits (IVD) and total combustion deposits (TCD) at end of test

376 The test precision is defined in the precision statement of the test method F-05-93 in Section 11: 377 Precision for F-05-93 is  $\pm$  43 mg per valve for deposit of fuels without additives and 12 mg/valve 378 for deposit of fuels with additives. The precision is evaluated during the latest round robin. In our 379 case, the methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl (MMT) and the dimethyl disulfide 380 (DMDS) were not considered as additives but rather as contaminants of the original SP95 fuel, 381 hence the  $\pm$  43 mg precision retained. The total combustion deposits consisting of the sum of piston 382 top deposits and cylinder head combustion deposits (CCD) are also given for information purposes 383 with the same trend as IVD.

384 These results confirm that the presence of sulfur compounds promotes the IVD and TCD 385 formation and suggests the nature of the S-derived compounds impacts quantitatively this 386 phenomenon. A compositional analysis by Gas Chromatography coupled with Sulfur 387 Chemiluminescent Detection was thus performed to identify the S species in the Nigerian fuel. The analysis revealed the significant presence of C0-, C1-, C2-, C3- thiophenes, 388 389 tetrahydrothiophenes, C0-, C1-, C2- benzothiophenes, mercaptans, sulfides, disulfides but the 390 absence of DMDS. Consequently, the DMDS added as a contaminant in the SP95 fuel plays a 391 minor role in the IVD/TCD formation. It is noteworthy that some authors [33,34] have identified 392 thiophene type compounds in gasoline deposits. Nevertheless, they considered that these 393 compounds are known not to affect deposit formation of fuels. More recent work [35,36] on jet 394 fuels autoxidation process have investigated the sulphur effect on deposits formation and 395 evidenced the impact of sulphur: the induction period, measured by PetroOxy, increase by 396 increasing mercaptan and di-tert-butyl-disulphite content. It seems that sulphur is acting slowing 397 down the time necessary to start the deposits formation. The authors have also shown that sulphur 398 impact overwhelms the aromatic impact.[36] These results indicate that the structure of the sulphur 399 molecule under study has a great impact by promoting or avoiding deposits formation. The role of 400 thiophenes as contaminants in gasoline fuels should be considered for further investigation.

401

### 402 Gasoline Oxidation Stability and Engine Deposits: A Comparison

As discussed previously, by comparing the PetroOxy and Autoclave results it is clear that they do
not follow the same oxidation process, in most cases, the stability is around 50% lower using
Autoclave reactor than using a PetroOxy reactor.

By normalizing the IP results and comparing to the engine tests (Figure 10) it is possible to obtain a 1<sup>st</sup> order correlation ( $R^2=0.9839$ ) between the PetroOxy test and the deposits mass formed using the indirect injection M102E engine (Figure 10a), whereas no correlation can be found with the VWEA111 engine test (Figure 10b). On the other hand, for the IP from Autoclave reactor there is a linear correlation ( $R^2=0.9869$ ) between the IP and the VWEA111 engine test (increase of the injection time in percentage) (Figure 10d) and no correlation with the M102E test (Figure 10c).

412 These results could indicate that deposits formed at the back face of the inlet valve using the engine 413 M102E could be related to the fuel oxidation probably due to fuel stagnation, and consequently, 414 fuel soaking in contact with a hot metallic surface favoring the deposits growth. Indeed, in Port 415 Fuel Injection engine as M102E, fuel injection takes place over the back face of the inlet valve, 416 when it is in a closed state during the exhaust stroke. Thus, a simple PetroOxy test could help to 417 predict the tendency of the fuel to form this type of deposit. By contrast it means also that PetroOxy 418 results is not adequate to identify the fuel tendency to form deposits at the nozzle injector in a 419 direct injection engine.

420 On the contrary, these results could indicated that the deposits obtained in the VWEA111 are 421 somehow related to the fuel flow and the fuel tendency to form deposits could be better predicted 422 by using a simple apparatus like Autoclave stirring reactor which is much easier and cheaper to 423 operate than an injection bench for example.



