

Erosion of community complexity increases temperature-dependency of microbial respiration, but not growth, in short-term incubations

Nadja Rohner, Selina Lepori, Viviana Loaiza, David Sebag, Eric Verrecchia, Daniel Nelson, Ansgar Kahmen, Pascal Niklaus, Anna-Liisa Laine, Luiz

Domeignoz-Horta

► To cite this version:

Nadja Rohner, Selina Lepori, Viviana Loaiza, David Sebag, Eric Verrecchia, et al.. Erosion of community complexity increases temperature-dependency of microbial respiration, but not growth, in short-term incubations. Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 2024, 12 (1), 10.1525/elementa.2023.00100. hal-04524684

HAL Id: hal-04524684 https://ifp.hal.science/hal-04524684

Submitted on 28 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Erosion of community complexity increases temperature-dependency of microbial respiration, but not growth, in short-term incubations

Nadja Rohner^{1,2}, Selina Lepori^{1,2}, Viviana Loaiza², David Sebag^{3,4}, Eric Verrecchia⁴, Daniel B. Nelson⁵, Ansgar Kahmen⁵, Pascal A. Niklaus², Anna-Liisa Laine^{2,6}, and Luiz A. Domeignoz-Horta^{2,7,*}

Biodiversity loss and climate change are 2 of the most alarming threats to ecosystem functioning and stability. These factors are, however, typically investigated separately, overlooking potential interactions between species extinctions and climate change on ecosystems. Here, we evaluated how different temperature regimes impact the relationship between microbial diversity and ecosystem functioning, in terms of temperature sensitivity of carbon (C) cycling functions. We hypothesized that more complex communities promote the stability of C cycling functions under both temperature regimes. We did not observe a ubiquitous response of all C-cycling processes to temperatures across the complexity levels, respiration rates increased more strongly at low complexity than high complexity levels at higher temperatures. Carbon use efficiency, which integrates both growth and respiration, tended to decrease with temperature at lower levels of complexity. Jointly, these results demonstrate the importance of community complexity for maintaining C-cycling thermal response under a changing climate.

Keywords: Soil carbon cycling, Microbial diversity, Ecosystem functioning, Temperature sensitivity, Carbon use efficiency, Climate change

Introduction

To date, most studies on the ecological consequences of biodiversity loss have focused on processes regarding plant diversity (Hector et al., 1999; Spehn et al., 2005; Hector and Bagchi, 2007). However, a large part of biodiversity on earth regarding its richness but also its biomass consists of microbes (Wagg et al., 2014). While microorganisms are the most diverse and most abundant organisms on the planet and are crucial drivers of a wide range of ecosystem functions (Singh et al., 2010; Duan et al., 2023), the consequences of microbial diversity loss for ecosystem functions are not well understood. This is critical given that changes in the environment (i.e., temperature or moisture) can alter the relationship between ecosystem functioning and diversity (García et al., 2018; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2020).

A belowground ecosystem function that is increasingly more studied due to its relevance for the climate system is soil carbon (C) cycling (Cavicchioli et al., 2019; Cappelli et al., 2022; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2023). Empirical data provided by Kallenbach et al. (2016) suggests that soil microbial physiology may be more important for soil carbon stabilization than traditionally assumed. Accordingly, it has been recently shown that community growth efficiency was positively related to bacterial diversity under favorable environmental conditions (Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2020). Further, it was also shown that distinct microbial communities generate soil organic matter (SOM) with different chemistry that differ in decomposition potential (Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2021). These recent results suggest that even broad C-cycling-related processes might be shaped by diversity and composition of soil microorganisms

¹Graduate Program in Quantitative Environmental Sciences, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

³IFP Énergies Nouvelles, Rueil-Malmaison, France

⁴ Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, Faculty of Geosciences and the Environment, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁵Department of Environmental Sciences—Botany, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

⁶Research Centre for Ecological Change, Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁷Current address: University of Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR EcoSys, Palaiseau, France

^{*} Corresponding author:

Email: luiz.domeignoz-horta@inrae.fr

contrarily to what has been previously acknowledged (Schimel and Schaeffer, 2012; Nemergut et al., 2014).

