

Regeneration of an aged hydrodesulfurization catalyst: Conventional thermal vs non-thermal plasma technology

Hawraa Srour, Elodie Devers, Adrien Mekki-Berrada, Joumana Toufaily,

Tayssir Hamieh, Catherine Batiot-Dupeyrat, Ludovic Pinard

▶ To cite this version:

Hawraa Srour, Elodie Devers, Adrien Mekki-Berrada, Joumana Toufaily, Tayssir Hamieh, et al.. Regeneration of an aged hydrodesulfurization catalyst: Conventional thermal vs non-thermal plasma technology. Fuel, 2021, 306, pp.121674. 10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121674. hal-03597653

HAL Id: hal-03597653 https://ifp.hal.science/hal-03597653

Submitted on 22 Aug 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Regeneration of an Aged Hydrodesulfurization Catalyst: Conventional Thermal vs Non-Thermal Plasma Technology

Hawraa Srour ¹, Elodie Devers ², Adrien Mekki-Berrada ², Joumana Toufaily³, Tayssir Hamieh³,
 Catherine Batiot-Dupeyrat¹ and Ludovic Pinard ^{1,**}

5 ¹ Institut de Chimie des Milieux et Matériaux de Poitiers (IC2MP), UMR 7285 CNRS, 4 rue Michel 6 Brunet, Bâtiment B27, TSA 51106, 86073 Poitiers Cedex 9 - France. 7 ² IFP Energies nouvelles, Rond-point de l'échangeur de Solaize, BP 3, 69360 Solaize, France 8 ³ Laboratoire des matériaux, catalyse, environnement et méthodes analytiques (MCEMA), Université 9 Libanaise, Liban 10 * Corresponding authors: 11 ludovic.pinard@univ-poitiers.fr 12 catherine.batiot.dupeyrat@univ-poitiers.fr

13

14 Abstract

This compares the regeneration efficiency of an aged industrial 15 studv hydrodesulfurization catalyst (CoMoP/Al₂O₃) by conventional and alternative routes: 16 17 thermal oxidation versus non-thermal plasma technology (NTP). Spent, partially, and fully regenerated catalysts have been characterized by XRD, XPS, and toluene 18 hydrogenation to measure hydrogenating activity. Complete regeneration of the HDS 19 catalyst via NTP requires the heating of the dielectric barrier discharge plasma 20 reactor. Total removal of coke is obtained from 250 °C by applying only 8.6 W/g_{catalyst}, 21 against 400 °C by conventional thermal treatment. The hydrogenation activity of the 22 regenerated catalyst by NTP assisted by temperature is higher than that obtained by 23 traditional thermal regeneration practiced industrially. Plasma treatment mitigates the 24 oxide sintering but leads to the formation of cobalt oxide species preventing Co of 25 fully playing its role as MoS₂ slabs promoter. HDS catalyst regeneration using non-26 thermal plasma assisted by low temperature appears as a promising alternative to 27 28 thermal combustion. 29

- 30 Keywords: HDS catalyst, thermal regeneration, non-thermal plasma, coke
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- ...
- 40
- 41

42 **1. Introduction**

It is well known that air pollution is a severe environmental problem. The combustion of organosulfur and organonitrogen compounds present in feedstocks are responsible for the generation of atmospheric air pollutants such as SOx, NOx, and particulate matter. Consequently, hydrotreatment processes are required to remove such compounds before conversion into gas oil in refinery units. The sulfur content must be less than 10 ppm in commercial on-road gas oils [1].

The hydrodesulfurization (HDS) process is used to remove sulfur-containing compounds from gasoline and diesel fuels. The most widely employed catalyst for the hydrodesulfurization process is cobalt-molybdenum supported on alumina [2]. The industrial catalysts are relatively robust; for example, typical commercial HDS catalysts have a lifetime of 2–4 years in industrial applications [3]. However, deactivation is still a problem of great concern. The three typical causes of deactivation of hydroprocessing catalysts are coke, sintering, and contamination.

56 Coke deposition is one of the main reasons for the deactivation. Koh [4] identified two 57 types of carbonaceous species deposited on the CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst in the HDS of 58 dibenzothiophene (DBT): the reactive and the refractory species. The authors 59 indicated that the refractory deposit, or hard coke, was a significant contributor to the 60 deactivation. The alumina support is assumed to be rapidly covered by coke, while 61 the active sites remain protected by their high hydrogenation activity [5].

The coke can be eliminated by regeneration, the ex-situ method being the rule 62 nowadays for better performance recovery. Regeneration consists of controlled 63 oxidation, which removes coke and converts sulfides back to oxides. Traditionally, 64 the most widely used method for catalyst activity recovery is oxidative regeneration 65 using diluted-air. The experimental conditions used were optimized and particularly in 66 terms of temperature, as it must not exceed 500 °C to limit the formation of 67 crystallized species such as CoMoO₄ or CoAl₂O₄ [6]. However, the presence of these 68 phases is frequently observed after the regeneration step, while they are known to be 69 refractory to sulfidation, influencing the HDS activity negatively [7]. 70

The more recent works on catalyst regeneration are dealing with rejuvenation, i.e. reactivation using organic additives after thermic regeneration [8-9]. Recent works

start to deal with global cycle life of catalyst which of course include regeneration [10-11]

Consequently, there are needs to propose new strategies to perform catalyst regeneration. Among alternative processes and as indicated in the paper: "The 2020 plasma catalysis roadmap" [12], non-thermal plasma treatment appears as an effective technique for removing coke from various spent catalysts [13].

