

# Reference Density Database for 20 Aqueous Alkali Halide Solutions

Fufang Yang, Jingang Qu, Georgios Kontogeorgis, Jean-Charles de Hemptinne

## ▶ To cite this version:

Fufang Yang, Jingang Qu, Georgios Kontogeorgis, Jean-Charles de Hemptinne. Reference Density Database for 20 Aqueous Alkali Halide Solutions. Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 2022, 51 (4), pp.043104. 10.1063/5.0124173 . hal-03971217

## HAL Id: hal-03971217 https://ifp.hal.science/hal-03971217v1

Submitted on 3 Feb 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Reference density database for 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions
2	Fufang Yang (杨富方) <sup>1,2*</sup> , Jingang Qu (曲金刚) <sup>1,3</sup> , Georgios M. Kontogeorgis <sup>2</sup> , Jean-Charles
3	de Hemptinne <sup>1*</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> IFP Energies Nouvelles, 1 et 4 Avenue de Bois-Préau, 92852 Rueil-Malmaison Cedex, France
5	<sup>2</sup> Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE), Department of Chemical and Biochemical
6	Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
7	<sup>3</sup> Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ISIR, Paris, F-75005, France
8	* Corresponding author: <u>fufya@kt.dtu.dk</u> (FY), <u>jean-charles.de-hemptinne@ifpen.fr</u> (JCDH).
9	Abstract: A reference density database of aqueous alkali halide solutions is presented. The solutes
10	are the 20 alkali halides consisting of the cations, Li <sup>+</sup> , Na <sup>+</sup> , K <sup>+</sup> , Rb <sup>+</sup> , Cs <sup>+</sup> , and anions, F <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> ,
11	I <sup>-</sup> . Experimental density data of these aqueous electrolyte solutions are extensively collected and
12	critically evaluated. A data evaluation procedure is proposed, utilizing support vector regression
13	(SVR) to compare the experimental datasets against each other. Data evaluation is based on
14	agreement with data from other sources rather than accuracy claimed in the literature. Datasets
15	with large deviation from others are identified and removed. The proposed reference database
16	consists of 11081 data points of 586 datasets from 309 references. Maximum deviations between
17	the selected datasets do not exceed 1%, and are smaller than 0.5% for most of the aqueous alkali
18	halide solutions. SVR models are also trained based on the reference database. Data distribution
19	is visualized using a Gaussian mixture model. Applicability domains of the SVR models are
20	analyzed using Williams plots. An executable program is provided for calculations based on the
21	SVR models.
22	Keywords: density database, data evaluation, aqueous alkali halide solutions, support vector
23	regression, Gaussian mixture model.
24	Contents

25	1 Introduction	. 2
26	2 Methodology	1
20	2 Methodology	. 4
27	2.1 Experimental density data collections	. 4

28	2.2 Data evaluation	5					
29	2.3 Gaussian mixture model analysis	8					
30	2.4 Support vector regression (SVR)	9					
31	2.5 Williams plot analysis	12					
32	3 Results and discussion	13					
33	4 Conclusions	17					
34	5 Supplementary Material	18					
35	Acknowledgements	18					
36	6 Author Declarations	18					
37	6.1 Conflict of Interest	18					
38	7 Data Availability Statement	18					
39	8 Reference	18					
40	List of Tables						
41	Table 1. Equations for converting concentration units to ion-based molar fraction.	5					
42 43	Table 2. Reference database for the density of the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions, and deviations of the SVR model.	14					
44	List of Figures						
45	Figure 1. Density data evaluation and correlation: (a) workflow and (b) schematics.	7					
46 47	Figure 2. Comparison of collected data (before evaluation) and selected data (after evaluation) of (water + KCl) density.						
48	Figure 3. Gaussian mixture model for the density data of the (water + KCl) system.	15					
49	Figure 4. Parity plot of the SVR correlation for the density of the (water + KCl) system.	16					
50 51	Figure 5. Williams plot of the SVR correlation for the density data of the (water + KCl) sy	stem. 16					
52	Figure 6. Example of the user interface for density calculation.	17					
53	1 Introduction						
54	For various industries, accurate measurements and modeling of thermodynamic prope	erties of					
55	electrolyte solutions are required <sup>1</sup> . Density is an important property for developm	nent of					
56	thermodynamic property models <sup>2</sup> . Furthermore, deriving apparent and partial molal volume	es from					
57	accurate density data provides insights into the structural information of the aqueous electrolyte						

58 solutions  $^3$ .

In aqueous electrolyte solutions, density is very different from in pure water, being up to 100% larger at high salt composition compared to pure water density at the same temperature and pressure, especially for the heavier salts. In addition, in equations of state, the volumetric parameters are more sensitive to density than to phase equilibria and thermal properties. One should expect large deviations when the density is not included in the objective function <sup>4,5</sup>. Therefore, density is an important property that has to be critically evaluated before it can be used in model development.

66 Obtaining a reference database for aqueous alkali halide solutions is important for many 67 reasons. For instance:

While density has been extensively measured for some of the aqueous solutions, the
 measurements are not of the same quality. Critical evaluation of experimental data and
 removal of data of poor quality are essential steps.

The aqueous alkali halide solutions are the first systems that model developers often work
 on. From the perspective of model development, a reference density database is practical.

Many more complicated industrially relevant mixtures consist of water and one or more
 alkali halides. In the measured data series, usually a few data points are available for
 aqueous alkali halide solutions. Comparison of the aqueous alkali halide data against a
 reference database for the system can verify the validity of measurements of the more
 complicated mixtures before the data are used in industrial applications.

78 In this work, density data of 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions are extensively collected and 79 critically evaluated. Because datasets from hundreds of references are available with very different 80 quality, a fast evaluation approach is proposed here, utilizing the support vector regression (SVR) 81 algorithm to compare the experimental datasets against each other. Datasets that are not consistent 82 with most other datasets are identified and removed. A reference database for the density of aqueous alkali halide solutions is proposed. Based on this reference database, correlations are 83 84 developed and provided. This work, along with the databases for other properties that were published previously <sup>6,7</sup>, can be used for benchmarking future developments of electrolyte equation 85 of state. In addition to this ready-for-use state-of-the-art density database, the proposed data 86 evaluation procedure for density can potentially be used for other properties that do not change 87 88 drastically in the aqueous phase.

3

#### 89 **2 Methodology**

In this section, the density data collection, evaluation, and correlation procedures are
presented. In addition, approaches for assessing the data distribution and model applicability
domain are also introduced.

#### 93 **2.1 Experimental density data collections**

For the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions, 14315 density data points of 819 datasets are collected from the CERE aqueous electrolyte databank <sup>8</sup> and DETHERM <sup>9</sup>. Krumgalz et al. <sup>10</sup> presented an evaluated database for densities of a few aqueous electrolyte solutions. 4 of the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions investigated here were covered by their database. Datasets from their database have also been collected along with those from the databanks.

99 In the literature, salt composition was reported in various units. Here the experimental data are converted to the same units for comparisons. Using the equations listed in Table 1, 100 101 concentration units are converted to ion-based molar fraction, which is usually used in equations of state and activity coefficient models. When the pure water density is needed for the conversion 102 103 from density difference or apparent molar volume, the reported value from the same reference is used. When pure water density is not provided from the experimental references, it is calculated 104 using IAPWS-95<sup>11,12</sup>. In the cases that pressure was not in reported in the experimental references, 105 it is recorded as 101.325 kPa in this database. 106

107 Table 1. Equations for converting concentration units to ion-based molar fraction.

Original salt concentration unit	Conversion to ion-based molar fraction <sup>a</sup>
molality	$x_i = Z_i x_i^0 / \left( Z x_i^0 + 1 / M_{\text{water}} \right)$
weight fraction	$x_i = Z_i x_i^0 / M_{\text{salt}} / [Z x_i^0 / M_{\text{salt}} + (1 - x_i^0) / M_{\text{water}}]$
salt-based molar fraction	$x_i = Z_i x_i^0 / \left[ 1 + (Z_i - 1) x_i^0 \right]$
molarity	$x_i = Z_i x_i^0 / [Z x_i^0 + (\rho / 1000 - x_i^0 M_{salt}) / M_{water}]$
kg salt per kg solvent	$x_i = Z_i x_i^0 / M_{\text{salt}} / (Z x_i^0 / M_{\text{salt}} + 1 / M_{\text{water}})$
mol water per mol salt	$x_i = Z_i / \left( Z + x_i^0 \right)$
g of salt per 100 cm <sup>3</sup> solution	$x_i = 10Z_i x_i^0 / M_{\text{salt}} / [10Z x_i^0 / M_{\text{salt}} + (\rho - 10x_i^0) / M_{\text{water}}]$

108 a)  $x_i^0$  is the original salt composition unit as noted in the first column of the table.  $x_i$  is the ion-based

molar fraction. Z is the total number of ions in the salt, and is 2 for alkali halides.  $Z_i$  is the number of ions

*i* in the salt, and is 1 for alkali halides. *M* is the molar mass in kg/mol, taken from the NIST Chemistry

111 WebBook <sup>13</sup>.  $M_{\text{water}} = 0.0180153 \text{ kg/mol. } \rho$  is the density of the solution in kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### 112 **2.2 Data evaluation**

The goal of this work is to remove datasets that are outliers, i.e., anomalies, in the collected 113 databank. Common anomaly detection algorithms include isolation forest, robust covariance, 114 support vector regression one-class, etc 14-17. These classification-based algorithms detect 115 anomalies based on data distribution. Both response (density) and variables (temperature, 116 composition, and pressure) are used as inputs for evaluating data distribution. However, in the 117 scenario of this work, only the data of high accuracy can be selected in the reference database, 118 while the algorithms are not capable of automatically distinguishing the data points that deviate 119 120 from the consistent datasets by only a few percent. More importantly, in these algorithms, the scarceness of data at high temperature and pressure outweighs the deviations in density, and would 121 122 result in undesirable removal of the valuable data in the scarcer region. Therefore, selection of the reference database can only be achieved based on observation of the deviations of the data points 123 from a preliminary model that is trained to the data, which reflects deviations of the data points 124 125 from each other.