Figure 10. Comparison of IP and deposits: (a) IP<sub>PetroOxy</sub> vs M102E mass IVD, (b) IP<sub>PetroOxy</sub> vs
VWEA111, (c) IP<sub>Autoclave</sub> vs M102E mass IVD, (d) IP<sub>Autoclave</sub> vs VWEA111

### 429 CONCLUSION

430 The aim of this study was to evaluate the oxidation stability of a standard European gasoline SP95 431 Euro 6 E10 and the impact of additives such as methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl 432 (MMT) and dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) on deposits formation. The stability of these fuels were 433 compared to a commercial Nigerian gasoline which has higher sulfur content (800mg/kg) to 434 evaluate the sulfur effect on deposits formation. The oxidation stability obtained from PetroOxy 435 and Autoclave Stirring Reactor were compared to the real engines tendencies to form deposits. 436 The deposits targeted are those created on the injector nozzle of a VW EA111 engine (direct 437 injection), and on the intake valve using a M102E engine (indirect injection).

The oxygen consumption at 100°C, 140°C and 160°C was monitored in the PetroOxy cell. This information was used to determine global rate constants of oxidation, using a simple global kinetic model. The Activation Energy for gasoline oxidation in the liquid phase was about 21-25 kcal/mol.

The induction period from PetroOxy test shows the negative impact of DMDS and MMT on the
IP of the standard gasoline SP95 E10 ULG Euro 6: IP drops ~20% with MMT and ~30% with the
DMDS.

444 PetroOxy and Autoclave results do not show the same trend with the fuels IP being around 50% 445 lower when tested within the autoclave. This indicates that the oxidation mechanisms involved are 446 not the same and the fuel stirring has an important impact on the results. This feature is in line with 447 the comparison of the IP results in PetroOxy and Autoclave with the results of the direct injection 448 (VW EA111) and indirect injection (M102E) engine tests. The results suggest that the PetroOxy 449 IP follow the trend of the mass of deposits formed on the valves of the indirect injection, M102E, 450 engine tests, with the IP decreasing as the valve deposits mass increases which is well correlated 451 to a 1<sup>st</sup> order equation. On the contrary, the Autoclave results seem to follow the trend of the results 452 of the direct injection, VW EA111 engine tests: IP decreases linearly when the injection time 453 increases.

Indeed, the fuel oxidized into a PetroOxy cell is static whereas the fuel into the autoclave reactor is in a turbulent flow. This is an important feature to help to identify the best method to represent the deposits formation at different spare parts of the engine, i.e. the PetroOxy could better represent the oxidation that is observed in an environment where the fuel is stored (e.g. tank) or remains static in contact with a hot surface (e.g. inlet valves for PFI engines or injectors during soaking); and, on the other hand, the fuel oxidized into an autoclave reactor could represent better the 460 phenomena leading to deposits on engine parts where there is fuel flowing. Therefore, the results 461 could indicate that deposits formed at the indirect injector of engine M102E could be related to the 462 fuel oxidation probably due to fuel stagnation, and consequently, fuel soaking in contact with a 463 hot metallic surface favoring the deposits growth. Thus, a simple PetroOxy test could help to 464 predict the tendency of the fuel to form this type of deposit.

465 In addition, the results also indicate that the deposits obtained in the direct injection VWEA111 466 are somehow related to the fuel flow and the fuel tendency to form deposits could be better 467 predicted by using a simple apparatus like Autoclave stirring reactor which is much easier and 468 cheaper to operate than an injection bench. All the detailed chemical mechanisms involved in these 469 processes are not on the scopus of the present study and will be further investigated in the future. 470 A better comprehension of these processes could allow us to identify fuel tendencies to form 471 deposits on specific spare parts based only on lab scale methods, helping to optimize and to target 472 the maintenance operation and preventing failures or damages on real engine systems.