Under plasma containing oxygen, active species are produced, such as O_{2⁺}, O⁻, O₂⁻ 79 and $O_{3^{-}}$ [14], able to oxidize heavy molecules (graphitic or polyaromatic coke 80 81 molecules [15] at atmospheric pressure and room temperature. The use of a Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) plasma eliminated solid carbon of a Pt-Sn/Al₂O₃ 82 catalyst using a pin to plate DBD plasma geometry [16]. A similar system was 83 successfully used for the complete regeneration of coked zeolite [17, 18] and an 84 85 industrial aged hydrotreating catalyst [19]. A coaxial DBD reactor is more suitable for an application of industrial scale. This configuration was also employed to regenerate 86 87 a coked HFAU zeolite, and a kinetic study was performed, exhibiting the formation of carboxylic compounds as intermediates to CO₂ [205]. 88

The efficiency of this alternative regeneration process depends strongly on the nature of coke. Higher character polycyclic compounds of the coke, lower their reactivity relative to the short-lived oxygenated species [20].

In this study, the regeneration of an aged industrial hydrodesulfurization catalyst was investigated in a fixed bed DBD plasma reactor. The plasma treatment was performed in the temperature range 70 to 300 °C since it has been shown that plasma is able to regenerate catalysts at temperatures lower than those of typical thermal regeneration [13]. The efficiency of the conventional and plasma routes will be compared in terms of recovery of toluene hydrogenation activity.

98 2. Experimental

⁹⁹ The catalyst studied is a used industrial phosphorus-doped CoMoP/Al₂O₃ ¹⁰⁰ hydrotreatment catalyst, with the following mass composition 20.5%, MoO₃, 4.0% ¹⁰¹ CoO, 5.2% P₂O₅. The surface area determined by the BET method is 145 m²/g.

102 . It was recovered from a hydrodesulfurization process after two years on stream.
103 Before any treatment, the catalyst was washed with toluene in a Soxhlet type device
104 to extract the coke portion soluble in toluene (soft coke). The spent catalyst is

refluxed at 250 °C for 7 hours. The catalyst is then placed in a primary vacuum oven
(30 mbar) at 150 °C for 3 hours. Before regeneration, spent catalysts were crushed
and sieved to obtain homogeneous particles (0.1-0.2 mm).

108 The regeneration reactor is a cylindrical dielectric barrier discharge plasma reactor 109 (DBD reactor) located in a furnace (Figure 1 The temperature of the oven was regulated with a thermocouple at the inner wall of the oven. The Non-Thermal 110 Plasma (NTP) reactor is made of an alumina tube of 6 mm of outer diameter. 111 Alumina ceramic reactors were already used in various plasma applications due to 112 their well-known long life, chemical stability, high hardness, temperature resistance 113 [21-23]. The outer electrode, a copper sheet, is attached to the reactor by a special 114 conductive glue. The inner electrode, a stainless steel rod (1.16 mm), is centred 115 inside the reactor by a Teflon circle piece. Quartz wool folded around the inner 116 electrode just under the plasma zone level holds the catalyst. The inner diameter of 117 the reactor is 4 mm this corresponds to a gap of 1.42 mm. The plasma discharge is 118 maintained in the zone between the two electrodes along 20 mm in length. The 119 electrodes were connected to a high voltage (HV) sinusoidal generator. A low-120 frequency generator (GBF) from TTi® model TG1010A was coupled to a signal 121 amplifier from TREK® model 30 / 20A. The signal delivered by the GBF is sinusoidal. 122 The amplifier increases the voltage supplied by the GBF (x 3000 peak to peak), 123 124 allowing it to work at high voltages. Power is supplied by coupling the low frequency generator and the amplifier. The high voltage is transmitted to the central electrode, 125 126 located in the reactor, through a long shielded cable. The deposited power was measured by Q-U Lissajous method [24]. 127

Non-thermal plasma regeneration (NTP-R) was carried out with gas feed composed 128 of 20 vol% O₂ in He. Helium promotes energy transfer through the Penning effect to 129 increase the concentration of active oxygen species $(O_2^+, O^-, O_2^- \text{ and } O_3)$ in the gas 130 phase [17-20]. The GHSV through the plasma reactor, calculated at 20 °C and 1 atm, 131 was fixed to 6 000 h⁻¹, and the catalyst mass used is 350 mg. **NTP-R** was carried out 132 at an input temperature of 70, 150, 200, and 300 °C. The catalytic bed temperature 133 was measured with optic fiber in contact catalyst (Figure 1), and it was observed that 134 it reaches approximately 100 °C when 70°C was applied and was not significantly 135 modified for 150 °C and higher input temperatures because to the regulation of oven 136 which takes account additional heat from NTP 137

The gas flow composition after the reactor was determined by on-line gas chromatographic analysis (Micro GC Varian CP 4900) equipped with a TCD detector, using a "COx column." Only CO₂ and CO are measured, the organic molecules (VOC) and water are trapped upstream of the μ GC in order to protect it.

Thermal regeneration was carried out in the DBD plasma reactor (**TR**_{DBD reactor}) through a fixed bed in the same operating conditions (20% vol O₂, GHSV= 6000 h⁻¹, $m_{catalyst}$ =350 mg) as these used for the plasma regeneration, except that the generator is turned off. The temperature of the oven is fixed at 320, 350, 380, and 450 °C.