The support vector regression (SVR) is used for correlating the collected data and for comparing the data with each other. Through the Gibbs phase rule, it is known that a homogeneous mixture of water and a salt has 3 degrees of freedom, i.e., composition (x), temperature (T), and pressure (p). This is different from in the usual applications of the machine learning models on

predicting thermodynamic properties (e.g., group contribution and quantitative structure-property 130 relationship models (OSPR)<sup>18–20</sup>), in which case dominant features have to be selected and do not 131 132 contain complete information of the response. Therefore, given datasets that are consistent with each other, the experimental data should be regressed to the SVR model with very high accuracy. 133 The accuracy indicates agreement between the experimental datasets in addition to agreement of 134 the datasets with the trained model. On the other hand, when a dataset deviates much from the 135 trained SVR model in a region where other experimental datasets agree well with the model, we 136 can conclude that the deviating dataset is inconsistent with the other experimental datasets. Plotted 137 against the 3 degrees of freedom, it can be observed whether a data point is "deviating" beyond 138 the scattering of other data; it can also be observed whether the data range is severely compromised 139 when a dataset is removed as deviations are observed to be larger compared to the other datasets. 140 141 When a data point from a dataset is considered to be deviating too much from the other data, the entire dataset that contains the deviating data point is removed. The procedure is repeated until all 142 143 datasets agree well with each other up to a desirable accuracy without the need to remove any more experimental datasets. In general, no more than 4 regressions were needed. In the evaluation, all 144 145 the data are included for regressing the SVR model, because the regressed model is intended only for comparing the datasets against each other, rather than for calculations. After the reference 146 147 database is finalized, it is split into training, validation, and test sets for correlating SVR models 148 that are used for calculations, as will be explained in Section 2.4. Figure 1 summarizes the 149 procedure of density data evaluation and correlation. The evaluation procedure is applied for the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions. Then, the reference database is finalized, and is used for training 150 151 the SVR models.



152

153 Figure 1. Density data evaluation and correlation: (a) workflow and (b) schematics.

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the collected data and selected data (reference database) of 154 the (water + KCl) density as an example of the evaluation procedure. At the start, input data include 155 156 some datasets that deviate from others by more than 10%, and some datasets that already deviate from others by only  $1 \sim 3\%$ , but fall in the range that other datasets agree very well with each other. 157 As these datasets are removed, agreement between the remaining datasets is improved. For this 158 case, the procedure took 3 rounds of evaluations. The data that have been excluded in the final 159 160 reference database are marked as grey in the graphs of the collected data to show the comparably 161 larger deviations compared to the data that have been kept. In the graphs on the second row, only the kept data are shown, with a much smaller scale of deviation. Before the evaluation, the 162 collected data present deviations of more than 15% in some cases, and much scattering in regions 163 with a lot of data. At the end, all the remaining data agree with each other within 0.5%. The 164 procedure is performed on the density data of the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions. 165



Figure 2. Comparison of collected data (before evaluation) and selected data (after evaluation) of (water +
 KCl) density. Data that are removed in the finalized reference database are marked as grey in the graphs
 of the collected data.

## 170 **2.3 Gaussian mixture model analysis**

The Gaussian mixture model (GMM) is a probability model that implements iterative expectation maximization algorithm for analyzing data clustering <sup>21</sup>. In this work, it is used for providing a qualitative visualization of data distribution. GMMs are trained for the selected density data of each aqueous alkali halide solution. The GMM is defined as:

$$q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{\pi},\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$
(1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k = 1 \tag{2}$$

where q is the likelihood (usually denoted as p, but noted as q here to avoid confusion with the

176 pressure),  $\mathbf{z} = (T, p, x)$  is the input (each data point),  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_K\}$  are mixing coefficients, and

- 177  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_K\}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_K\}$  specify the mean and covariance of each Gaussian
- 178 component  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)$ , respectively, k denotes the kth GMM component, K denotes the total

179 number of GMM components, and  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the Gaussian distribution.  $\pi$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\Sigma$  are 180 parameters that are trained in the GMM to approximate the data distribution, z. Given a training 181 set, the parameters  $\pi$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  are first initialized via the k-means++ algorithm <sup>22</sup> and then 182 estimated through the iterative Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm to maximize the 183 likelihood <sup>23</sup>, according to:

$$\ln q(\boldsymbol{Z}|\boldsymbol{\pi},\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{z}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$
(3)

where Z = (T, p, x) is the input matrix, *N* is the number of data points, and  $z_n$  is for the *n*th data point. In addition, to avoid overfitting, *K* is selected to minimize Bayesian information criterion (BIC) <sup>24</sup>, which is defined as BIC= ln(*N*)  $M - 2 \ln(\hat{L})$ , where *N* is the number of data points, *M* represents the number of estimated parameters, and  $\hat{L}$  denotes the maximized value of the likelihood function of the model.

189 GMM can provide a qualitative visualization of data distribution using a contour plot. In addition, its convergence also reflects to some extent the quality of our collected database. 190 Furthermore, in this work, we also use the GMM to determine if calculated data points can be 191 regarded as within the range of the database. The procedure is known as novelty detection <sup>25</sup>. Any 192 193 data point located in a low data-distribution-density region can be considered a novelty. In this way, GMM enables us to determine whether our database can be applied to a new condition. An 194 executable program is provided in the Supplementary Material for calculations. The GMM plot of 195 196 the database is presented in the user interface as calculations are made. In this way, whether the calculations are within the ranges of the proposed database is visualized. 197

### 198 **2.4 Support vector regression (SVR)**

199 SVR provides the flexibility to define how much error is acceptable in the regression <sup>26</sup>. 200 Deviations below a threshold,  $\varepsilon$ , are considered unimportant. In this way, a tube is defined of which 201 the centerline is the prediction of the SVR model. Within the tube, deviations are not penalized in 202 the objective function. The very idea of SVR is suitable for identifying datasets that present larger 203 deviations compared to others. Advantages of SVR include that its generalization capability and 204 prediction accuracy are excellent <sup>27</sup>. Therefore, SVR is very suitable for evaluating and correlating data of thermodynamic properties, for which extensive measurements are prohibitively expensive,and evenly distributed data are usually unavailable.

In SVR, Eq. (5) is minimized subject to the constraints of Eq. (6).

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*)$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

$$y_i - \boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}_i) - b \le \varepsilon - \xi_i, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$$
(6a)

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + b - y_{i} \le \varepsilon + \xi_{i}^{*}, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$$
(6b)

$$\xi_i \ge 0, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N \tag{6c}$$

$$\xi_i^* \ge 0, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N \tag{6d}$$

where **w** is the coefficient factor, *C* is the box constraint,  $\varepsilon$  is the margin within which errors are ignored,  $\xi_i$  and  $\xi_i^*$  are the slack variables,  $y_i$  is the response,  $x_i$  is the variable vector,  $\phi$  and *b* are defined in the SVR approximation:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) + b \tag{7}$$

The slack variables,  $\xi_i$  and  $\xi_i^*$ , are introduced for each data point, and allow regression errors to be larger than the margin error value,  $\varepsilon$ .

Eqs. (5) and (6) are transformed into the dual formula using the Lagrange multipliers <sup>28</sup>,  $\alpha_i$ and  $\alpha_i^*$ .

$$L(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) (\alpha_j - \alpha_j^*) K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha_i + \alpha_i^*) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*)$$
(8)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i}^{*}) = 0, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$$
(9a)

$$0 \le \alpha_i \le C, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N \tag{9b}$$

$$0 \le \alpha_i^* \le C, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N \tag{9c}$$

Instead of Eq. (5), Eq. (8) is minimized subject the constraints of Eq. (9).