So far, most studies focused on the relation between diversity and microbial growth (García et al., 2018) or diversity and microbial respiration separately (Nielsen et al., 2011). Carbon use efficiency (CUE) is an important parameter to characterize microbial physiology (Manzoni et al., 2012; Manzoni et al., 2018) and determines the partitioning of plant inputs into a fraction of carbon remaining in the soil (microbial growth) and a fraction respired as carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. Drivers of CUE are not fully understood, however we know that abiotic (Qiao et al., 2019; Pold et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021) and biotic processes influence CUE (Roller et al., 2016; Iven et al., 2023). While abiotic factors have been studied more extensively, biotic factors impacting CUE have been examined to a lesser extent (Iven et al., 2023). A seminal study showed that changes in temperature systematically modified the relationship between diversity and community growth (García et al., 2018). Moreover, a recent study showed that the increase in respiration in response to warmer temperatures was higher in low diverse soils (Xu et al., 2021). These new findings suggest that more species are needed to maintain ecosystem functioning and stability under thermal stress. How all these factors interact and influence community CUE still remains largely unclear despite its importance for understanding soilatmosphere carbon cycling feedback under global change (Pold et al., 2019). Here, our main goal was to empirically evaluate how CUE and the underlying processes growth and respiration respond to simultaneous changes in community complexity and temperature variations.

Materials and methods

In this study, we experimentally test the hypothesis that microbial community complexity ensures higher stability of CUE and the underlying processes of growth and respiration when microbial communities are subjected to fluctuations in temperature (Loreau et al., 2001). We used a solid matrix to simulate growth in soil in contrast to liquid cultures which are not able to recreate the conditions experienced by soil microorganisms, as for example nonhomogeneous substrate supply and oxygen diffusion. For that end we created a simple matrix composed of sand and clay (9:1). This matrix was initially sterile and carbonfree. Such "simple model soil" matrices have been able to provide new insights into soil microbial ecology questions of relevance for natural soils (Vogel et al., 2014; Kallenbach et al., 2016; Pronk et al., 2017; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2020; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2021; Cai et al., 2022). Pronk et al. (2017) review the literature on model soils and conclude that such simple systems can be used to identify the specific effects of a particular variable of interest compared to highly complex and heterogeneous natural soils. Aspects that have been studied in simple model soils spans from SOM formation, aggregation, organic matter turnover, the influence of minerals on microbial communities and microbial-derived functions, and community dynamics (Pronk et al., 2017).

Our model soil was prepared as described by Domeignoz-Horta et al. (2020). Soil microcosms consisted of 50 ml falcon tubes filled with 15 grams of model soil and inoculated with 3 ml microbe-media (tryptic soy broth; TSB) solution, reaching 70% of the water holding capacity. We created a microbial diversity gradient by serially diluting a community obtained by extracting an agricultural soil (D0; no dilution > D1; first dilution > D2; second dilution) (Figure 1, Supplementary Figures 1–3, Supplementary Tables 1–5; Diaz et al., 2003). The dilution approach has some potential biases. For example, it neglects the role of biofilms and as a result of fungal physiology, dilution accompanying disturbances may result in the damage or disruption of fungal hyphae. Nevertheless, as shown by Domeignoz-Horta et al. (2020) bacterial and fungal richness responded similarly to the dilution approach in another model soil incubation experiment. In contrast to assembling artificial communities by choosing specific strains, the resulting communities obtained through a dilution approach are sourced from naturally assembled communities and therefore should provide a more realistic scenario for testing the impact of diversity loss. Even though we did not measure the microbial richness in this experiment, previous experiments have validated this method for creating a diversity gradient (Garland and Lehman, 1999; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2020; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2020; Ferreira et al., 2021). Because we did not directly measure the diversity in our microcosms, we will refer to our approach as dilution treatments.

Methods that use serial dilution approaches will result initially in distinct inoculum biomass, but an initial incubation phase has been shown to be able to allow microbial colonization and establishment of microbial communities reaching similar levels of total abundance when the resource availability is the same across communities (Philippot et al., 2013; Schnyder et al., 2023). These previous studies have shown that pre-incubating communities resulting from a serial dilution approach allows these communities to reach similar abundance levels across different dilutions. For example, Schnyder et al. (2023) preincubated the microcosms for 28 days and observed that the communities recovered well with no significant differences in total bacterial and methanotrophic community sizes. The least diverse treatment (D3) is represented by a single bacterial strain commonly found in soils (Streptomyces sp. DSM 687; Supplementary Text). In preliminary experiments we evaluated that the Streptomyces sp. (DSM 687) grows well in the model soil under all the temperatures compared to other single strains (e.g., Bacillus subtilis, Microvirgula aerodenitrificans, and Micromonaspora sp.). Thus, we decided to continue with this single strain for the main experiment. Regarding the dominant bacteria in natural soils, Delgado-Baquerizo et al. (2018) conducted a global analysis of bacterial communities in surface soils, identifying the Actinobacteria and Acidobacteria phylums are some of the most abundant bacteria occurring in surface soils. Furthermore, Streptomyces sp. which belong to the phylum Actinobacteria are important regarding carbon cycling (Barka et al., 2016). Nevertheless, it should be noted that Streptomyces sp. does not represent an entire phylum and our



Figure 1. Experimental design. The microbial diversity manipulation of the soil suspension was performed to obtain D0, D1, and D2 inocula, while *Streptomyces sp.* DSM 687 was used for D3 treatment (A). The microbial communities obtained with the diversity manipulations were inoculated into a model soil with $5 \times TSB$ media and incubated for 23 days under 2 temperature regimes (B). Growth and respiration were measured after 48 h of incubation at all temperatures (C). TSB = tryptic soy broth.

results cannot be generalized to this whole group of bacteria.