Optimized thermal regeneration (O-TR) consists of controlled combustion by injecting 147 oxygen through a solenoid valve. The spent catalyst is placed in a vertical furnace 148 under nitrogen flow (6L.h⁻¹.g⁻¹). The heating ramp is set at 5°C/min until 250 °C ±10 149 °C is reached. The oxygen flow rate is then adjusted to inject 5% vol. of oxygen. If 150 151 the exothermicity exceeds 2 °C, the solenoid valve closes automatically, and the catalyst is flushed with nitrogen only. The oxygen injection only resumes when the 152 153 temperature has dropped to 250 °C under 5% vol., 10% vol. Oxygen is injected, and when there is no more exothermicity at 250 °C under this condition, the oxygen feed 154 is stopped. The catalyst is heated under nitrogen to the next temperature (maximum 155 50 °C) at the desired temperature; the oxygen injections start again under the same 156 conditions as before. The catalyst is regenerated at different temperatures between 157 300 and 450 °C. 158

The amount of carbon and sulfur of the spent, partially, and fully regenerated catalysts was measured using a C.E. Instruments NA2100 PROTEIN elementary analyser.

162 X-ray diffraction patterns of the fresh, spent, and partially regenerated catalysts 163 were recorded using a Malvern Panlytical ®, Empyrean model diffractometer 164 operating with Cu K α 1 (radiation (I=0.15406 nm) in the range of 10° <20 < 80° 165 with a step of 0.04° using a zero background holder with a 32 mm diameter.

166 XPS characterizations were made on the catalysts after the toluene 167 hydrogenation catalytic test (see more below). The XPS sampling of the

catalysts was performed in a glove box under an argon atmosphere to prevent 168 the partial reoxidation of MoS₂ nanocrystallites. The samples were crushed 169 and pressed onto an indium foil on the sample holder and moved to the 170 introduction chamber of the XPS spectrometer. The XPS spectra were 171 recorded on a Kratos Axis Supra instrument assembled with an aluminum 172 monochromator source (1486.6 eV) and a hemispherical analyzer functioning 173 at fixed pass energy of 20 eV. The measurements were made at 20 °C in steps 174 of 0.1 eV for cobalt, 0.1 eV for sulfur, and 0.1 eV for molybdenum, and at a 175 pressure lower than 10-12 bar in the sample analysis chamber. C 1s peak at 176 284.6 eV was used as an internal standard for binding energy calibration. The 177 curves were integrated by applying a Shirley type baseline. The collected 178 spectra were analyzed by using Plug Im! software. The decomposition of the S 179 180 2p, Mo 3d and Co 2p signals was performed using the appropriate oxide and sulfided references as supported monometallic catalysts and according to the 181 methods already described, and particularly to quantify the promoted CoMoS 182 phase [25-26]. The Mo 3d spectra of sulfided catalysts were first decomposed 183 (Figure 2a). Three different oxidation degrees of molybdenum were found: 184 Mo^{+VI} (232.2 eV), Mo^{+V} (MoS_xO_y, 229.8 eV), and Mo^{+IV} (MoS₂, 228.7 eV). They 185 were, respectively, attributed to the oxide, oxysulfide, and sulfide phases. We 186 then fixed a gap of 549.95 eV between MoS₂ and CoMoS and 0.49 eV 187 between CoMoS and Co₉S₈, the third contribution being the cobalt oxide, 188 which has to be integrated to correctly fit the spectrum (+/0.5 eV). (Figure 2b) 189

Similarly, the decomposition of the S 2p reveals three components, corresponding to three local environments: S_{Sulf} (fully sulfided), SO_x (oxysulfide species), and $S_{Sulfates}$ (fully oxidized)). The fully oxidized form of S detected here is due to the partial exposure of the sample to air while transferring it to the XPS sampling chamber

The XPS decomposition led to the quantification of the relative amount of eachspecies as follows:

197

$$[j] = \frac{A_j/S_J}{\sum_{i=1}^{l=n} A_i/S_i} \times 100$$
 (Eq.1)

where A_i is the measured area of each species, S_i is the sensitivity factor for the atom related to the species i. [j] is the relative amounts of the various species. The molybdenum sulfidation rate (SR) is calculated by assuming that all Mo is transformed into MoS₂. Mo SR is defined as

202

$$MoSR = \frac{[MoS_2]}{[Mo]} \times 100 \tag{Eq.2}$$

203 The ratio of Co/Mo in the sheets (Co/Mo)_{sheet} is calculated as:

$$\left(\frac{Co}{Mo}\right)_{sheet} = \frac{[CoMoS]}{[MoS_2]}$$
(Eq.3)

205

204

206 The impact of regeneration on catalytic performances was evaluated in toluene hydrogenation reaction using a fixed bed unit reactor Flowrence rom Avantium. This 207 208 reaction is considered as a model reaction for HDS catalyst since the most refractory species such as 4,6DMDBT react according to two main paths, the direct 209 desulfurization (DDS) and the hydrogen path (HYD). This last one need the 210 hydrogenation of aromatic rings before the rupture of the C-S bond and is the main 211 212 path as described in Pérot, G. et al. [27]. As a consequence, using Toluene hydrogenation in presence of DMDS is a suitable model reaction. The main products 213 are Methylcyclohexane, Ethylcyclopentane and Dimethylcyclopentane. 214

The reactor was filled with 450 µL of the catalyst diluted in Zirblast®. The feed was 215 composed of dimethyldisulfide (DMDS, 5.8 wt%) and toluene (20 wt%) in 216 cyclohexane (74.2 wt%). The hydrogen to feed ratio (H₂/HC) was kept at 450 NL.L⁻¹ 217 during the test and the in-situ presulfidation step, which was performed at a liquid 218 hourly space velocity (LHSV) of 4 h⁻¹ with a total pressure of 60 bar for 2 h. The 219 temperature was increased from room temperature to 350 °C with a ramp of 2°C min⁻ 220 ¹. After this presulfidation step, LHSV was turned down to 2 h⁻¹. The liquid products of 221 the reaction at different temperatures were analyzed by gas chromatography using a 222 DB1 column. The first-order rate constant (k, h⁻¹) was calculated by the following 223 expression: 224