216 In this work, the Gaussian kernel function  $^{29}$  is used:

$$K(\boldsymbol{x}_{i},\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) \equiv \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \cdot \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) = \exp\left(-\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\|^{2}\right)$$
(10)

217 Predictions of a response at given *x* is calculated according to,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}) + b$$
<sup>(11)</sup>

The data are standardized before the model is trained. The SVR implementation in Matlab is used. The training process of the SVR model is performed in nested loops:

- <sup>220</sup> In the outer loop, the SVR hyper parameters, i.e., the box constraint, kernel scale, and <sup>221</sup> threshold,  $\varepsilon$ , are optimized using the Bayesian optimization <sup>30–32</sup> with the expected-<sup>222</sup> improvement-plus algorithm <sup>30</sup> as the acquisition function to choose the next promising <sup>223</sup> hyper parameter set, which is expected to have smaller errors for the validation set.
- In the inner loop, with fixed hyper parameters, the SVR model is optimized using the
   sequential minimal optimization algorithm <sup>33</sup>.

In practice, the maximum number of iterations is set at 100, which turned out to be large enoughfor convergence.

The SVR model is used in both the evaluation and correlation of the database. In the evaluation, the entire database is used for training the SVR model in each step, as shown in Figure 1b.

In the correlation, the obtained reference database is split into training, validation, and test sets. For each solution, 20% of the data are set aside as test sets; the remaining 80% of the data are used as training/validation sets. 10-fold cross-validation is implemented for training the SVR models. The accuracy of the model on the training/validation and test sets are investigated. An executable program with user interface are provided in the Supplementary Material forcalculations using the trained model.

#### 237 **2.5 Williams plot analysis**

In addition to the GMM analysis, the Williams plot analysis <sup>34</sup> is employed for visualizing distribution of the selected data.

$$h_i = \boldsymbol{x}_i^{\mathrm{T}} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \tag{12}$$

where  $h_i$  is the hat value,  $x_i$  is the variable vector of a data point, and X is the variable matrix of the entire database. The threshold value  $h^* = 3(P + 1)/N$  is also calculated and compared with the hat value of all the data points, where P = 3 is the number of variables, and N is the number of data points.

In the Williams plot, the relative deviation of the SVR model is plotted against the hat value. 244 The approach is widely used for evaluating the applicability domain in QSAR models. When  $h_i >$ 245  $h^*$ , in QSAR models, the calculation is considered extrapolated and could be less reliable; however, 246 for the density correlation here, the data point is considered to be located in a scarcer region. 247 Because of the completeness of information in thermodynamic (3 degrees of freedom of the 248 249 density of the mixture of water and a salt) as compared to the incompleteness of information for mapping between molecular structure and activity (as in QSAR models), the threshold can be 250 considered much stricter here than in QSAR models. As will be discussed in Section 3 and in the 251 Supplementary Material, deviations do not significantly increase beyond the threshold, indicating 252 good correlation of the model in scarcer regions. Therefore, this threshold hat value of the database 253 is not intended as a strict bar beyond which calculation should be prohibited. Instead, the threshold 254 serves as merely an indicator that the calculations are made in scarcer regions. 255

Furthermore, when calculations are made using the correlation, comparing the hat value of the calculations with the threshold and with the hat values of data points in the database visualizes whether the calculated data are located in a region where there are extensive data points that have been used in training the correlation.

#### 260 **3 Results and discussion**

The collected datasets are evaluated using the procedure explained in Section 2. Table 2 261 provides the resulting reference database for the density of the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions. 262 11081 data points of 586 datasets from 309 references are included in the database. The references 263 are provided in the last column of the table. We recommend that these references be cited when 264 using the database. The database is provided in the Supplementary Material. As an example, the 265 266 (water + KCl) system is discussed in detail here. The plots for the other aqueous alkali halide solutions are provided in the Supplementary Material. Figure 3 shows the GMM plot for the 267 density data of the (water + KCl) system. The contour is to visualize data distribution. The GMM 268 is analyzed on the T- $x_{ion}$  projection, because most of the density data of the system are distributed 269 270 at or near atmospheric pressure. The experimental data are plotted together with the contours.

Table 2. Reference database for the density of the 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions, and deviations of the SVR model. AAD is the percentage average absolute

72 deviation. MAD is the percentage maximum absolute deviation.

Water	Collected data		Selected data								
+	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	<i>T</i> (K)	x <sub>ion</sub>	Reference	AAD (%)		MAD (%)	
	data points	datasets	data points	datasets				Training- Validation	Test	Training- Validation	Test
LiF	55	5	55	5	291.15-308.15	0-0.0019	35–39	0.0031	0.0014	0.12	0.0030
NaF	347	17	347	17	273.15-572.70	0-0.019	36–52	0.013	0.031	0.59	0.60
KF	465	18	448	14	293.15-572.70	0-0.17	36,37,42–47,53–58	0.055	0.050	0.53	0.74
RbF	32	4	32	4	291.15-333.15	0-0.067	36,42,43,59	0.057	0.084	0.42	0.37
CsF	27	3	27	3	293.15-333.15	0-0.050	36,42,43	0.15	0.13	0.42	0.27
LiCl	1650	77	1181	57	273.15-549.80	0-0.27	36,41–44,60–111	0.031	0.024	0.57	0.57
NaCl	3647	185	2687	137	253.00-573.35	0-0.098	41-44,48,54,93-223	0.018	0.012	0.25	0.16
KCl	2264	160	1624	105	273.15-473.15	0-0.11	40,41,43–45,53,54,91,92,99–111,196– 217,223–284	0.017	0.012	0.31	0.30
RbCl	301	30	168	14	288.15-328.15	0-0.12	41,43,44,52,54,101–104,123,223,281,282,285	0.064	0.10	0.34	0.36
CsCl	408	36	351	30	273.85-473.15	0-0.19	42,43,88,91,102–107,119– 124,196,217,223,282,285–294	0.20	0.29	1.0	0.75
LiBr	939	25	698	19	229.15-525.00	0-0.22	36,42,43,66–68,97,108,109,137,285,295–302	0.045	0.062	0.41	0.51
NaBr	1319	55	1204	37	273.15-549.80	0-0.14	36,42-44,62,66,73,97,108-110,195,217,223- 225,282,285-287,302-317	0.055	0.040	1.0	0.39
KBr	1083	67	856	49	270.91-473.15	0-0.12	36,41–44,56,62,66,96,100,102,108,109,135– 138,213–217,221,224,229– 236,282,285,290,295,302,314,315,318–327	0.037	0.030	0.49	0.20
RbBr	77	6	38	4	291.15-333.15	0-0.074	36,42,43,52	0.082	0.048	0.41	0.070
CsBr	120	12	50	7	298.15-308.15	0-0.073	36,43,62,88,223,286,287	0.12	0.18	0.43	0.28
LiI	352	17	289	10	278.15-575.75	0-0.12	42,62,68,97,107,109,285,328-330	0.056	0.051	0.84	0.19
NaI	271	38	165	20	293.15-323.15	0-0.15	36,43,44,54,97,102,107– 109,154,237,290,298,311,331–336	0.095	0.085	1.7	0.15
KI	750	46	661	37	270.32-473.15	0-0.13	36,41,43–45,48,53,56,93,100,107– 109,154,212–216,235– 238,282,285,290,295,305,318,322,336–342	0.022	0.033	0.45	0.63
RbI	64	7	56	6	273.15-333.15	0-0.11	36,42,43,52,107,137	0.21	0.28	0.87	0.38
CsI	144	11	144	11	273.15-343.34	0-0.058	35,36,42,43,107,137,223,286,287,290,343	0.093	0.13	0.46	0.38

73



Figure 3. Gaussian mixture model for the density data of the (water + KCl) system. The contour plot is the
calculated data-distribution-density. The dots are data points of the database.

Based on the database, an SVR model is developed according to the procedure introduced in 277 Section 2.4. Figure 4 shows the parity plot of the SVR correlation for the density of the (water + 278 279 KCl) system. The test set is calculated with comparable accuracy compared to the training and validation sets. The SVR model is very accurate within the range of the database. Percentage 280 281 average absolute deviation (AAD) and percentage maximum absolute deviation (MAD) for the 20 282 aqueous alkali halide solutions are provided in Table 2. The deviations are slightly larger compared 283 to the maximum deviations in the data evaluation step, in which the models are trained on all the data of the aqueous alkali halide solutions (maximum deviations between the selected datasets do 284 285 not exceed 1.1%, and are smaller than 0.5% for most of the aqueous alkali halide solutions). We expect that training the SVR model based on the entire database would slightly improve correlation 286 287 accuracy without hampering the extrapolation performance of the model. However, we would not 288 be able to verify this without any data for the test set. Therefore, 20% of the database is set aside 289 as a test set.