The experiment consisted of 2 phases, community establishment (Phase I) and C-cycling evaluation (Phase II). During Phase I of the experiment (Figure 1B), constant temperature (CT, 23°C) and fluctuating temperatures (FT, increasing in temperature every 48 h: $13^{\circ}C \rightarrow 23^{\circ}C \rightarrow 33^{\circ}C$ and restarting the cycle at $13^{\circ}C$) were applied to induce changes in the metabolism of microorganisms and select for microbial communities with distinct thermal niches (Schnyder et al., 2023). In Phase I, we used 4 biological replicates for each dilution level at each temperature regime (4 biological replicates \times 4 dilution treatments \times 2 temperature regimes = 32 samples) and measured respiration as a proxy for microbial activity (Supplementary Text). We anticipated that the distinct treatments would consume the substrate at different rates in Phase I (Supplementary Figure 1), therefore we added fresh substrate 3 days prior to the ¹⁸O-H₂O addition (1 mg C per g soil with a solution consisting of glucose and TSB). We recognized that glucose substrate-limitation would likely occur because our substrate amendments were not designed to remove glucose substrate-limitation across the experimental incubations but just to maintain labile C supply to ensure that there was easily available substrate for microbial activity for Phase II of the measurement. In Phase II, we measured

growth and respiration (**Figure 1C**) at 13°C, 23°C, and 33°C in all soils (4 biological replicates \times 4 dilution treatments \times 2 temperature regimes \times 3 incubation temperatures = 96 biological samples). At the end of the 48 h incubation, we measured respiration by headspace sampling with a gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies 7890A) and growth in a subset of 0.9 g of soil with the ¹⁸O-H₂O method (Supplementary Text) (Spohn et al., 2016). Duplicate DNA extractions for each biological replicate were performed and CUE was calculated as by Spohn et al. (2016) (Supplementary Text).

Results and discussion Microbial activity during community establishment (Phase I)

The microbial respiration decreased over the course of the 23-day incubation of Phase I, in both CT and FT treatments. In CT, respiration decreased steadily over time, except in the D2 treatment in which respiration increased toward the end of the incubation (**Figure 2A–B**). This effect is likely due to the higher dilution and therefore smaller community inoculated into the soil which resulted in more activity toward the end of Phase I, as substrate is not limited in comparison to less diluted treatments. FT respiration clearly shows a thermal-response to incubation temperature (e.g., increasing when temperature increases and decreasing when the



Figure 2. Microbial respiration rates and cumulative respiration during community establishment. Soil respiration of microcosms incubated at constant temperature $(23^{\circ}C)$ (A), or fluctuating temperatures $(13^{\circ}C, 23^{\circ}C, 33^{\circ}C)$ (B). For A and B error bars denote standard deviations of biological replicates (n = 4). Cumulative respiration for the overall incubation period (C) and cumulative respiration for the time-points chosen when the fluctuating temperature treatment was incubated at $23^{\circ}C$ in both temperature regimes (D). For boxplots, the box denotes interquartile range, whiskers denote the $1.5 \times$ interquartile range. The horizontal line denotes the median. Points represent outliers outside of the $1.5 \times$ interquartile range. Letters indicate significant differences between diversity levels tested with the Tukey-HSD test.

temperature decreases; **Figure 2B**). The dilution effect on cumulative respiration is larger in the CT (D0 & D1 > D2 & D3) than in the FL treatment, where no clear pattern is visible (**Figure 2C**).

In addition to the total cumulative respiration, we also calculated the relative cumulative respiration for the time points in which microcosms of the CT and FT treatments were both at 23°C (**Figure 2D**). We observed a difference in the cumulative respiration by both dilution treatment (F = 8.127, P < 0.001) and by temperature treatment (F = 18.215, P < 0.001) (**Figure 2D**) showing that the temperature regime influenced microbial activity also when evaluating the activity at the same common temperature. One