225
$$k'_{regenerated catalyst} = LHSV * \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right), LHSV = \frac{flow rate of the liquid feed}{volume of the catalyst}$$
 (Eq. 4)

226

227 x is the percentage conversion of toluene (HYD) in the feed. LHSV is the liquid hourly 228 space velocity (h^{-1}). All the catalytic results will then be expressed as the Relative 229 Volumic Activity *RVA*(*HYD*), the industrial regenerated catalyst activity being the 230 reference, according to the following equation :

231
$$RVA(HYD) = \frac{k'_{regenerated catalyst}}{k' indus rege catalyst (internal reference)}$$
 (Eq. 5)

232

233 3. Results and discussion

3.1. Conventional regeneration method (TRDBD reactor)

The carbon and sulfur contents on the spent catalyst, determined by elementary analysis, are 13.0 and 8.8 wt. %, respectively. Sulfur derives largely from the remaining sulfur phase , i.e., CoMoS, MoS₂, Co₉S₈ [28].

The thermal regeneration of the spent catalyst was carried out under 100 mL.min⁻¹ airflow at a range of temperature 320-450 °C. **Figure 3** shows the cumulative yields of CO₂ and CO as a function of the treatment time at different temperatures and the relative C and S removals measured by elemental analysis after 1 hour. Regardless of the protocols used for thermal regeneration: fixed bed (**TRDBD** reactor) reactor, with (**O-TR**) or without isothermal conditions, coke and sulfur removal rates are similar.

Cumulated yields into CO₂ measured for **TR**_{DBD} reactor</sub> exhibit a rapid and high 244 increase as soon as the fixed temperature is reached (corresponding to point 0), 245 exhibiting a plateau after 20 minutes of thermal treatment at 380 and 450 °C while 246 the yield into CO_x still increases until 60 minutes at the lowest temperature, 320 and 247 350 °C. (Figure 3a). It is probably due to the reaction's exothermicity, which 248 significantly increases the temperature into the catalytic bed. Comparing the yield into 249 CO_x with C removal determined after regeneration (**Figure 3b**), it can be observed 250 that the carbon balance is incomplete, whatever the temperature. The amount of C 251 252 removed as CO_x reaches 85 % at 450 °C, proving that heavy coke molecules are not selective into CO_x. This partial selectivity means that one part of the coke molecules 253 is oxidized into desorbed products that are not detected, assumed as intermediate 254 products [18-20]. 255

It is confirmed that it is easier to remove sulfur than carbon under thermal treatments
[5]. Probably, the location of a large portion of sulfur on the metallic phase as MoS₂
slabs facilitates its oxidation. A significant quantity (75 %) of sulfur was eliminated at
the lower temperature, 320 °C.

Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of the spent catalyst and the thermally treated samples at different temperatures. For the spent catalyst, Al₂O₃ Bragg peaks are recognized at 2Θ = 33.4°, 37°, 39.5°, 46.1°, 66.7° and MoS₂ at 59°. The TEM Micrograph of the spent catalyst before treatment (**Figure 5**) displays black thread-like fringes, which corresponds to the structure of molybdenum disulfide MoS₂ slabs [5]. The MoS₂ slabs are randomly oriented and homogeneous distributed on the alumina support.

Regardless of the thermal treatment, Al_2O_3 Bragg peaks are always detected. However, the patterns exhibit high noise leading to undefined signals. Starting from 300 °C, the Bragg peaks assigned to MoS₂ disappeared, in coherence with S removal. At high temperature, 500 °C, a new range of peaks appeared between $2\theta =$ 26.5° and 39.5°, assigned to CoMoO₄; which are species refractory to sulfidation [29]. This is paired with the sintering effect and could be attributed to the aggregates (Al, O, P, Co, Mo) observed by TEM at 500 °C **Figure 5**).

273

3.2. Regeneration by non-thermal plasma (NTP-R)

The coked catalyst was treated by plasma in the temperature range: 70-300 °C. 275 Experiments were performed to keep the frequency at 2 kHz, while the applied 276 voltage varied from 7 to 12kV. As expected, the deposited power increases with the 277 applied voltage regardless of the temperature (Figure 6a). With the increase in 278 temperature, a shift in the breakdown voltage to lower values is observed. At 70 °C, 279 the plasma appears from 9 kV, while only 6.5 kV is enough at 300 °C. Otherwise, to 280 obtain an applied power of 5 W, an input voltage of 11.6 kV is needed at 70 °C, while 281 7.2 kV at 300 °C. The power depends on both the input voltage and the temperature 282 (see Lissajous Figures in SI). Higher the temperature, lower the gas density, and 283 higher the power [30]. 284

As a corresponding sum up of these operational results, **Figure 6b** allows selecting the suitable operating conditions (U, T, P) to progress the study of iso-power plots, making it possible to know the value of input voltage needed to deposit an applied power (P_A) at a specific temperature. Therefore in this article, the results will be measured using a chosen P_A.