290

Figure 4. Parity plot of the SVR correlation for the density of the (water + KCl) system.

Figure 5 shows the Williams plot of the SVR correlation for the density data of the (water + 292 KCl) system. There are some data points that exceed the threshold hat value. Most of these data 293 points are at high pressure, while the majority in the database are at atmospheric pressure. However, 294 deviations are not larger compared to the data points below the threshold. For the test set, the 295 296 deviations are comparable to those of the training and validation sets. The good correlation and prediction show that the database is reliable on the edges of the data coverage, where there are 297 much fewer data points, and that the SVR model is not over fitted. The Williams plot for the SVR 298 model of the other aqueous alkali halide solutions are provided in the Supplementary Material. 299 300 The behavior is similar to that of the (water + KCl) system.



Figure 5. Williams plot of the SVR correlation for the density data of the (water + KCl) system. The hat
 values are calculated using Eq. (13) for the training & validation sets, and for the test set.

An executable program is provided in the Supplementary Material for calculating density of the aqueous alkali halide solutions. Figure 6 shows an example of the user interface. Density results are provided along with data ranges of the solution, and illustrations of the calculations plotted on the Williams and GMM plots.



308

Figure 6. Example of the user interface for density calculation. The salt is selected using the dropdown list on the top-left corner. Then, input can be made in the numeric edit fields, or imported from a file. A sample file is provided in the Supplementary Material. Then, at the click of the "Calculate" button, results are shown in the table on the right side. Notes about the calculation are displayed above the table, summarizing ranges of the database for the mixture, or, in the case of a calculation beyond the database ranges, warnings about which input variable exceeds the range. The Williams and GMM plots are shown on the bottom.

### 316 4 Conclusions

317 In this work, the experimental density data of 20 aqueous alkali halide solutions are 318 extensively collected and critically evaluated using machine learning. A reference database consisting of 11081 data points of 586 datasets from 309 references is constructed. Maximum deviations between the selected datasets do not exceed 1.1%, and are smaller than 0.5% for most of the aqueous alkali halide solutions. Data distribution is visualized using the Williams plot and Gaussian mixture model. Support vector regression models are developed based on the database, and implemented in an executable and exact the support.

and implemented in an executable program.

The obtained database and models can be utilized in the development of electrolyte equations of state. The proposed data evaluation procedure for density can potentially be used for other properties that do not change drastically in the aqueous phase.

- 327 **5** Supplementary Material
- 328 The followings are provided in the Supplementary Material:
- 329 An Excel file containing all the data.
- An executable program for density calculation.
- GMM and Williams plots for the aqueous alkali halide solutions.

## 332 Acknowledgements

- The authors wish to thank the European Research Council (ERC) for funding of this research
- under European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No.
- 832460), ERC Advanced Grant project "New Paradigm in Electrolyte Thermodynamics".
- **6 Author Declarations**

## **337 6.1 Conflict of Interest**

338 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## 339 7 Data Availability Statement

340 The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its 341 supplementary material.

## 342 8 Reference

- 1 G.M. Kontogeorgis, R. Dohrn, I.G. Economou, J.C. De Hemptinne, A. ten Kate, S. Kuitunen, M.
- 344 Mooijer, L.F. Zilnik, and V. Vesovic, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 60, 4987 (2021).
- 345 2 W. Wagner and R. Kleinrahm, Metrologia 41, S24 (2004).
- 346 3 F.J. Millero, Chem. Rev. 71, 147 (1971).
- 4 M.D. Olsen, G.M. Kontogeorgis, X. Liang, and N. von Solms, Fluid Phase Equilib. 548, 113167 (2021).
- 5 B. Maribo-Mogensen, K. Thomsen, and G.M. Kontogeorgis, AIChE J. 61, 2933 (2015).
- 6 S. Vaque Aura, J.S. Roa Pinto, N. Ferrando, J.C. De Hemptinne, A. ten Kate, S. Kuitunen, N.
- 350 Diamantonis, T. Gerlach, M. Heilig, G. Becker, and M. Brehelin, J. Chem. Eng. Data 66, 2976 (2021).
- 7 F. Yang, T.D. Ngo, G.M. Kontogeorgis, and J.C. De Hemptinne, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. In press (2022).
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c00059
- 8 K. Thomsen, Data Bank for Electrolyte Solutions (Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby,
- 354 2020). https://www.cere.dtu.dk/expertise/data-for-aqueous-salt-solutions
- 355 9 U. Westhaus, DETHERM (DECHEMA e.V., Frankfurt Am Main, 2019).
- 356 https://dechema.de/en/detherm.html
- 10 B.S. Krumgalz, R. Pogorelsky, A. Sokolov, and K.S. Pitzer, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 29, 1123 (2000).
- 11 E.W. Lemmon, I.H. Bell, M.L. Huber, and M.O. McLinden, NIST Reference Fluid Thermodynamic
- and Transport Properties Database (REFPROP) (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
- 360 Gaithersburg, MD, 2018). https://www.nist.gov/srd/refprop
- 361 12 W. Wagner and A. Pruß, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 31, 387 (2002).
- 362 13 P.J. Linstrom and W.G. Mallard, editors, NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference
- 363 Database Number 69 (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gathersburg MD, 20899, n.d.).
   364 https://doi.org/10.18434/T4D303
- 14 V. Chandola, A. Banerjee, and V. Kumar, ACM Comput. Surv. 41, 1 (2009).
- 15 F.T. Liu, K.M. Ting, and Z.-H. Zhou, in 2008 Eighth IEEE International Conference on Data Mining
  (IEEE, Pisa, Italy, 2008), pp. 413–422.
- 16 P.J. Rousseeuw and K.V. Driessen, Technometrics 41, 212 (1999).
- 369 17 B. Schölkopf, J.C. Platt, J. Shawe-Taylor, A.J. Smola, and R.C. Williamson, Neural Comput. 13, 1443
  370 (2001).
- 18 A. Kazakov, C.D. Muzny, V. Diky, R.D. Chirico, and M. Frenkel, Fluid Phase Equilib. 298, 131
  (2010).
- 373 19 W.H. Carande, A. Kazakov, C. Muzny, and M. Frenkel, J. Chem. Eng. Data 60, 1377 (2015).
- 374 20 C. Nieto-Draghi, G. Fayet, B. Creton, X. Rozanska, P. Rotureau, J.C. De Hemptinne, P. Ungerer, B.
- 375 Rousseau, and C. Adamo, Chem. Rev. 115, 13093 (2015).
- 21 G.J. McLachlan and D. Peel, Finite Mixture Models (John Wiley & Sons, 2004).

- 22 D. Arthur and S. Vassilvitskii, K-Means++: The Advantages of Careful Seeding (Stanford, 2006), p.
  11.
- 379 23 T.K. Moon, IEEE Signal Process. Mag. 13, 47 (1996).
- 380 24 G. Schwarz, Ann. Stat. 6, 461 (1978).
- 381 25 M.A.F. Pimentel, D.A. Clifton, L. Clifton, and L. Tarassenko, Signal Process. 99, 215 (2014).
- 382 26 V.N. Vapnik, *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory* (Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1999).
- 27 M. Awad and R. Khanna, in *Efficient Learning Machines: Theories, Concepts, and Applications for Engineers and System Designers* (Apress, Berkeley, CA, 2015), pp. 67–80.
- 28 S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, in *Convex Optimization* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004), pp. 215–287.
- 387 29 B. Schölkopf and A.J. Smola, Learning with Kernels: Support Vector Machines, Regularization,
- 388 *Optimization, and Beyond* (The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England, 2002).
- 389 30 A.D. Bull, Preprint (2011). http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.3501
- 390 31 M.A. Gelbart, J. Snoek, and R.P. Adams, Preprint (2014). http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.5607
- 391 32 J. Snoek, H. Larochelle, and R.P. Adams, Preprint (2012). http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2944
- 392 33 R.-E. Fan, P.-H. Chen, and C.-J. Lin, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 6, 1889 (2005).
- 393 34 S. Kar, K. Roy, and J. Leszczynski, in *Computational Toxicology: Methods and Protocols*, edited by
- 394 O. Nicolotti (Humana Press, New York, NY, 2018), pp. 141–169.
- 395 35 G. Jones and W.A. Ray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63, 288 (1941).
- 36 J.E. Desnoyers, M. Arel, G. Perron, and C. Jolicoeur, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 3346 (1969).
- 397 37 T.G. Pedersen, C. Dethlefsen, and A. Hvidt, Carlsberg Res. Commun. 49, 445 (1984).
- 398 38 F. Mylius and R. Funk, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 30, 1716 (1897).
- 399 39 J.H. Payne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 947 (1937).
- 400 40 F.J. Millero and J.H. Knox, J. Chem. Eng. Data 18, 407 (1973).
- 401 41 F.J. Millero and W. Drost-Hansen, J. Chem. Eng. Data 13, 330 (1968).
- 402 42 S. Reiser, M. Horsch, and H. Hasse, J. Chem. Eng. Data 59, 3434 (2014).
- 403 43 J.L. Fortier, P.A. Leduc, and J.E. Desnoyers, J. Solut. Chem. 3, 323 (1974).
- 404 44 F.J. Millero, G.K. Ward, and P.V. Chetirkin, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 61, 1492 (1977).
- 405 45 P.P.S. Saluja, R.J. Lemire, and J.C. LeBlanc, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 24, 181 (1992).
- 406 46 R. Buchner, G.T. Hefter, and J. Barthel, J. Chem. Soc.-Faraday Trans. 90, 2475 (1994).
- 407 47 V. Majer, M. Obšil, G. Hefter, and J.P.E. Grolier, J. Solut. Chem. 26, 847 (1997).
- 408 48 G.T. Hefter, J.P.E. Grolier, and A.H. Roux, J. Solut. Chem. 18, 229 (1989).