possible explanation is that the 10°C temperature shift of the FT soils (as they were incubated at 13°C before 23°C) induced a stronger microbial respiration response in FT compared to CT (**Figure 2D**) as respiration is expected to respond exponentially to increases in temperature (Alster et al., 2020). The FT regime might have also resulted in a larger community size and consequently showed higher activity compared to the other treatment. Previous studies with model soils have shown that abiotic factors drive the community composition of microbial communities (Kallenbach et al., 2016; Pronk et al., 2017; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2020). Thus, another potential explanation is that the distinct temperature regimes selected communities with different thermal niches, and therefore these communities show distinct respiration rates at the same temperature (**Figure 2D**). Accordingly, Pold et al. (2020) showed that the response of soil isolates to temperature is strain specific varying with temperature and substrate. However, it is crucial to approach this explanation cautiously due to the short incubation period of the experiment of 23 days. Previous findings by Bradford et al. (2010) underscore the importance of temporal considerations. Thermal adaptation, as observed by Bradford et al. (2010) did not manifest until after 77 days, and differences in respiration rates only emerged after 21 days of incubation.

C-cycling response to different temperatures and community complexity levels (Phase II)

After the microbial communities were exposed to distinct temperature regimes during Phase I, in Phase II we incubated them under 3 different temperatures and measured CUE. We used linear mixed models to evaluate how the different dilution levels and the temperature regimes impacted the different soil C-cycling functions (Figure 3). Growth did not respond significantly to temperature in both temperature regimes and dilution levels (Figure 3A and D). Respiration, however, increased faster with increasing temperatures at high levels of dilution compared to lower dilution levels (Figure 3B and E) which has also been observed in natural soils (Xu et al., 2021). This pattern was consistently independent of previous temperature regimes. An *in-situ* soil warming experiment uncovered a decline in microbial diversity due to warming (Nottingham et al., 2022). While growth rates suggest acclimation to long-term soil warming through a community shift, the in-situ microbial respiration rates exceeded 3-fold the respiration predicted by their model (Nottingham et al., 2022). In agreement with this previous experiment our findings suggest a decoupling between growth and respiration in response to increasing temperatures. Interestingly, this decoupling was stronger in communities inoculated with lower levels of community complexity (Figure 3A–D). Even though our experiment consisted of a simple model soil and arguably cannot be projected to natural soils, insights of this study paired with our results suggest that warming accompanied by decreased microbial complexity can result in higher respiration rates than expected. It is also important to consider that microbial communities' response to long-term warming has not been ubiquitous among warming experiments (Romero-Olivares et al., 2017).

The serial dilution method could also have affected the strategies of microbial communities in the sense that higher dilutions, paired with readily available nutrients lead to a community more strongly dominated by copiotroph-strategists and, therefore a higher respiration response to temperature. While copiotrophic-related strategists have been described to be fast-growing and have a low CUE, oligotrophic-related strategists are slow-growing and have a high CUE (Fierer et al., 2007; Leff et al., 2015). The higher diluted soils likely have a low diversity of copiotrophic-species while in the less diluted

there is a higher species richness which will interact with each other (e.g., competition for resources) allowing a broader spectrum of copiotroph-related physiologies and distinct strategies to emerge (Song et al., 2017). Accordingly, Bai et al. (2017) suggested that respiration response to a 10°C increase in temperature (Q_{10}) could be controlled by the shift between copiotroph-like microbial strategies. Accordingly, in the D3 treatment where the soil was inoculated with a single *Streptomyces sp.* strain, a very strong respiration response was observed with the increase in temperature (**Figure 3B** and **E**). This species belongs to the phylum Actinobacteria which exhibits copiotrophic attributes (Fierer et al., 2007).

It is often considered that high diversity is required to ensure ecosystem functioning (García et al., 2018; Maron et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2021). Moreover, García et al. (2018) show that high diversity is needed to ensure growth in more extreme temperatures and that high diversity maintains high growth potential. These results were obtained from marine microorganisms under liquid cultivation, while our experiment using a model soil introduces some heterogeneity to simulate the natural gradients observed in soils (e.g., nonhomogeneous substrate availability and oxygen diffusion rates). In contrast to these previous results, we show that absolute growth and growth Q_{10} was not different between dilution treatments (Figure 3A and D; Supplementary Figure 2). We observed a trend of decreasing CUE with increasing temperatures and increasing dilution (Figure 3C and F). However, this was only significant at D3 and marginally significant at D2 dilution levels within the FT treatment (Figure 3F). Our results show that the Q_{10} of respiration and growth showed a different trend along the community complexity gradient, but these differences were not significant (Supplementary Figure 2) resulting in a decreasing CUE with increasing temperature as previously reported (Liu et al., 2021). CUE is the combination of growth and respiration, if respiration increases faster with temperature at high dilution levels but growth remains constant, CUE is expected to decrease at high levels of dilution (i.e., low levels of community complexity) with increasing temperatures. As growth Q_{10} was not different among diversity treatments, this trend of decreasing CUE with increasing temperatures was driven by the respiration component (Figure 3). Similarly, to our observed trend of decreasing CUE at higher temperatures and diminishing community complexities, a study by Steinweg et al. (2008) observed that CUE was temperature dependent but remained relatively constant over a long-term incubation period (600 days). Their findings suggest that the change in CUE attributed to temperature could be attributed to how temperature affected either cellobiose uptake or respiration. Furthermore, the study emphasized a consistent response in the shape of microbial communities' respiration and cellobiose uptake, irrespective of incubation duration or temperature. These insights provide a valuable context for our own short-term observations, shedding light on the complex interplay on how temperature influences substrate uptake affecting CUE through time in soils. We acknowledge that our experiment had a relatively short