Figure 7 shows the light-off curves of C and S removals, the yield into COx, and carbon balance as a function of the temperature under plasma-assisted by temperature (**NTP-R**) at different applied power (P_A). This data is compared with the

relative thermal treatment evolutions. With NTP-R, up to 150 °C, there is no 293 significant modification of the C removal by temperature (Figure 7a) with C removal 294 depending only on the amount of active species generated (P_A). From 200 °C, there 295 is a rapid increase in C removal, which becomes total at 300 °C, regardless of the P_A 296 (Figure 7a). By opposition, S removal (Figure 7b) appears more sensitive to 297 temperature, with a constant increase starting from low temperatures. These results 298 show that the regeneration of HDS catalysts via NTP need to be temperature 299 assisted. At a fixed temperature, both C and S removals are proportional to the PA 300 301 (Figures 8a and 8b). In opposition to thermal treatment plasma promotes easier C removal than S removal at a lower temperature (Figures 7 and 8). Indeed, the most 302 303 significant benefit of NTP-R is the lower temperature needed to reach total C and S removals. A gap of $\Delta T=100$ °C at a range of P_A of 2W-6W, and $\Delta T=200$ °C at a P_A of 304 305 7W were observed (Figures. 7a and 7b).

Regardless of temperature and P_A, the yield of CO_x is always lower than C removal (**Figures 7c and 8c**). This difference indicates that C balance (**Figure 6d**) for **NTP-R** is incomplete as observed with thermal treatment. It was observed that C balance depends mainly on the applied temperature (**Figure 7d**), the higher the temperature, the higher the carbon balance. Unfortunately , XRD evidences traces of CoMoO₄ formation even at 200°C under plasma discharge (**Figure 4**).

From the collected data, it is possible to calculate apparent activation energy (E_a) 312 using Arrhenius law (Figures 9a and 9b). The -Ln(C removal) and -ln(S removal) 313 plots exhibit straight lines with a proportional linear increase as a function of 1/T at 314 the different P_A . The equivalent slopes, which are equal to $(-E_a/R)$, increase with the 315 decrease in the P_A in **NTP-R** treatments, with a higher slope in the case of thermal 316 treatment. Figure 10 reports the Ea of C and S removals as a function of the PA for 317 **NTP-R** compared to the thermal treatment. It appears that with thermal treatment, the 318 E_a is four times lower in the case of S removal with a value of 15 kJ.mol⁻¹. The 319 decomposition of MoS₂ slabs requires lower activation energy than coke oxidation 320 reaction ($E_a = 60 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$). The most important is the comparison with **NTP-R**, in 321 which, there is a large drop in E_a of C removal to 25 kJ.mol⁻¹ at only a P_A of 2W, while 322 it is not the case for S where E_a at 2W is similar to thermal. As a function of P_A of 323 **NTP-R**, for both C and S, there is no significant difference as recognized in thermal, 324 and E_a exhibits a continuous decrease as a function of P_A reaching values lower than 325

10 kJ.mol⁻¹ at higher P_A. It highlighted that the mechanism involved in C and S
removals seems to be different between thermal treatment and NTP-R

The apparent activation energy for thermal regeneration is similar to that measured for the catalytic oxidation of light alkanes and aromatics (54-75 kJ.mol⁻¹) [31-34], and much lower than that of their pyrolysis, which occurs by C-C bond breaking ($E_a > 160$ kJ mol⁻¹) [32]. Moreover, E_a for NTP operating at moderate temperature is comparable to those measured for the attack of the oxygen radical on *n*-alkanes [34]:

333
$$n - C_x H_{2x+2} + 0^{\circ} \rightarrow n - C_x H_{2x+1}^{\circ} + H0^{\circ}, \qquad E_a = 7 - 16 \, kJ. \, mol^{-1}.$$

These values suggest that the NTP oxidation mechanism follows a radical 334 mechanism and initiates by breaking the C-H bond. The oxidation mechanism of 335 thermal regeneration is different than the one occurring during NTP. Figure 336 **11a** supports this assumption, since it reports the product selectivity for both 337 treatments by the plots of the yields into CO_x as a function of the coke conversion. By 338 extrapolating the beginnings of the plots, CO_x seems to be the primary product in 339 thermal treatment, while in **NTP-R** it is a secondary product. Figure 11b shows the 340 molar ratio CO₂/CO versus the applied temperature at different PA. At low 341 temperatures (<200 °C), the selectivity into CO₂ is much higher than CO, as indicated 342 by the high ratio that reaches 25 mol/mol at 70 °C. While at high temperatures (> 200 343 °C), the molar ratio decreases, then becomes almost constant at 5 mol/mol 344 regardless of the PA and equal to that of the thermal treatment. 345

346 XRD evidences CoMoO₄ formation even at 200°C under plasma discharge .

347 3.3. Comparison of the performances of the industrial (I-TR) and optimised 348 thermal (O-TR) and to NTP regeneration (NTP-R) routes.

Figure 12 compares the relative volumic activity (RVA) in toluene hydrogenation of
regenerated catalyst by optimized thermal and NTP technologies; with the industrial
regenerated catalyst activity being used as the reference (data supplied by IFPEN).
Industrial, optimized thermal and non-thermal plasma regeneration are named IT-R,
OT-R, and NTP-R, respectively. (Table 1) It is worth mentioning that results obtained
on the NTP reactor (turn-off) and at the lab scale at IFPEN (OT-R) are similar (Figure 35).

The Regeneration in an industrial unit eliminates coke but leads to undesirable CoMoO₄ species' formation due to hot spots. RVA increases in proportion to coke removal, suggesting that coke is rather located on the hydrogenating sites (MoS₂) than on the support (Al₂O₃). Yet, thermal regeneration, performed with different temperature plateaus, limits and even prevents at a lower temperature the formation of CoMoO₄.