- 409 49 G.A. Lopatkina, Zh. Prikl. Khim. 32, 2644 (1959).
- 410 50 J.W. Morales, H.R. Galleguillos, and F. Hernández-Luis, J. Chem. Eng. Data 52, 687 (2007).
- 411 51 K. Rajagopal and S. Edwin Gladson, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 43, 852 (2011).
- 412 52 A. Heydweiller, Ann. Phys. 342, 739 (1912).
- 413 53 Yu.A. Epikhin, M.S. Stakhanova, and M.Kh. Karapet'yants, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 40, 201 (1966).
- 414 54 W. Geffcken, Z. Phys. Chem. 155A, 1 (1931).
- 415 55 P.J. Shamberger and T. Reid, J. Chem. Eng. Data 58, 294 (2013).
- 416 56 A.J. Ellis, J. Chem. Soc. A 1138 (1968).
- 417 57 K. Rajagopal and S. Edwin Gladson, J. Solut. Chem. 41, 646 (2012).
- 418 58 K. Rajagopal and S. Edwin Gladson, Thermochim. Acta 525, 197 (2011).
- 419 59 A. Heydweiller, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 116, 42 (1921).
- 420 60 E. Berecz and Gy. Horanyi, Acta Chim. Hung. 29, 75 (1961).
- 421 61 D. Gao, Y. Guo, X. Yu, S. Wang, and T. Deng, J. Chem. Eng. Data 60, 2594 (2015).
- 422 62 R.E. Gibson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 57, 284 (1935).
- 423 63 R.E. Gibson and L.H. Adams, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 55, 2679 (1933).
- 424 64 W.H. Green, J. Chem. Soc. 93, 2049 (1908).
- 425 65 F.L. Haigh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 34, 1137 (1912).
- 66 J. Kiepe, A.K. de Araujo Rodrigues, S. Horstmann, and J. Gmehling, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 42, 2022
  (2003).
- 428 67 A.F. Scott and G.L. Bridger, J. Phys. Chem. 39, 1031 (1935).
- 429 68 G.F. Huettig and M. Keller, Z. Elektrochem. Angew. Phys. Chem. 31, 390 (1925).
- 430 69 G.F. Huettig and H. Kukenthal, Z. Elektrochem. Angew. Phys. Chem. 34, 14 (1928).
- 431 70 J.W. Ingham, J. Chem. Soc. 2381 (1928).
- 71 D.V. Jahagirdar, B.R. Arbad, S.C.S. Patil, and A.G. Shankarwar, Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 38, 645 (2000).
- 434 72 G. Jones and B.C. Bradshaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 54, 138 (1932).
- 435 73 H. Kohner, Z. Phys. Chem. 1, 427 (1928).
- 436 74 Y. Liu, Y. Guo, X. Wang, and T. Deng, Fluid Phase Equilib. 391, 85 (2015).
- 437 75 V. Majer, A. Inglese, and R.H. Wood, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 21, 321 (1989).
- 438 76 W. Ohling and G.M. Schneider, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 11, 1203 (1979).
- 439 77 E.M. Sahin, B. Lal, and E. Ayranci, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 110, 51 (2017).

- 440 78 E. Schreiner, Z. Phys. Chem. 135, 461 (1928).
- 441 79 P. Song and X. Du, Sci. Bull. 3, 209 (1986).
- 442 80 T. Sumathi and M. Varalakshmi, Rasayan J. Chem. 3, 550 (2010).
- 443 81 K. Tanaka and R. Tamamushi, Z. Naturforsch. A 46, 141 (1991).
- 444 82 E. Vercher, S. Solsona, M.I. Vázquez, and A. Martínez-Andreu, Fluid Phase Equilib. 209, 95 (2003).
- 445 83 W.C. Vosburgh, L.C. Connell, and J.A.V. Butler, J. Chem. Soc. 933 (1933).
- 446 84 S. Wang, Y. Guo, D. Li, F. Zhao, W. Qiao, and T. Deng, J. Chem. Eng. Data 60, 821 (2015).
- 447 85 S. Wang, Y. Guo, D. Liu, and T. Deng, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A 90, 2532 (2016).
- 448 86 S. Wang, X. Han, Y. Jing, Y. Guo, M. Zhao, and T. Deng, J. Chem. Eng. Data 61, 1155 (2016).
- 449 87 X. Wang, K. Zhao, Y. Guo, T. Deng, and L. Meng, J. Chem. Eng. Data 64, 249 (2019).
- 450 88 L. Werblan, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Chim. 27, 873 (1979).
- 451 89 A. Apelblat and E. Manzurola, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 33, 1133 (2001).
- 452 90 M.P. Applebey, F.H. Crawford, and K. Gordon, J. Chem. Soc. 1665 (1934).
- 453 91 T. Isono, Rikagaku Kenkyusho Hokoku 56, 103 (1980).
- 454 92 A.F. Kapustinskii, M.S. Stakhanova, and V.A. Vasilev, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. 9,
  455 1933 (1960).
- 456 93 F. Kohlrausch, Ann. Phys. Chem. 242, 1 (1879).
- 457 94 F. Kohlrausch and O. Grotrian, Ann. Phys. Chem. 230, 215 (1875).
- 458 95 M.N. Roy, B.C. Saha, and K. Sarkar, Phys. Chem. Liq. 53, 785 (2015).
- 459 96 R. Suhrmann and F. Wiedersich, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 272, 167 (1953).
- 460 97 F. Vaslow, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 3745 (1969).
- 461 98 H.E. Wirth and A. Lo Surdo, J. Chem. Eng. Data 13, 226 (1968).
- 462 99 E.H. Lanman and B.J. Mair, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 390 (1934).
- 463 100 D.J.P. Out and J.M. Los, J. Solut. Chem. 9, 19 (1980).
- 464 101 S.J. Conroy, Proc. R. Soc. London 64, 308 (1899).
- 465 102 M. Palma and J.P. Morel, J. Solut. Chem. 8, 767 (1979).
- 466 103 F. Vaslow, J. Phys. Chem. 70, 2286 (1966).
- 467 104 A.G. Ostroff, B.S. Snowden, and D.E. Woessner, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 2784 (1969).
- 468 105 G. Jákli, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 39, 1589 (2007).
- 469 106 A.J. Ellis, J. Chem. Soc. A 1579 (1966).