Figure 3. Relationship between C-cycling processes and temperature within the different diversity levels for the constant (A, B, and C) and fluctuating (D, E, and F) temperature regimes. Linear mixed effect models were used to evaluate the impact of short-term temperature changes on respiration, growth, and carbon use efficiency (CUE). Linear curves were fitted when the result is significant or dashed line if not significant.

duration as we evaluated microbial physiology response to temperature after 23 days. Future studies could evaluate how longer incubation durations shapes communities and influences their response to temperature.

Altogether these results show the complexity of microbial physiology response to temperature. Considering that we observe diverging results of carbon cycling processes in a relatively simple model soil system, it highlights the need to evaluate various ecosystem functions simultaneously when evaluating the relationship between diversity and ecosystem processes in complex natural soils if we aim to have a deeper understanding on how C-cycling in soils may change following environmental and anthropogenic perturbations. Even though we experimentally tested our hypothesis in a simple model soil system, our results point toward the same direction as results by Xu et al. (2021). They investigated the importance of microbial diversity in natural soil systems regarding the sensitivity of soil organic carbon (SOC) to warming and found that microbial diversity plays an important role in determining if SOC is further decomposed with warming. Moreover, Yang et al. (2022) show that multiple anthropogenic pressures can modify communities and soil functioning and highlights the importance of incorporating multifactor experimental approaches considering that about 98% of studies still evaluate a single, or two factors only (Rillig et al., 2019). Here we used a 3 multifactor experimental approach (dilution levels \times temperature regime \times temperature response of C-cycling functions) to unravel how microbial-controlled C-cycling functions may shift in response to changes in their environment. Altogether, our results highlight that successive changes (complexity loss associated with temperature increases) can result in distinct responses of growth and respiration, 2 important processes for understanding the fate of SOC in soils.

Data accessibility statement

All data and codes used in this study can be obtained in the Open Science Framework Project (https://osf.io/ 3b24f/) and from the authors upon request.

Supplemental files

The supplemental files for this article can be found as follows:

Supplementary Text.docx Supplementary Figures 1–3. docx Supplementary Tables 1–5. docx

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Laine Lab team members for the supportive discussions and Prof. Dr. Owen Petchey for being a great statistics teacher.

Funding

Funding for this project was provided by the Academy of Finland (STN Multa; 327222) to A-LL. This work was also conducted with support from the University of Zurich to LADH, PAN, and A-LL (STWF-23-018).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

Designed the study: NR, LADH.

Performed the laboratory experiments: NR, LADH, SL, VL.

- Performed the data analysis: NR.
- Wrote the first draft of the article: NR.
- Contributed to finalize the manuscript: All authors.