This regeneration protocol improves the hydrogenation activity by 1.4 compared to the conventional industrial thermal regeneration. A substantial benefit (x 1.25) is obtained after **NTP-R** at 300 °C. Regardless of the applied power (3, 4, and 7 W) and the temperature used (200 and 300 °C), RVA after plasma regeneration at low temperature is also proportional to the coke elimination. The lower recovery efficiency of the hydrogenation activity by the **NTP-R** is not merely due to the presence of CoMoO₄. But probably to the modifications of the catalyst surface.

369 XPS characterizations were performed on the sulfide catalyst after toluene 370 hydrogenation (**Figure 2**). **Table 1** compares the sulfidation rate and metal 371 distribution with different coordination (Co Ox, S-Co, CoMoS) over catalysts 372 regenerated using industrial and optimized thermal conditions and NTP at low 373 temperatures. The NTP treatment leads to a slight increase in the molar ratios Mo/Al, 374 suggesting a somewhat higher MoS₂ dispersion after non-thermal plasma 375 regeneration than upon the optimized thermal treatment.

The advantage of NTP regeneration over thermal is the mitigation of oxide sintering. 376 The ratios of (Co/Mo) sheets are similar on the catalysts after IT-R and NTP-R, but 377 lower than after optimized thermal regeneration. The thermal regeneration 378 optimization leads to better promotion of MoS₂ slabs by cobalt, consistent with a 379 slightly higher % of CoMoS. The regeneration route has thus a real impact on the 380 distribution of Co species after sulfiding treatment. The proportion of Co coordinated 381 to a sulfur (Co-S) is significantly higher after **NTP-R** than after OT-R. Thus, cobalt no 382 longer plays its role as a promoter, which harms the hydrogenation activity. 383

384

385 Conclusion

The regeneration of the hydrodesulfurization catalyst is a challenge of great concern for oil refineries. The coke removal from an aged CoMoP/Al₂O₃ HDS industrial catalyst was studied using a non-thermal plasma reactor with coaxial geometry. NTP regeneration was carried out at a temperature ranging from 70 and 300 °C by applying power between 2 and 7W.

Complete removal of sulfur and coke is obtained under air from 400 °C but leads to the formation of undesirable species (CoMoO₄), which yields to an irreversible deactivation of the HDS catalyst. The apparent activation energies on coke and sulfur removal are 60 and 15 kJ. mol⁻¹, respectively.

At low temperature (< 150 °C and under non-thermal plasma (NTP) conditions), the oxidation efficiency of coke and sulfur is proportional to the power applied, but their removals remain partial. Complete regeneration of the catalyst requires the heating of the NTP reactor. Thus, the total elimination of sulfur and coke is obtained from 250 °C by applying only 3 W power. The apparent activation energy for coke removal is divided by more than three and becomes close to that encountered for the oxidation of hydrocarbon molecules by radical oxygen.

However, XRD evidences CoMoO₄ formation even at 200°C under plasma discharge. 402 Catalytic performances and XPS characterization suggest that the modification of 403 catalyst surface with the formation of new oxidic species other than CoMoO4 is 404 405 responsible for a lower quantity of CoMoS phase after plasma regeneration leading to the lower recovery efficiency of the NTP treated catalyst when compared to 406 optimized isothermal oxidation (OT-R). Despite the presence of CoMO₄ and species 407 of oxides preventing the cobalt from entirely playing its role as a promoter, the 408 hydrogenation activity of regenerated HDS catalyst is higher than that obtained by 409 conventional thermal regeneration in an industrial unit. 410

Non-thermal plasma regeneration is an alternative to the thermal route but still needs to be improved to avoid the formation of hot spots or maintain Co's promoter role. The oxidation of the catalyst surface must be controlled by adjusting the oxygen concentration in the feed gas, as in thermal regeneration optimization. Moreover, an optimization of the regeneration conditions (frequency variation, nature of the electrical signal, regeneration by sequences, etc.) should make it possible to achieve the same performances as those obtained with optimized thermal regeneration.
Moreover, the use of NTP for catalyst rejuvenation could also be considered.

419

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge IFPEN for the financial support. Hawraa Srour thanks the Lebanese University and IC2MP for the PhD grant. The authors acknowledge financial support from the European Union (ERDF) and "Région Nouvelle Aquitaine". The authors would be grateful to O. Delpoux and L. Lemaitre for the XPS analysis, S. Lopez for technical support in catalyst preparation and regeneration.