- 470 107 M. Singh and M. Pandey, Phys. Chem. Liq. 46, 119 (2008).
- 471 108 A.F. Scott, V.M. Obenhaus, and R.W. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. 38, 931 (1934).
- 472 109 G.P. Baxter, A.C. Boylston, E. Mueller, N.H. Black, and P.B. Goode, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 33, 901
- 473 (1911).
- 474 110 J.A. Gates and R.H. Wood, J. Chem. Eng. Data 30, 44 (1985).
- 475 111 C. Bender, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 20, 560 (1883).
- 476 112 M.V. Mironenko, G.E. Boitnott, S.A. Grant, and R.S. Sletten, J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 9909 (2001).
- 477 113 B.S. Krumgalz, I.A. Malester, I.J. Ostrich, and F.J. Millero, J. Solut. Chem. 21, 635 (1992).
- 478 114 A. Kumar, G. Atkinson, and R.D. Howell, J. Solut. Chem. 11, 857 (1982).
- 479 115 A. Lo Surdo, E.M. Alzola, and F.J. Millero, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 14, 649 (1982).
- 480 116 N. Kishore, R.N. Goldberg, and Y.B. Tewari, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 25, 847 (1993).
- 481 117 S.G. Patel and N. Kishore, J. Solut. Chem. 24, 25 (1995).
- 482 118 P. Picker, E. Tremblay, and C. Jolicoeur, J. Solut. Chem. 3, 377 (1974).
- 483 119 M. Kohns, M. Horsch, and H. Hasse, Fluid Phase Equilib. 458, 30 (2018).
- 484 120 Y. Zhou, S.N. Li, Q.G. Zhai, Y.C. Jiang, and M.C. Hu, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 42, 764 (2010).
- 485 121 Y. Zhou, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Eng. Data 55, 1289 (2010).
- 486 122 Y. Gao, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Eng. Data 62, 2533 (2017).
- 487 123 Y. Gao, D. Zhao, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Eng. Data 61, 1649 (2016).
- 488 124 R. Meng, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, H. Lei, H. Zhang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Eng. Data 56, 4643 (2011).
- 489 125 J.F. Alary, M.A. Simard, J. Dumont, and C. Jolicoeur, J. Solut. Chem. 11, 755 (1982).
- 490 126 R. Gopal and K. Singh, Z. Phys. Chem. 69, 81 (1970).
- 491 127 S. Mondal, S.S. Dhondge, L.J. Paliwal, V.M. Tangde, and S.P. Jengathe, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 90,
  492 147 (2015).
- 493 128 T.S. Banipal, H. Singh, and P.K. Banipal, Thermochim. Acta 572, 6 (2013).
- 494 129 T.S. Banipal, H. Singh, and P.K. Banipal, J. Chem. Eng. Data 55, 3872 (2010).
- 495 130 E. Romano, M.V. Castillo, M.B. Márquez, M.B. Gramajo, and S.A. Brandán, J. Mol. Liq. 196, 285
  496 (2014).
- 497 131 T.S. Banipal, A. Beri, N. Kaur, and P.K. Banipal, J. Chem. Eng. Data 62, 20 (2017).
- 498 132 P.S.Z. Rogers, D.J. Bradley, and K.S. Pitzer, J. Chem. Eng. Data 27, 47 (1982).
- 499 133 S. Manohar, D. Puchalska, and G. Atkinson, J. Chem. Eng. Data 39, 150 (1994).
- 500 134 R.M. Jelinek and H. Leopold, Monatsh. Chem. 109, 387 (1978).

- 501 135 R.J. Lemire, A.B. Campbell, P.P.S. Saluja, and J.C. LeBlanc, J. Nucl. Mater. 201, 165 (1993).
- 502 136 J.I. Lankford, W.T. Holladay, and C.M. Criss, J. Solut. Chem. 13, 699 (1984).
- 503 137 G.P. Baxter and C.C. Wallace, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 38, 70 (1916).
- 504 138 A. Kumar, Can. J. Chem. 63, 3200 (1985).
- 505 139 Y. Nagasaka, H. Okada, J. Suzuki, and A. Nagashima, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 87, 859 (1983).
- 506 140 S. Afroz, M.A. Motin, T.K. Biswas, and E.M. Huque, Phys. Chem. Liq. 41, 249 (2003).
- 507 141 M.A. Motin, J. Chem. Eng. Data 49, 94 (2004).
- 508 142 C.-T. Chen, R.T. Emmet, and F.J. Millero, J. Chem. Eng. Data 22, 201 (1977).
- 509 143 J.F. Comesaña, J.J. Otero, E. Camesella, and A. Correa, J. Chem. Eng. Data 46, 1153 (2001).
- 510 144 S.H. Sang, R.Z. Cui, Y.X. Hu, and X.X. Zeng, J. Solut. Chem. 43, 2133 (2014).
- 511 145 V. Alisoğlu, C. R. Chim. 5, 547 (2002).
- 512 146 Y. Huang, S.J. Ni, F. Zou, W. Zhang, and Y. Chen, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A 88, 243 (2014).
- 513 147 X. Zhang, Y. Ren, P. Li, H. Ma, W. Ma, C. Liu, Y. Wang, L. Kong, and W. Shen, J. Chem. Eng.
  514 Data 59, 3969 (2014).
- 515 148 S. Chen, M. Wang, J. Hu, Y. Guo, and T. Deng, J. Chem. Eng. Data 63, 4662 (2018).
- 516 149 W.M. Madgin and D.A. Swales, J. Appl. Chem. 6, 482 (1956).
- 517 150 X. Ding, B. Sun, L. Shi, H. Yang, and P. Song, Inorg. Chem. Ind. 42, 9 (2010).
- 518 151 S. Sang, Y. Hu, R. Cui, J. Hu, and Y. Wang, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A 89, 1152 (2015).
- 519 152 X.P. Zhang, W.Y. Zhang, D. Wang, H. Zhang, and S.H. Sang, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 62, 995 (2017).
- 520 153 Y. Gao, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 106, 256 (2017).
- 521 154 A.F. Scott and W.R. Frazier, J. Phys. Chem. 31, 459 (1927).
- 522 155 Y.S. Liu, Y.F. Hu, Q.C. Hao, X.M. Zhang, Z.C. Liu, and J.G. Li, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54, 739 (2009).
- 523 156 P. Picker, P.A. Leduc, P.R. Philip, and J.E. Desnoyers, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 3, 631 (1971).
- 524 157 H. Rodríguez, A. Soto, A. Arce, and M.K. Khoshkbarchi, J. Solut. Chem. 32, 53 (2003).
- 525 158 H. Zhang, S. Zhang, G. Chen, and S. Han, CIESC J. 47, 211 (1996).
- 526 159 A. Soto, A. Arce, and M.K. Khoshkbarchi, J. Solut. Chem. 33, 11 (2004).
- 527 160 Riyazuddeen and S. Afrin, J. Chem. Eng. Data 55, 2643 (2010).
- 528 161 M. Sadeghi, C. Held, A. Samieenasab, C. Ghotbi, M.J. Abdekhodaie, V. Taghikhani, and G.
- 529 Sadowski, Fluid Phase Equilib. 325, 71 (2012).
- 530 162 W.R. Bousfield and C.E. Bousfield, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A 103, 429 (1923).
- 531 163 F.J. Millero, A.L. Laferrlere, and P.V. Chetlrkln, J. Phys. Chem. 81, 1737 (1977).

- 532 164 I.V. Zamyatin and K.A. Burkov, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 82, 639 (2012).
- 533 165 K. Zhuo, Y. Chen, W. Wang, and J. Wang, J. Chem. Eng. Data 53, 2022 (2008).
- 534 166 Y. Li, Y.H. Li, F.A. Wang, and B.Z. Ren, Thermochim. Acta 568, 189 (2013).
- 535 167 J.O. Bockris and H. Egan, Trans. Faraday Soc. 44, 151 (1948).
- 536 168 H. Faggion, P.S. Gaschi, M.L. Corazza, L. Cardozo-Filho, L. Igarashi-Mafra, and M.R. Mafra, J.
- 537 Chem. Thermodyn. 98, 95 (2016).
- 538 169 A. Kumar, J. Phys. Chem. B 104, 9505 (2000).
- 539 170 L.M. Connaughton, J.P. Hershey, and F.J. Millero, J. Solut. Chem. 15, 989 (1986).
- 540 171 A. Kumar and G. Atkinson, J. Phys. Chem. 87, 5504 (1983).
- 541 172 J. Krakowiak and J. Wawer, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 90, 232 (2015).
- 542 173 M.R. Khatun, M.M. Islam, F.R. Rima, and M.N. Islam, J. Chem. Eng. Data 61, 102 (2016).
- 543 174 D.M. Bhattacharya, S.S. Dhondge, and S.P. Zodape, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 101, 207 (2016).
- 544 175 J.F. Comesaña, J.J. Otero, E. García, and A. Correa, J. Chem. Eng. Data 48, 362 (2003).
- 545 176 D.M. Rodríguez, A.C.F. Ribeiro, M.A. Esteso, and C.M. Romero, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 115, 98546 (2017).
- 547 177 S.P. Jengathe, S.S. Dhondge, L.J. Paliwal, V.M. Tangde, and S. Mondal, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 87,
  548 78 (2015).
- 549 178 C.M. Romero, D.M. Rodríguez, A.C.F. Ribeiro, and M.A. Esteso, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 104, 274550 (2017).
- 551 179 C.M. Romero, M.A. Esteso, and G.P. Trujillo, J. Chem. Eng. Data 63, 4012 (2018).
- 552 180 A. Stefaniu and O. Iulian, J. Solut. Chem. 42, 2384 (2013).
- 553 181 H.E. Wirth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 62, 1128 (1940).
- 554 182 F.J. Millero, E.V. Hoff, and L. Kahn, J. Solut. Chem. 1, 309 (1972).
- 555 183 G.C. Allred and E.M. Woolley, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 13, 147 (1981).
- 556 184 P.P.S. Saluja, D.J. Jobe, J.C. LeBlanc, and R.J. Lemire, J. Chem. Eng. Data 40, 398 (1995).
- 557 185 C.S. Oakes, J.M. Simonson, and R.J. Bodnar, J. Chem. Eng. Data 35, 304 (1990).
- 558 186 G. Jones and S.M. Christian, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 484 (1937).
- 559 187 M. Kaminsky, Z. Phys. Chem. 8, 173 (1956).
- 560 188 A. Tromans, E. Königsberger, P.M. May, and G. Hefter, J. Chem. Eng. Data 50, 2019 (2005).
- 561 189 G. Perron, A. Roux, and J.E. Desnoyers, Can. J. Chem. 59, 3049 (1981).
- 562 190 G. Perron, J.L. Fortier, and J.E. Desnoyers, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 7, 1177 (1975).