473

#### 474 ABBREVIATIONS

475 IP, Induction Period; SP95, Standard European gasoline SP95 Euro 6 containing 10%v/v

476 Ethanol; MMT, methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl; DMDS, dimethyl disulfide;

477 SP95+Mn, SP95+20mg/kg of MMT; SP95+S, SP95+500mg/kg of DMDS.

478

#### 479 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

480 The authors gratefully acknowledge the staff of Process Experimental Division and the Physical

481 Analysis Division at IFPEN for all the experimental analysis. They also acknowledge the staff of

- 482 the Analysis Departements of Solaize (CRES) and Le Havre (TRTG) at TOTAL for the chemical
- 483 and compositional analysis of fuels.
- 484

## 485 AUTHOR INFORMATION

## 486 **Corresponding Authors**

487 \*Dr. Maira Alves Fortunato, IFP Energies nouvelles, Institut Carnot IFPEN Transports Energie,

488 *l et 4 avenue de Bois-Préau, 92852 Rueil-Malmaison, France, ORCID : <u>https://orcid.org/0000-</u>
489 0001-5862-798X; E-mail: maira.fortunato@ifpen.fr* 

490

## 491 ORCID

- 492 M. Alves Fortunato: 0000-0001-5862-798X
- 493 A. Baroni: 0000-0002-4149-2836
- 494 M. Matrat: 0000-0002-9737-4353
- 495 M. Mazarin: 0000-0003-2604-8961
- 496 C. Boucaud: 0000-0002-6577-7481
- 497

## 498 Author Contributions

- 499 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval
- 500 to the final version of the manuscript. ‡These authors contributed equally

## 501 Bibliographie

- 502 [1] Pullen J., Saeed K. An overview of biodiesel oxidation stability, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy* 503 *Reviews*, 2012, **16**, 8, 5924-5950. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.06.024.
- Liu Z.H., Bi Q.C., Guo Y., Ma X.S., Yang Z.Q., Yan J.G., Hu S.L. Hydraulic and Thermal Effects of Coke
   Deposition during Pyrolysis of Hydrocarbon Fuel in a Mini-Channel, *Energy & Fuels*, 2012, 26, 6,
   3672-3679. DOI: 10.1021/ef3008079.
- 507 [3] Zhao H., Cao Y., Orndorff W., Cheng Y.-H., Pan W. Thermal behaviors of soy biodiesel, *Journal of* 508 *Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 2012, **109**, 3, 1145-1150. DOI: 10.1007/s10973-012-2551-8.
- 509 [4] Dodos G.S., Zannikos F. Microbiological Growth Study of Biodiesel Fuel, *SAE International Journal of* 510 *Fuels and Lubricants*, 2013, **6**, 2, 419-429. DOI: 10.4271/2013-01-1148.
- 511 [5] Goutham Kukkadapu, Kamal Kumar, Chih-Jen Sung, Marco Mehl, William J. Pitz. Autoignition of
   512 gasoline surrogates at low temperature combustion conditions, *Combustion and flame*, 2015, 162,
   513 5, 2272-2285. DOI: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2015.01.025.
- 514 [6] O. Samimi Abianeh, Matthew A. Oehlschlaeger, Chih-Jen Sung. A surrogate mixture and kinetic 515 mechanism for emulating the evaporation and autoignition characteristics of gasoline fuel, 516 Combustion and flows 2015 162 10 2772 2784 DOI: 10.1016/j.jeombustflows 2015 07.015
- 516 *Combustion and flame*, 2015, **162**, 10, 3773-3784. DOI: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2015.07.015.