References

- Alster, CJ, von Fischer, JC, Allison, SD, Treseder, KK. 2020. Embracing a new paradigm for temperature sensitivity of soil microbes. *Global Change Biology* **26**(6): 3221–3229.
- Bai, Z, Xie, H, Kao-Kniffin, J, Chen, B, Shao, P, Liang, C. 2017. Shifts in microbial trophic strategy explain different temperature sensitivity of CO₂ flux under constant and diurnally varying temperature regimes. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **93**(5).
- Barka, EA, Vatsa, P, Sanchez, L, Gaveau-Vaillant, N, Jacquard, C, Klenk, H-S, Clément, C, Ouhdouch, Y, van Wezel, GP. 2016. Taxonomy, physiology, and natural products of Actinobacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 80(1): 1–43.
- Bradford, MA, Watts, BW, Davies, CA. 2010. Thermal adaptation of heterotrophic soil respiration in laboratory microcosms. *Global Change Biology* **16**(5): 1576–1588.
- Cai, Y, Ma, T, Wang, Y, Jia, J, Jia, Y, Liang, C, Feng, X. 2022. Assessing the accumulation efficiency of various microbial carbon components in soils of different minerals. *Geoderma* **407**: 115562.
- **Cappelli, SL, Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Loaiza, V, Laine, AL.** 2022. Plant biodiversity promotes sustainable agriculture directly and via belowground effects. *Trends in Plant Science* **27**(7): 674–687.
- Cavicchioli, R, Ripple, WJ, Timmis, KN, Azam, F, Bakken, LR, Baylis, M, Behrenfeld, MJ, Boetius, A, Boyd, PW, Classen, AT, Crowther, TW, Danovaro, R, Foreman, CM, Huisman, J, Hutchins, DA, Jansson, JK, Karl, DM, Koskella, B, Welch, DBM, Martiny, JBH, Moran, MA, Orphan, VJ, Reay, DS, Remais, JV, Rich, VI, Singh, BK, Stein, LY, Stewart, FJ, Sullivan, MB, van Oppen, MJH, Weaver, SC, Webb, EA, Webster, NS. 2019. Scientists' warning to humanity: Microorganisms and climate change. Nature Reviews Microbiology 17: 569–686.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M, Oliverio, AM, Brewer, TE, Benavent-González, A, Eldridge, DJ, Bardgett, RD, Maestre, FT, Singh, BK, Fierer, N. 2018. A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science* **359**(6373): 320–325.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M, Reich, PB, Trivedi, C, Eldridge, DJ, Abades, S, Alfaro, FD, Bastida, F, Berhe, AA, Cutler, NA, Gallardo, A, García-Velázquez, L, Hart, SC, Hayes, PE, He, J-E, Hseu, Z-Y, Hu, H-W, Kirchmair, M, Neuhauser, S, Pérez, CA, Reed, SC, Santos, F, Sullivan, BW, Trivedi, P, Wang, J-T,

Weber-Grullon, L, Williams, MA, Singh, BK. 2020. Multiple elements of soil biodiversity drive ecosystem functions across biomes. *Nature Ecology Evolution* **4**(2): 210–220.

- Diaz, S, Symstad, AJ, Chapin, FS, Wardle, DA, Huenneke, LF. 2003. Functional diversity revealed by removal experiments. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 18(3): 140–146.
- Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Pold, G, Erb, H, Sebag, D, Verrecchia, E, Northen, T, Louie, K, Eloe-Fadrosh, E, Pennacchio, C, Knorr, MA, Frey, SD, Melillo, JM, DeAngelis, KM. 2023. Substrate availability and not thermal acclimation controls microbial temperature sensitivity response to long term warming. *Global Change Biology* **29**(6): 1574–1590.
- Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Pold, G, Liu, XA, Frey, SD, Melillo, JM, DeAngelis, KM. 2020. Microbial diversity drives carbon use efficiency in a model soil. *Nature Communications* **11**(1): 1–10.
- Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Shinfuku, M, Junier, P, Poirier, S, Verrecchia, E, Sebag, D, DeAngelis, KM. 2021. Direct evidence for the role of microbial community composition in the formation of soil organic matter composition and persistence. *ISME Communications* 1(1): 64.
- Duan, P, Fu, R, Nottingham, AT, Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Yang, X, Du, H, Wang, K, Li, D. 2023. Tree species diversity increases soil microbial carbon use efficiency in a subtropical forest. *Global Change Biology* 29(24): 7131–7144.
- Ferreira, DA, da Silva, TF, Pylro, VS, Salles, JF, Andreote, FD, Dini-Andreote, F. 2021. Soil microbial diversity affects the plant-root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Microbial Ecology* **82**: 100–103. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-020-01502-z.
- Fierer, N, Bradford, MA, Jackson, RB. 2007. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology* 88(6): 1354–1364.
- García, FC, Bestion, E, Warfield, R, Yvon-Durocher, G. 2018. Changes in temperature alter the relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**(43): 10989–10994.
- **Garland, JL**, **Lehman, RM.** 1999. Dilution/extinction of community phenotypic characters to estimate relative structural diversity in mixed communities. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **30**(4): 333–343.
- Hector, A, Bagchi, R. 2007. Biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature* **448**(7150): 188–190.
- Hector, A, Schmid, B, Beierkuhnlein, C, Caldeira, MC, Diemer, M, Dimitrakopoulos, PG, Finn, JA, Freitas, H, Giller, PS, Good, J, Harris, R, Hogberg, P, Huss-Danell, K, Joshi, J, Jumpponen, A, Korner, C, Leadley, PW, Loreau, M, Minns, A, Mulder, CP, O'Donovan, G, Otway, SJ, Pereira, JS, Prinz, A, Read, DJ, Scherer-Lorenzen, M, Schulze, ED, Siamantziouras, ASD, Spehn, EM, Terry, AC, Troumbis, AY, Woodward, FI, Yachi, S, Lawton, JH. 1999. Plant diversity and productivity experiments in

European grasslands. *Science* **286**(5442): 1123–1127.