- 563 191 G. Dessauges, N. Miljevic, and W.A. Van Hook, J. Phys. Chem. 84, 2587 (1980).
- 564 192 C.T.A. Chen, J.H. Chen, and F.J. Millero, J. Chem. Eng. Data 25, 307 (1980).
- 565 193 R. Heyrovská, J. Electrochem. Soc. 143, 3038 (1996).
- 566 194 L. Werblan, A. Rotowska, and S. Minc, Electrochim. Acta 16, 41 (1971).
- 567 195 A. Kumar, Monatsh. Chem. 119, 1201 (1988).
- 568 196 E.o. Berkeley, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A 203, 189 (1904).
- 569 197 L.A. Romankiw and I.-M. Chou, J. Chem. Eng. Data 28, 300 (1983).
- 570 198 F.A. Goncalves and J. Kestin, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 81, 1156 (1977).
- 571 199 J.W. Ingham, J. Chem. Soc. 1917 (1928).
- 572 200 A. Apelblat and E. Manzurola, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 31, 869 (1999).
- 573 201 M.K. Srinivasan and B. Prasad, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 1462 (1939).
- 574 202 F.T. Gucker, C.L. Chernick, and P. Roy-Chowdhury, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 55, 12 (1966).
- 575 203 F.J. Millero and S. Sotolongo, J. Chem. Eng. Data 31, 470 (1986).
- 576 204 W.R. Bousfield and C.E. Bousfield, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A 218, 119 (1919).
- 205 L. Malladi, V.M. Tangde, S.S. Dhondge, D.W. Deshmukh, and S.P. Jengathe, J. Chem. Thermodyn.
  112, 166 (2017).
- 579 206 J.Z. Yang, J.G. Liu, J. Tong, W. Guan, D.W. Fang, and C.W. Yan, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 49, 7671
  580 (2010).
- 581 207 A. Kumar, J. Chem. Eng. Data 33, 198 (1988).
- 582 208 I.V. Olofsson, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 11, 1005 (1979).
- 583 209 R. Golabiazar and R. Sadeghi, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 76, 29 (2014).
- 584 210 S. Ryshetti, B.K. Chennuri, R. Noothi, S.J. Tangeda, and R.L. Gardas, Thermochim. Acta 597, 71 (2014).
- 586 211 H.L. Zhang and S.J. Han, J. Chem. Eng. Data 41, 516 (1996).
- 587 212 L.A. Dunn, Trans. Faraday Soc. 64, 1898 (1968).
- 588 213 L.A. Dunn, Trans. Faraday Soc. 64, 2951 (1968).
- 589 214 M. Kidokoro, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 7, 280 (1932).
- 590 215 H.M. Trimble, Ind. Eng. Chem. 23, 165 (1931).
- 591 216 F. Flottmann, Z. Anal. Chem. 73, 1 (1928).
- 592 217 F. Kawaizumi, F. Nakao, and H. Nomura, J. Chem. Eng. Data 33, 204 (1988).
- 593 218 M.S. Stakhanova and V.A. Vasilev, Zh. Fiz. Khim. 37, 1568 (1963).

- 594 219 F.J. Millero, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 38, 1441 (1967).
- 595 220 J.S. Baabor and E.J. Delgado, Bol. Soc. Chil. Quim. 36, 217 (1991).
- 596 221 P. Holemann and H. Kohner, Z. Phys. Chem. 13, 338 (1931).
- 597 222 A.V. Sharygin and R.H. Wood, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 28, 851 (1996).
- 598 223 F.T. Gucker, D. Stubley, and D.J. Hill, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 7, 865 (1975).
- 599 224 A. Kumar, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 62, 3311 (1989).
- 600 225 A. Kumar, J. Chem. Eng. Data 34, 446 (1989).
- 601 226 A. Kumar, J. Chem. Eng. Data 34, 87 (1989).
- 602 227 N.P. Singh and P.K. Tikoo, J. Electrochem. Soc. India 29, 219 (1980).
- 603 228 V.M. Slovinskaya and S.M. Mukimov, Uzbek. Khim. Zh. 2, 12 (1959).
- 604 229 M.S. Stakhanova, Yu.A. Epikhin, and M.Kh. Karapet'yants, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 37, 1389 (1963).
- 605 230 R. Cui, S. Sang, Y. Hu, and J. Hu, Acta Geol. Sin. (Engl. Ed.) 87, 1668 (2013).
- 606 231 P.S. Nikam and A.B. Sawant, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2055 (1998).
- 607 232 P.S. Nikam and A.B. Sawant, J. Chem. Eng. Data 42, 1151 (1997).
- 608 233 G. Jones and S.K. Talley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 55, 4124 (1933).
- 609 234 H.E. Wirth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 2549 (1937).
- 610 235 W. Herz and G. Anders, Z. Anorg. Chem. 55, 271 (1907).
- 611 236 H.E. Armstrong, J.V. Eyre, A.V. Hussey, and W.P. Paddison, Proc. R. Soc. London 79, 564 (1907).
- 612 237 D.A. MacInnes and M.O. Dayhoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 1017 (1952).
- 238 A. Kacperska, S. Taniewska-Osińska, A. Bald, and A. Szejgis, J. Chem. Soc.-Faraday Trans. 86,
  2225 (1990).
- 615 239 H.R. Galleguillos, E.K. Flores, and C.E. Aguirre, J. Chem. Eng. Data 46, 1632 (2001).
- 616 240 J. Long, J.H. Tang, Y.K. You, L.M. Guo, and K. Chen, J. Chem. Eng. Data 60, 1906 (2015).
- 617 241 D.W. Li, S.H. Sang, R.Z. Cui, and C. Wei, J. Chem. Eng. Data 60, 1227 (2015).
- 618 242 X. Yu, Y. Zeng, and Z. Zhang, J. Chem. Eng. Data 57, 1759 (2012).
- 619 243 W. Herz and M. Knoch, Z. Anorg. Chem. 45, 262 (1905).
- 620 244 W.B. Lee and A.C. Egerton, J. Chem. Soc. 123, 706 (1923).
- 621 245 S. Yan, M. Tang, and T. Deng, Mineral. Petrol. 14, 101 (1994).
- 622 246 X. Zhang, S.H. Sang, S.Y. Zhong, and W.Y. Huang, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A 89, 2322 (2015).
- 623 247 Y. Ren, J. Liu, and C. Cai, Fluid Phase Equilib. 444, 21 (2017).

- 624 248 W. Liu, J. Zhou, Z.W. Zhang, S. Chen, and S.Y. Liu, J. Chem. Eng. Data 61, 2083 (2016).
- 625 249 M. Trypuć, K. Białowicz, and K. Mazurek, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 41, 4174 (2002).
- 626 250 Riyazuddeen and T. Altamash, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54, 3133 (2009).
- 627 251 C.E. Linebarger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 21, 411 (1899).
- 628 252 C.E. Ruby and J. Kawai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 48, 1119 (1926).
- 629 253 L. Lepori and F. Malatesta, J. Solut. Chem. 19, 957 (1990).
- 630 254 A. Kumar, J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 9828 (2001).
- 631 255 H.N. Parton, R.A. Robinson, and A.J. Metson, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 402 (1939).
- 632 256 W.J. Sulston, Proc. Phys. Soc. 47, 657 (1935).
- 633 257 F.H. Spedding, M.J. Pikal, and B.O. Ayers, J. Phys. Chem. 70, 2440 (1966).
- 634 258 G. Jones and S.K. Talley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 55, 624 (1933).
- 635 259 G. Jones and W.A. Ray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 187 (1937).
- 636 260 M. Kaminsky, Z. Phys. Chem. 12, 206 (1957).
- 637 261 W. Herz, Z. Anorg. Chem. 89, 393 (1914).
- 638 262 A. Korosi and B.M. Fabuss, J. Chem. Eng. Data 13, 548 (1968).
- 639 263 E.A. Dedick, J.P. Hershey, S. Sotolongo, D.J. Stade, and F.J. Millero, J. Solut. Chem. 19, 353 (1990).
- 640 264 A. Kumar, J. Chem. Eng. Data 31, 21 (1986).
- 641 265 J.G. Firth and H.J.V. Tyrrell, J. Chem. Soc. 2042 (1962).
- 642 266 S.M.E. Halasey, J. Phys. Chem. 45, 1252 (1941).
- 643 267 H. Chebib, C. Jambon, and J.-C. Merlin, J. Chim. Phys. 78, 607 (1981).
- 644 268 A.N. Campbell and L. Ross, Can. J. Chem. 34, 566 (1956).
- 269 B.A. Patterson, T.G. Call, J.J. Jardine, M.L. Origlia-Luster, and E.M. Woolley, J. Chem. Thermodyn.
  33, 1237 (2001).
- 647 270 K.A. Bondarenko, N.K. Dzyuba, A.V. Krizhanovskii, V.V. Kugai, and S.S. Kovalchuk, Zh. Neorg.
  648 Khim. 31, 1053 (1986).
- 649 271 R. Dolique and M. Pauc, Trav. Soc. Pharm. Montpellier 6, 89 (1947).
- 650 272 H. Hering, Ann. Chim. (Cachan, Fr.) 5, 483 (1936).
- 651 273 J. Holluta and S. Mautner, Z. Phys. Chem., Stoechiom. Verwandtschaftsl. 127, 455 (1927).
- 652 274 H. Koneczny, L. Danuta, and M. Lango, Chem. Stosow. 17, 115 (1973).
- 653 275 A. Kruis, Z. Phys. Chem. 34B, 1 (1936).
- 654 276 M. Legrand and R.A. Paris, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 8, 2699 (1966).