426

427 **References**

- 428 [1] V. Rabarihoela-Rakotovao, F. Diehl, S. Brunet, Catal. Lett., 129 (2009) 50-60.
- 429 [2] J.A. Rob Van Veen, Catal. Today, 292 (2017) 2-25.
- 430 [3] C.H. Bartholomew, R.C. Reuel, Chem. Eng., 24 (1985) 56-61.
- 431 [4] J. H. Koh, J. J. Lee, H. Kim, A. Cho et S. H. Moon, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 86
 432 (2009) 176–181.
- 433 [5] B. Guichard, M. Roy-Auberger, C. Pichon, Appl. Catal. A, 367 (2009) 9-22.
- 434 [6] Y. Yoshimura, T. Sato, H. Shimada, N. Matsubayashi, Energy & Fuels, 8
 435 (1994) 435-445.
- 436 [7] N.-Q. Bui, C. Geantet, G. Berhault, J. Catal., 330 (2015) 374-386.
- 437 [8] A. Pimerzin, A. Roganov, A. Mozhaev, K. Maslakov, P. Nikulshin, A. Pimerzin
 438 Fuel Processing Technology 173 (2018) 56–65.
- 439 [9] N.-Q. Bui, C. Geantet, G. Berhault, Applied Catalysis A, General 572 (2019)
 440 185–19.
- 441 [10] Y. Liu, S. Lu, X. Yan, S. Gao, X. Cui, Z. Cui, Journal of Cleaner Production
 442 256 (2020) 120422
- 443 [11] A. Pathak, M. Vinoba, R. Kothari, Richa, Crit. Rev. Env. Sc. Tech. 51 (2021) :
 444 1-43.
- [12] A. Bogaerts, X. Tu, C. Xhiteheas, G. Centi, L. Lefferts, O. Guaitella, F.
 Assolina-Jury, H.-H. Kim, A. B. Murphy, W. F. Schneider, T. Nozaki, J. C.
 Hicks, A. Rousseau, F. Thevenet, A. Khacef, M. Carreon, J. Phys. D: Appl.
 Phys. (2020) 53 443001

- 449 [13] D.H. Lee, Y.H. Song, K.T. Kim, S.Jo, H. Kang, Catal. Today, 337 (2019) 15450 27.
- 451 [14] B. Eliasson, M. Hirth, U. Kogleschatz, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys., 20 (1987) 1421452 1437.
- 453 [15] Y. Fan, Y. Cai, X. Li, H. Yin, L. Chen, S. Liu, J. Anal. Appl. Pyro, 111 (2015)
 454 209-215.
- [16] N. Hafez Khiabani, S. Fathi, B. Shokri, S. I. Hosseini, Appl. Catal. A : Gen, 493
 (2015) 8-16.
- 457 [17] L. Jia, Al Farouha, L. Pinard, S. Hedan, J. -D Comparot, A. Dufour, K. Ben
 458 Tayeb, H. Vezin, C. Batiot-Dupeyrat, Appl. Catal. B: Env, 219 (2017) 82-91.
- 459 [18] L. Pinard, N. Ayoub et C. Batiot-Dupeyrat, Plasma Chem. and Plasma
 460 Process., 239-4 (2019) 929-936.
- 461 [19] H. Srour, A. Alnaboulsi, A. Astafan, E. Devers, J. Toufaily, T. Hamieh, L.
 462 Pinard, C. Batiot-Dupeyrat, Catalysts, 9 (2019) 783-800.
- 463 [20] A. Astafan, C. Batiot-Dupeyrat, L. Pinard, J. Phys. Chem. C, 123 (14) (2019)
 464 9168-9175.
- 465 [21] A. H. Khoja, M. Tahir et N. A. S. Amin, Energy Conv. and Manag., 144 (2017)
 262–274.
- 467 [22] M. S. Gandhi , Y. S. Mok, J. Env. Sci., 24(7) (2012) 1234–1239.
- 468 [23] S. K. Kundu, E. M. Kennedy, V. V. Gaikwad, T. S. Molloy, Bogdan Z.
 469 Dlugogorski, Chem. Eng. J., 180 (2012) 178–189.
- 470 [24] M. Hołub, Int. J. of Appl. Electromagnetics and Mechanics, 39 (2012) 81–87.
- 471 [25] A. D. Gandubert, C. Legens, D. Guillaume, S. Rebours, E. Payen, Oil Gas
 472 Sci.Technol. 62 (2007) 79- 83.
- 473 [26] A. D. Gandubert, C. Legens, D. Guillaume, E. Payen, Surf. Inter. Anal. 38
 474 (2006) 206-209
- 475 [27] G. Perot, Catal. Today 86 (2003) 111-128.
- 476 28] M. Marafi, A. Stanislaus , Appl.Catal. A: Gen., 159 (1997) 259-267.
- 477 [29] P.D. Hopkins et B.L. Meyers, Ind. Eng. Chem., Prod. Res. Dev., 3 (1983) 421478 426.
- 479 [30] B. Pietruska, M. Heintze, Catal. Today, 90 (2004) 151-158.
- 480 [31] M. Aryada , F. Zaera, Catal. Lett., 48 (1997) 173-183.
- 481 [32] T. F. Garetto, C. R. Aspeteguia, Appl Catal. B: Env.l, 32 (2001) 83-94.
- 482 [33] A; Ristori, P. Dagaut, M. Cathonnet, Combust Flame, 125 (2001) 1128-1137.

483	[34]	W. Mayer, L. Schieler, J. Phys Chem, 73 (1968) 2628-2631.
484		
485		
486		
487		
488		
489		
490		
491		
492		
493		

Figure and table captions

- Table 1:Operating conditions of industrial and optimised thermal regeneration
processes and non-thermal plasma at low temperature. Sulfidation rate
and metals repartition by XPS over catalyst after toluene hydrogenation
- Figure 1: Dielectric barrier discharge plasma reactor.
- Figure 2: Deconvolution XPS spectra of MO (a), Co (b) and S (c) elements.
- Figure 3: (a) Cumulative yield into CO_x as a function of time at different regeneration temperatures: 320, 350, 380, and 450 °C, and (b) the relative C and S removals after 64 minutes.
- Figure 4: XRD patterns of spent catalyst before (a) and after thermal regeneration at 200 (b), 300 (c) and 500°C (d), in blue after plasma treatment at 200°C with 7W.
- Figure 5: TEM images of spent catalyst before after thermal regeneration during 30minutes at 500 °C
- Figure 6: Impact of temperature on deposited power (P):

(a) P at different temperatures: 70, 150, 200 and 300°C as a function of the applied voltage;

(b) Applied voltage (U) required to obtain a deposited power of 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7W as a function of the temperature.