- Iven, H, Walker, TWN, Anthony, M. 2023. Biotic interactions in soil are underestimated drivers of microbial carbon use efficiency. *Current Microbiology* 80(1): 1–14.
- Kallenbach, CM, Frey, SD, Grandy, AS. 2016. Direct evidence for microbial-derived soil organic matter formation and its ecophysiological controls. *Nature Communications* **7**(1): 13630–13640.
- Leff, JW, Jones, SE, Prober, SM, Barberán, A, Borer, ET, Firn, JL, Harpole, WS, Hobbie, SE, Hofmockel, KS, Knops, JMH. 2015. Consistent responses of soil microbial communities to elevated nutrient inputs in grasslands across the globe. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences **112**(35): 10967–10972.
- Liu, XJA, Pold, G, Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Geyer, KM, Caris, H, Nicolson, H, Kemner, KM, Frey, SD, Melillo, JM, DeAngelis, KM. 2021. Soil aggregatemediated microbial responses to long-term warming. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **152**: 108055.
- Loreau, M, Naeem, S, Inchausti, P, Bengtsson, J, Grime, JP, Hector, A, Hooper, DU, Huston, MA, Raffaelli, D, Schmid, B, Tilman, D, Wardle, DA. 2001. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Science* 294(5543): 804–808.
- Manzoni, S, Capek, P, Porada, P, Thurner, M, Winterdahl, M, Beer, C, Brüchert, V, Frouz, J, Herrmann, AM, Lindahl, BD, Lyon, SW, Šantrucková, H, Vico, G, Way, D. 2018. Reviews and syntheses: Carbon use efficiency from organisms to ecosystems—Definitions, theories, and empirical evidence. *Biogeosciences* 15(19): 5929–5949.
- Manzoni, S, Taylor, P, Richter, A, Porporato, A, Ågren, Gl. 2012. Environmental and stoichiometric controls on microbial carbon-use efficiency in soils. *New Phytologist* **196**(1): 79–91.
- Maron, PA, Sarr, A, Kaisermann, A, Lévêque, J, Mathieu, O, Guigue, J, Karimi, B, Bernard, L, Dequiedt, S, Terrat, S, Chabbi, A, Ranjard, L. 2018. High microbial diversity promotes soil ecosystem functioning. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 84(9).
- Nemergut, D, Shade, A, Violle, C. 2014. When, where and how does microbial community composition matter? *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5**: 497.
- Nielsen, UN, Ayres, E, Wall, DH, Bardgett, RD. 2011. Soil biodiversity and carbon cycling: A review and synthesis of studies examining diversity–function relationships. *European Journal of Soil Science* **62**(1): 105–116.
- Nottingham, A, Scott, JJ, Saltonstall, K, Broders, K, Montero-Sanchez, M, Püspök, J, Bååth, E, Meir, P. 2022. Microbial diversity decline and community response are decoupled from increased respiration in warmed tropical forest soil. *Nature Microbiology* 7: 1650–1660.

- Philippot, L, Spor, A, Hénault, C, Bru, D, Bizouard, F, Jones, CM, Sarr, A, Maron, PA. 2013. Loss in microbial diversity affects nitrogen cycling in soil. *The ISME Journal* 7(8): 1609–1619.
- Pold, G, Domeignoz-Horta, LA, Morrison, EW, Frey, SD, Sistla, SA, DeAngelis, KM. 2020. Carbon use efficiency and its temperature sensitivity covary in soil bacteria. *mBio* 11(1): e02293-19.
- **Pold, G, Sistla, SA, DeAngelis, KM.** 2019. Metabolic tradeoffs and heterogeneity in microbial responses to temperature determine the fate of litter carbon in simulations of a warmer world. *Biogeosciences* **16**(24): 4875–4888.
- Pronk, GJ, Heister, K, Vogel, C, Babin, D, Bachmann, J, Ding, G-C, Ditterich, F, Gerzabek, MH, Giebler, J, Hemkemeyer, M, Kandeler, E, Mouvenchery, YK, Miltner, A, Poll, C, Schaumann, GE, Smalla, K, Steinbach, A, Tanuwidjaja, I, Tebbe, CC, Wick, LY, Woche, SK, Totsche, KU, Schloter, M, Kögel-Knabner, I. 2017. Interaction of minerals, organic matter, and microorganisms during biogeochemical interface formation as shown by a series of artificial soil experiments. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 53:9–22.
- Qiao, Y, Wang, J, Liang, G, Du, Z, Zhou, J, Zhu, C, Huang, K, Zhou, X, Luo, Y, Yan, L, Xia, J. 2019. Global variation of soil microbial carbon-use efficiency in relation to growth temperature and substrate supply. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 5621.
- Rillig, MC, Ryo, M, Lehmann, A, Aguilar-Trigueros, CA, Buchert, S, Wulf, A, Yang, G. 2019. The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. *Science* 366(6467): 886–890.
- **Roller, BRK**, **Stoddard, SF**, **Schmidt, TM.** 2016. Exploiting rRNA operon copy number to investigate bacterial reproductive strategies. *Nature Microbiology* **1**(11): 16160.
- Romero-Olivares, AL, Allison, SD, Treseder, KK. 2017. Soil microbes and their response to experimental warming over time: A meta-analysis of field studies. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **107**: 32–40.
- Schimel, JP, Schaeffer, SM. 2012. Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. Frontiers in Microbiology 3: 348.
- Schnyder, E, Bodelier, PLE, Hartmann, M, Henneberger, R, Niklaus, PA. 2023. Experimental erosion of microbial diversity decreases soil CH₄ consumption rates. *Ecology* **104**(12): e4178. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ecy.4178.
- Singh, B, Bardgett, R, Smith, P, Dave SR. 2010. Microorganisms and climate change: Terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 8(11): 779–790.