- 655 277 S. Lengyel and Gy. Fezler, Acta Chim. Hung. 37, 319 (1963).
- 656 278 R. Paris and P. Mondain-Monval, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. Mem. 5, 1142 (1938).
- 657 279 K.P. Patel and K. Seshadri, Indian J. Chem. 6, 379 (1968).
- 658 280 N. Schmelzer and J. Einfeldt, Wiss. Z. Univ. Rostock, Naturwiss. Reihe 38, 81 (1989).
- 659 281 T. Isono, Rikagaku Kenkyusho Hokoku 61, 53 (1985).
- 660 282 A.B. Zdanovskii, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 68, 556 (1994).
- 661 283 C. Drucker, Ark. Kem. Mineral. Geol. 22A, 1 (1946).
- 662 284 M.T. Zafarani-Moattar and F. Izadi, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 43, 552 (2011).
- 663 285 A. Heydweiller, Ann. Phys. 335, 873 (1909).
- 664 286 P.S. Nikam, M. Hasan, R.P. Shewale, and A.B. Sawant, J. Solut. Chem. 32, 987 (2003).
- 665 287 P.S. Nikam, R.P. Shewale, A.B. Sawant, and M. Hasan, J. Chem. Eng. Data 50, 487 (2005).
- 666 288 J. Crudden, G.M. Delaney, D. Feakins, P.J. O'Reilly, W.E. Waghorne, and K.G. Lawrence, J. Chem.
- 667 Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 82, 2195 (1986).
- 668 289 P.A. Lyons and J.F. Riley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76, 5216 (1954).
- 669 290 D.E. Mulcahy and B.J. Steel, J. Chem. Eng. Data 30, 191 (1985).
- 670 291 V.E. Plyushchev, V.B. Tulinova, G.P. Kuznetsova, S.S. Korovin, and N.S. Shipetina, Zh. Neorg.
  671 Khim. 2, 2654 (1957).
- 672 292 J. Tang, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Eng. Data 59, 726 (2014).
- 673 293 W.X. Zhao, M.C. Hu, Y.C. Jiang, and S.N. Li, Chin. J. Chem. 25, 478 (2007).
- 674 294 D. Zhao, S. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Jiang, and M. Hu, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 78, 134 (2014).
- 675 295 W. Biltz, Z. Phys. Chem. 40, 185 (1902).
- 676 296 H.C. Jones and F.H. Getman, Z. Phys. Chem. 49, 385 (1904).
- 297 M.A. Esteso, M. Esparza, D.M. Grandoso, and O.M. Gonzalez-Diaz, J. Chem. Eng. Data 32, 474 (1987).
- 679 298 R.E. Gibson and J.F. Kincaid, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 25 (1937).
- 680 299 M.M. Palaiologou, I.E. Molinou, and N.G. Tsierkezos, J. Chem. Eng. Data 47, 1285 (2002).
- 681 300 L. Fried and M. Segal, J. Chem. Eng. Data 28, 127 (1983).
- 682 301 S.V. Stankus, R.A. Khairulin, V.A. Gruzdev, and O.I. Verba, High Temp. 45, 429 (2007).
- 683 302 N. Rohman, N.N. Dass, and S. Mahiuddin, J. Mol. Liq. 100, 265 (2002).
- 684 303 V. Alisoğlu, C. R. Chim. 8, 1684 (2005).
- 685 304 A. Apelblat and E. Manzurola, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 33, 581 (2001).

- 686 305 J. Čeleda and S. Škramovský, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 49, 1061 (1984).
- 687 306 J. Domenech and S. Rivera, Z. Phys. Chem. 136, 153 (1983).
- 688 307 F.H. Getmann, Journal de Chimie Physique 5, 344 (1907).
- 689 308 A.W. Hakin, J.L. Liu, and R.A. Marriott, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 32, 1355 (2000).
- 690 309 M. Jóżwiak, Folia Chim. 9, 3 (1991).
- 691 310 V. Majer, A. Inglese, and R.H. Wood, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 21, 397 (1989).
- 311 A. Sacco, A. De Giglio, A. Dell'Atti, and M. Petrella, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 77, 2693 (1981).
- 694 312 M. Sun, S. Sang, H. Li, and X. Zhao, Chem. Eng. (Chn.) 38, 67 (2010).
- 695 313 L. Roger, Ann. Chim. (Cachan, Fr.) 19, 362 (1944).
- 696 314 T. Isono, J. Chem. Eng. Data 29, 45 (1984).
- 697 315 J.E. Ricci, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 290 (1934).
- 316 M.Kh. Karapet'yants, V.A. Vasilev, B.V. Mikhailin, and V.N. Strakhov, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 53,
  111 (1979).
- 700 317 A.F. Scott and R.W. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. 38, 951 (1934).
- 701 318 A. Apelblat and E. Manzurola, J. Mol. Liq. 118, 77 (2005).
- 702 319 G. Jones and C.F. Bickford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 602 (1934).
- 703 320 G. Jones and R.E. Stauffer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 62, 335 (1940).
- 704 321 A.F. Joseph, J. Chem. Soc. 117, 377 (1920).
- 705 322 J.E. Ricci, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 866 (1937).
- 323 S. Sang, H. Yin, S. Ni, and C. Zhang, J. Chengdu Univ. Technol., Sci. Technol. Ed. 33, 414 (2006).
- 707 324 A.F. Scott and E.J. Durham, J. Phys. Chem. 34, 1424 (1930).
- 325 N.A. Shlesinger, F.P. Zorkin, and L.V. Novogenova, Zh. Prikl. Khim. 11, 1259 (1938).
- 709 326 P. Venkatesu, M.-J. Lee, and H. Lin, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 39, 1206 (2007).
- 327 D.D. Zhao, S.N. Li, H.Y. Zhang, Q.G. Zhai, Y.C. Jiang, and M.C. Hu, Chem. Eng. Commun. 203,
  136 (2016).
- 712 328 G. Jákli, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 41, 62 (2009).
- 713 329 D. Salavera, X. Esteve, K.R. Patil, A.M. Mainar, and A. Coronas, J. Chem. Eng. Data 49, 613 (2004).
- 714 330 I.M. Abdulagatov and N.D. Azizov, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 36, 829 (2004).
- 331 A. Kacperska, S. Taniewska-Osińska, A. Bald, and A. Szejgis, J. Chem. Soc.-Faraday Trans. 85,
  4147 (1989).

- 717 332 P.A. Lasselle and J.G. Aston, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 55, 3067 (1933).
- 718 333 B. Nowicka, A. Kacperska, J. Barczyńska, A. Bald, and S. Taniewska-Osińska, J. Chem. Soc.,
- 719 Faraday Trans. 1 84, 3877 (1988).
- 720 334 R.E. Robertson, S.E. Sugamori, R. Tse, and C.-Y. Wu, Can. J. Chem. 44, 487 (1966).
- 721 335 K. Zhuo, J. Wang, H. Zheng, X. Xuan, and Y. Zhao, J. Solut. Chem. 34, 155 (2005).
- 722 336 A. Kacperska, Phys. Chem. Liq. 26, 273 (1994).
- 723 337 R.R. Pawar, S.B. Nahire, and M. Hasan, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54, 1935 (2009).
- 724 338 J.E. Ricci, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 58, 1077 (1936).
- 725 339 D.M. Swenson and E.M. Woolley, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 40, 54 (2008).
- 726 340 M. Kaminsky, Z. Phys. Chem. 5, 154 (1955).
- 727 341 J.E. Ricci, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 299 (1934).
- 728 342 R.E. Gibson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 865 (1934).
- 729 343 G. Jones and H.J. Fornwalt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 58, 619 (1936).