- 517 [7] Christian Michelbach and Alison Tomlin. An experimental and kinetic modeling study of the ignition
   518 delay and heat release characteristics of a five component gasoline surrogate and its blends with
   519 iso-butanol within a rapid compression machine, *International Journal of Chemical Kinetics*, 2020,
   520 53, 787-808.
- [8] Can Shao, Goutham Kukkadapu, Scott W. Wagnon, William J. Pitz, S. Mani Sarathy. PAH formation
   from jet stirred reactor pyrolysis of gasoline surrogates, *Combustion and flame*, 2020, 219, 312 326. DOI: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2020.06.001.
- Jianwei Zhou, Yiqiang Pei, Zhijun Peng, Yanfeng Zhang, Jing Qin, Li Wang, Changwen Liu, Xiaoyu
   Zhang. Characteristics of near-nozzle spray development from a fouled GDI injector, *Fuel*, 2018,
   219, 17-29. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2018.01.070.
- [10] Zhang W., ding H., Shuai S., Zheng B., Cantlay A., Natarajan V., ZHAN Z.S., Pu Y. Effect of Fuel
   Detergent on Injector Deposit Formation and Engine Emissions in a Gasoline Direct Injection (GDI)
   Engine. International Powertrains, Fuels & Lubricants Meeting. SAE Technical Paper Series, OCT. 16,
   2017. SAE International400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA, United States, 2017.
- [11] Kazutoshi Noma, Toshiyuki Noda, Hidekatsu Isomura, Tsuyoshi Ashida, Ryuichiro Kamioka, Toru
  Isoda, Takahiro Nishida, Hiroyuki Goto and Atsushi Kameoka, Keiichi Koseki, Manabu Watanabe,
  Masahiro Seo, Syunichi Koide, Eiji Tanaka, Hiroyuki Fukui and Yuji Yamazaki, Satoshi Ohta, Yusuke
  Notsuki and Katsumi Tsuboi. A Study of Injector Deposits, Combustion Chamber Deposits (CCD) and
  Intake Valve Deposits (IVD) in Direct Injection Spark Ignition (DISI) Engines II, SAE International
  Journal of Fuels and Lubricants, 2003, 2003-01-3162.
- [12] P. J. Shayler, J. Dixon and R. M. Isaacs. The Use of Vehicle Drive Cycles to Assess Spark Plug Fouling
   Performance, *SAE International Journal of Fuels and Lubricants*, 1994, **1994-02-01**.
- 539 [13] Kazuhisa Mogi, Eishi Ohno, and Norihiko Nakamura. Spark Plug Fouling: Behavior and
   540 Countermeasure, *SAE Technical Paper*, 1992, **1992-09-01**.
- 541[14] Gregory Guinther and Scott Smith. Formation of Intake Valve Deposits in Gasoline Direct Injection542Engines, SAE International Journal of Fuels and Lubricants, 2016, 2016-01-2252.
- 543 [15] Andreas F. G. Glawar and Vinod Natarajan, Pauline R. Ziman and Adrian P. Groves, Kaihua Wu, Eike
  544 J. Wolgast and Carolin Dankers. Understanding the Adverse Effects of Inlet Valve Deposits on SI
  545 Engine Operation, through a Novel Technique to Create Surrogate Deposits, SAE International
  546 Journal of Fuels and Lubricants, 2018, 2018-01-1742.
- [16] L. Ganeau, M. Alves Fortunato, G. Pilla, G. Bruneaux, C. Schulz. A New Methodology to Study the
   Mechanisms of Combustion-Chamber Deposit Formation and the Effects of Engine Parameters on
   the Quantity and Morphology of Combustion-Chamber Deposits, *SAE International Journal of Fuels and Lubricants*, 2019, **2019-01-2355**.
- [17] Louise Ganeau. Development of a novel experimental methodology for characterizing low and high
   temperature solid, fuel-based deposits on metallic walls. Doctorat. Universität Duisburg-Essen,
   2021, 137 p.
- [18] Masao Kinoshita, Akinori Saito, Souichi Matsushita, Hitoshi Shibata, Yutaka Niwa. A Method for
   Suppressing Formation of Deposits on Fuel Injector for Direct Injection Gasoline Engine, SAE
   *Technical Paper*, 1999, **1999-01-3656**.
- [19] Alves Fortunato M., Lenglet F., Ben Amara A., Starck L. Are Internal Diesel Injector Deposits (IDID)
   Mainly Linked to Biofuel Chemical Composition or/and Engine Operation Condition? *International Powertrains, Fuels & Lubricants Meeting,* JAN. 22, 2019. SAE International400 Commonwealth
   Drive, Warrendale, PA, United States, 2019.
- [20] Kenza Bacha. Etude de l'Interaction entre le Carburant Diesel et les Composants du Système
   d'Injection Diesel. Université de Haute Alsace, 2016, 191 p.