- Song, HK, Song, W, Kim, M, Tripathi, BM, Kim, H, Jablonski, P, Adams, JM. 2017. Bacterial strategies along nutrient and time gradients, revealed by metagenomic analysis of laboratory microcosms. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **93**(10): 1–13.
- Spehn, EM, Hector, A, Joshi, J, Scherer-Lorenzen, M, Schmid, B, Bazeley-White, E, Beierkuhnlein, C, Caldeira, MC, Diemer, M, Dimitrakopoulos, PG, Finn, JA, Freitas, H, Giller, PS, Good, J, Harris, R, Högberg, P, Huss-Danell, K, Jumpponen, A, Koricheva, J, Leadley, PW, Loreau, M, Minns, A, Mulder, CPH, O'Donovan, G, Otway, SJ, Palmborg, C, Pereira, JS, Pfisterer, AB, Prinz, A, Read, DJ, Schulze, E-D, Siamantziouras, A-SD, Terry, AC, Troumbis, AY, Woodward, FI, Yachi, S, Lawton, JH. 2005. Ecosystem effects of biodiversity manipulations in European grasslands. *Ecological Monographs* 75(1): 37–63.
- Spohn, M, Klaus, K, Wanek, W, Richter, A. 2016. Microbial carbon use efficiency and biomass turnover times depending on soil depth—Implications for carbon cycling. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **96**: 74–81.
- Steinweg, JM, Plante, AF, Conant, RT, Paul, EA, Tanak, DL. 2008. Patterns of substrate utilization during long-term incubations at different temperatures. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **40**(11): 2722–2728.
- Vogel, C, Babin, D, Pronk, GJ, Heister, K, Smalla, K, Kögel-Knabner, I. 2014. Establishment of macroaggregates and organic matter turnover by microbial communities in long-term incubated artificial soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **79**: 57–67.
- Wagg, C, Bender, SF, Widmer, F, van der Heijden, MGA. 2014. Soil biodiversity and soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111(14): 5266–5270.
- Xu, M, Li, X, Kuyper, TW, Zhang, J. 2021. High microbial diversity stabilizes the responses of soil organic carbon decomposition to warming in the subsoil on the Tibetan Plateau. *Global Change Biology* 27(10): 2061–2075.
- Yang, G, Ryo, M, Roy, J, Hempel, S, Rillig, MC. 2021. Plant and soil biodiversity have non-substitutable stabilising effects on biomass production. *Ecology Letters* 24(8): 1582–1593.
- Yang, G, Ryo, M, Roy, J, Lammel, DR, Ballhausen, MB, Jing, X, Zhu, X, Rillig, MC. 2022. Multiple anthropogenic pressures eliminate the effects of soil microbial diversity on ecosystem functions in experimental microcosms. *Nature Communications* **13**(1): 4260.

How to cite this article: Rohner, N, Lepori, S, Loaiza, V, Sebag, D, Verrecchia, E, Nelson, DB, Kahmen, A, Niklaus, PA, Laine, A-L, Domeignoz-Horta, LA. 2024. Erosion of community complexity increases temperature-dependency of microbial respiration, but not growth, in short-term incubations. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* 12(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa. 2023.00100

Domain Editor-in-Chief: Steven Allison, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

Associate Editor: Danielle Ignace, Smith College, Northampton, MA, USA

Knowledge Domain: Ecology and Earth Systems

Published: February 21, 2024 Accepted: January 4, 2024 Submitted: July 7, 2023

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



Elem Sci Anth is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by University of California Press.