- Figure 7: Impact of temperature on the efficiency of non-thermal plasma on: (a,b) carbon and sulfur removal, (c) cumulated conversion to COx (CO₂₊CO), (d) carbon balance and comparison with thermal regeneration (Full symbol). Results obtained after 1h regeneration at different applied powers (2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7W).
- Figure 8: Impact of applied power (P) on the efficiency of non-thermal plasma on (a,b) carbon and sulfur removal, (c) cumulated conversion to COx (CO₂+CO), (d) carbon balance. Results obtained after 1h regeneration at different temperatures: 70, 150, 200 and 300 °C.
- Figure 9: Temperature dependency of removal of carbon (a) and sulfur (b) and apparent activation energy (E_a) as a function of the applied power; Results obtained after 1h regeneration.
- Figure 9: Temperature dependency of removal of carbon (a) and sulfur (b) as a function of the applied power; Results obtained after 1h regeneration.
- Figure 10: apparent activation energy (E_a) as a function of the applied power; Results obtained after 1h regeneration.
- Figure 11: Selectivity of NTP process:
 - (a) Cumulated yield into CO_x as a function of coke conversion drawn from elementary analysis,

(b) Molar ratio of CO₂/CO at different applied power as a function of temperature.

Figure 12: Relative Volumic Activity of toluene hydrogenation (the industrial regenerated catalyst activity being the reference) of spent catalyst (\bullet) and regenerated catalysts by IT-R (\bullet), O-TR (\Box) and NTP-R (Δ) processes.

Supporting Information

Fig. SI. 1 Lissajous figure for P=5W at 70, 150, 200 and 300°C during plasma treatment

Regeneration Mode	Т (°С)	P (W)	Removal of (%)		Presence	Mo/Al	Co/Al	(Co/Mo) _{sheet} ^b	SR ^c Co repartition (rel.%)			r el.%)
			С	S	CoMO ₄ ^a	Mol/Mol			(/0)	Co ²⁺	Co-S	CoMoS
I-TR	450		100	94.8	+++	0.31	0.08	0.25	73.3	36.2	21	43
	300		7.4	87.8		0.28	0.08	0.31	78.4	32.6	18	50
	320		56.0	94.6	Traces	0.33	0.10	0.31	76.6	34.4	16	50
0-11	350		81.6	99.0	+	0.30	0.08	0.30	76.7	34.9	14	51
	450		99.6	100	+	0.37	0.08	0.29	75.5	40.6	12	48
	200	4	54.2	66.2	Traces	0.37	0.11	0.31	77.8	28.0	24	48
NTP-R	200	7	99.9	99.9	+	0.34	0.09	0.26	79.4	29.9	23	47
	300	3	98.8.	97.9.	+	0.34	0.09	0.24	78.1	32.6	25	43

Table 1: Operating conditions of industrial and optimised thermal regeneration processes and non-thermal plasma at low temperature. Sulfidation rate and metals repartition by XPS over catalyst after toluene hydrogenation.

^a detected by XRD, ^b Co/Mo in the sheets calculated according to Eq. 3,, ^cSulfitation rate calculated according to Eq. 2



Figure 1: Dielectric barrier discharge plasma reactor



Figure 2: XPS spectra of after toluene hydrogenation: cobalt and molybdenum elements.





(b)

Figure 3: (a) Cumulative yield into CO_x as a function of time at different regeneration temperatures: 320, 350, 380, and 450°C, and (b) the relative C and S removals after 64 minutes. Full symbol regeneration carried out in the NTP reactor (turn-off) (**TR**_{DBD reactor}), open symbol: regeneration at the lab scale (**O-TR**),



Figure 4: XRD patterns of spent catalyst before (a) and after thermal regeneration during 30 min at 200 (b), 300 (c) and 500°C (d). in blue after plasma treatment at 200°C with 7W



Figure 5: TEM images of spent catalyst before after thermal regeneration during 30mins at 500 °C



Figure 6: Impact of temperature on deposited power (P): (a) P at different temperatures: 70, 150, 200 and 300°C as a function of the applied voltage; (b) Applied voltage (U) required to obtain a deposited power of 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7W as a function of the temperature



Figure 7: Impact of temperature on the efficiency of non-thermal plasma on: (**a**,**b**) carbon and sulfur removal, (**c**) cumulated conversion to COx (CO₂+CO), (**d**) carbon balance and comparison with thermal regeneration (Full symbol). Results obtained after 1h regeneration at different applied powers (2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7W);











Figure 8: Impact of applied power (P) on the efficiency of NTP on (**a**,**b**) carbon and sulfur removal, (**c**) cumulated conversion to CO_x (CO₂+CO), (**d**) carbon balance. Results obtained after 1h regeneration at different temperatures: 70, 150, 200 and 300°C.



Figure 9: Temperature dependency of removal of carbon (a) and sulfur (b) as a function of the applied power. Results obtained after 1h regeneration



Figure 10: Aapparent activation energy (Ea) as a function of the applied power. Results obtained after 1h regeneration





(a) Cumulated yield into Cox after 1h as a function of coke conversion drawn from elementary analysis,

(b) Molar ratio of CO₂/CO at different applied power as a function of temperature



Figure 12: Relative Volumic Activity of toluene hydrogenation (the industrial regenerated catalyst activity being the reference) of spent catalyst (\bullet) and regenerated catalysts by IT-R (\bullet), OTR (\Box) and NTP-R (Δ) processes.