- Sea [21] Ben Amara A., Kaoubi S., Starck L. Toward an optimal formulation of alternative jet fuels : Enhanced
   oxidation and thermal stability by the addition of cyclic molecules, *Fuel*, 2016, **173**, 98-105. DOI:
   10.1016/j.fuel.2016.01.040.
- 566 [22] Schaich K.M. Lipid Oxidation: Theoretical Aspects, in *Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products*. Éd. F.
   567 Shahidi. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2005.
- J. Andrew Waynick. CHARACTERIZATION OF BIODIESEL OXIDATION AND OXIDATION PRODUCTS CRC
   *Project No . AVFL-2b Prepared for : CRC Project No. AVFL-2b* SwRI® Project No. 08-10721. The
   Coordinating Research Council, August/2005.
- [24] Lacey P., Gail S., Kientz J.M., Benoist G., Downes P., Daveau C. Fuel Quality and Diesel Injector
   Deposits, *SAE International*, 2012, 1187-1198. DOI: 10.4271/2012-01-1693.
- 573 [25] Chatelain K., Nicolle A., Ben Amara A., Catoire L., Starck L. Wide Range Experimental and Kinetic
   574 Modeling Study of Chain Length Impact on n -Alkanes Autoxidation, *Energy & Fuels*, 2016. DOI:
   575 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b02470.
- 576 [26] Chatelain K., Nicolle A., Ben Amara A., Starck L., Catoire L. Structure–Reactivity Relationships in Fuel
   577 Stability : Experimental and Kinetic Modeling Study of Isoparaffin Autoxidation, *Energy & Fuels*,
   578 2018, **32**, 9, 9415-9426. DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b01379.
- 579 [27] Song H., Xiao J., Chen Y., Huang Z. The effects of deposits on spray behaviors of a gasoline direct 580 injector, *Fuel*, 2016, **180**, 506-513. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2016.04.067.
- [28] Jiang C., Xu H., Srivastava D., Ma X., Dearn K., Cracknell R., Krueger-Venus J. Effect of fuel injector
   deposit on spray characteristics, gaseous emissions and particulate matter in a gasoline direct
   injection engine, *Applied Energy*, 2017, **203**, 390-402. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.06.020.
- 584 [29] Song H., Xiao J., Yang X., Yu K., Huang Z. The effects of surface temperature on the deposit 585 behaviors of gasoline on a hot surface, *Fuel*, 2018, **215**, 111-122. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2017.11.017.
- [30] Christensen E., Fioroni G.M., Kim S., Fouts L., Gjersing E., Paton R.S., Mccormick R.L. Experimental
   and theoretical study of oxidative stability of alkylated furans used as gasoline blend components,
   *Fuel*, 2018, **212**, 576-585. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2017.10.066.
- 589 [31] ASTM. ASTM D7525 Test Method for Oxidation Stability of Spark Ignition Fuel-Rapid Small Scale
   590 Oxidation Test (RSSOT), 2019, Brevet . DOI: 10.1520/D7525-14R19E01.
- [32] Karl CHATELAIN. Etude de la stabilité à l'oxydation des carburants en phase liquide. Paris: ENSTA
   ParisTech, 15/12/2016, 134 p.
- 593 [33] Changsoo Kim, Spyros I. Tseregounis and Bruce E. Scruggs. Deposit Formation on a Metal Surface in
   594 Oxidized Gasolines, *SAE Technical Paper*, 1987, **872112**.
- 595 [34] W. F. Taylor, T. J. Wallace. Kinetics of Deposit Formation from Hydrocarbons. Effect of Trace Sulfur
   596 Compounds, *Eng. Chem. Prod. Res*, 1968, **7**.
- 597[35] Jacques Ancelle, Yanis, Melliti, Bruno Raepsaet, Frédéric Ser, Mickaël Sicard. Jetscreen Report D3.4598– Small scale thermal stability report : Projet H2020-MG-2016-two-stage. ONERA, 2020, 38 p.
- 599 [36] Maira Alves Fortunato, Livio Neocel, Mona Obadia, Emmanuelle Bracco, Axelle Baroni. Jetscreen
- 600Report D3.2 Experimental autoxidation stability : Projet H2020-MG-2016-two-stage, JET Fuel601SCREENing and Optimization. IFPEN, 2020, 45 p.
- 602